

Chromatic Symmetric Functions & LLT polynomials

Franco Saliola

LACIM, Université du Québec à Montréal

BIRS

17 October 2022

Polynomials

Polynomials

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

Polynomials

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- x will denote a *family* of variables: $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Polynomials

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- x will denote a *family* of variables: $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- For $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \quad (x_i^0 = 1)$$

Polynomials

- $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$
- x will denote a *family* of variables: $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$
- For $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \quad (x_i^0 = 1)$$

- A polynomial $f(x)$ in the variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} c_\alpha x^\alpha = \sum_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n} \underbrace{c_{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)}}_{\text{coefficient}} \underbrace{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n}}_{\text{monomial}} \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{term}}$$

Symmetric polynomials

Symmetric polynomials

- Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Symmetric polynomials

- Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- A polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *symmetric* if

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in S_n.$$

Symmetric polynomials

- Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- A polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *symmetric* if

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in S_n.$$

- **Example.** Which of the following symmetric polynomials?

$$x_1^2 + x_2x_3 \quad x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 \quad x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$$

Symmetric polynomials

- Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- A polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *symmetric* if

$$f(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in S_n.$$

- **Example.** Which of the following symmetric polynomials?

$$x_1^2 + x_2x_3 \quad x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 \quad x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$$

- Algebra of symmetric polynomials:

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f \text{ is symmetric}\}.$$

- **Observation:**

*If $17 x_2^2 x_1^5$ is a term in a symmetric polynomial,
then so is $17 x_1^2 x_2^5$ and $17 x_2^2 x_1^5$, and ...*

- **Observation:**

*If $17 x_{20}^2 x_{22}^5$ is a term in a symmetric polynomial,
then so is $17 x_1^2 x_2^5$ and $17 x_2^2 x_1^5$, and ...*

- So, to construct a symmetric polynomial, symmetrize a monomial:

- **Observation:**

If $17 x_{20}^2 x_{22}^5$ is a term in a symmetric polynomial, then so is $17 x_1^2 x_2^5$ and $17 x_2^2 x_1^5$, and ...

- So, to construct a symmetric polynomial, symmetrize a monomial:

$$x_1^2 x_2^5 \xrightarrow{\text{symmetrize}} x_1^2 x_2^5 + x_2^2 x_1^5 + x_1^2 x_3^5 + x_2^2 x_3^5 + x_3^2 x_1^5 + x_3^2 x_2^5$$

- **Observation:**

If $17 x_{20}^2 x_{22}^5$ is a term in a symmetric polynomial, then so is $17 x_1^2 x_2^5$ and $17 x_2^2 x_1^5$, and ...

- So, to construct a symmetric polynomial, symmetrize a monomial:

$$x_1^2 x_2^5 \xrightarrow{\text{symmetrize}} x_1^2 x_2^5 + x_2^2 x_1^5 + x_1^2 x_3^5 + x_2^2 x_3^5 + x_3^2 x_1^5 + x_3^2 x_2^5$$

$$x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^2 \xrightarrow{\text{symmetrize}} x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^2 + x_2^2 x_1^5 x_3^2 + x_1^2 x_3^5 x_2^2$$

- *Monomial symmetric functions:*

$$m_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{i_l}^{\lambda_l},$$

the sum over *all distinct* monomials with exponents $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$.

- *Monomial symmetric functions:*

$$m_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{i_l}^{\lambda_l},$$

the sum over *all distinct* monomials with exponents $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$.

- *partition of length l:*

sequence $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of positive integers satisfying $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$

- *Monomial symmetric functions:*

$$m_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum x_{i_1}^{\lambda_1} x_{i_2}^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{i_l}^{\lambda_l},$$

the sum over *all distinct* monomials with exponents $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$.

- *partition of length l:*

sequence $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of positive integers satisfying $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$

- **Theorem.** Every symmetric polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ can be written uniquely as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all partitions } \lambda \\ \text{of length } \leq n}} c_\lambda m_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Recurring theme: symmetric polynomials from S_n -actions

Given

- a set of combinatorial objects \mathcal{O}
- a map $\varepsilon : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^n$, written $\varepsilon(T) = (\varepsilon_1(T), \dots, \varepsilon_n(T))$
- an action of S_n on \mathcal{O} *compatible with* ε

the following polynomial is symmetric:


$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{O}} x_1^{\varepsilon_1(T)} x_2^{\varepsilon_2(T)} \dots x_n^{\varepsilon_n(T)}$$

Tableaux

- Let λ be a partition of n ; for example, $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1)$.

Tableaux


- Let λ be a partition of n ; for example, $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1)$.
- The *(Young) diagram* of λ looks like this:



λ_1 elements in first row
 λ_2 elements in second row
etc.

Tableaux

- Let λ be a partition of n ; for example, $\lambda = (5, 4, 4, 1)$.
- The *(Young) diagram* of λ looks like this:



λ_1 elements in first row
 λ_2 elements in second row
etc.

- A *semistandard (Young) tableau* of shape λ is a filling of the cells of the Young diagram of λ by positive integers with entries *weakly increasing in rows* and *strictly increasing in columns*:

6			
5	7		
4	4	5	7
2	2	4	5

Schur polynomial indexed by λ

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])} x_1^{\varepsilon_1(T)} \cdots x_n^{\varepsilon_n(T)}$$

where


- $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])$ = semistandard tableaux of shape λ
and with entries in $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- $\varepsilon_i(T)$ is the number of copies of i in T

Schur polynomial indexed by λ

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])} x_1^{\varepsilon_1(T)} \cdots x_n^{\varepsilon_n(T)}$$

where

- $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n]) =$ semistandard tableaux of shape λ
and with entries in $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- $\varepsilon_i(T)$ is the number of copies of i in T
- **Example.** $\text{SSYT}\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \{1, 2, 3\}\right)$ consists of



Schur polynomial indexed by λ

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])} x_1^{\varepsilon_1(T)} \cdots x_n^{\varepsilon_n(T)}$$

where

- $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n]) =$ semistandard tableaux of shape λ
and with entries in $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
 - $\varepsilon_i(T)$ is the number of copies of i in T
- **Example.** $\text{SSYT}\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \{1, 2, 3\}\right)$ consists of

2		3		2		3		2		3		3		3	
1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	2	3

Schur polynomial indexed by λ

$$s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{T \in \text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])} x_1^{\varepsilon_1(T)} \cdots x_n^{\varepsilon_n(T)}$$

where

- $\text{SSYT}(\lambda, [n])$ = semistandard tableaux of shape λ
and with entries in $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
 - $\varepsilon_i(T)$ is the number of copies of i in T
- **Example.** $\text{SSYT}\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \{1, 2, 3\}\right)$ consists of

2		3		2		3		2		3		3		3	
1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	2	3

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(2,1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_3^2 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_2 x_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

Elementary symmetric functions

$$s_{(1,1,1)}(x) = x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Elementary symmetric functions

$$s_{(1,1,1)}(x) = x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4$$

3	4	4	4
2	2	3	3
1	1	1	2

- k*-th elementary symmetric polynomial:

$$e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} = s_{1^k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Elementary symmetric functions

$$s_{(1,1,1)}(x) = x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 4 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

- k*-th elementary symmetric polynomial:

$$e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} = s_{1^k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- algebraically independent*: $e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots e_{\lambda_l}$ are linearly independent and form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$

$$e_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)} = e_{\lambda_1} e_{\lambda_2} \cdots e_{\lambda_l}$$

Complete symmetric functions

$$s_{(3)}(x) = x_1^3 + x_1x_2^2 + \cdots + x_3x_3x_4 + \cdots$$

$\boxed{1|1|1}$ $\boxed{1|2|2}$ $\boxed{3|3|4}$

- *k*-th complete symmetric polynomial: sum of all degree *k* monomials

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} = s_{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Complete symmetric functions

$$s_{(3)}(x) = \underbrace{x_1^3}_{\boxed{1\ 1\ 1}} + \underbrace{x_1x_2^2}_{\boxed{1\ 2\ 2}} + \cdots + \underbrace{x_3x_3x_4}_{\boxed{3\ 3\ 4}} + \cdots$$

- *k*-th complete symmetric polynomial: sum of all degree *k* monomials

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} = s_{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- *algebraically independent*: another basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$

$$h_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)} = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots h_{\lambda_l}$$

Power sum symmetric functions

- *k*-th power sum symmetric polynomial:

$$p_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^k + x_2^k + \cdots + x_n^k$$

- *algebraically independent*: another basis of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$

$$p_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)} = p_{\lambda_1} p_{\lambda_2} \cdots p_{\lambda_l}$$

Chromatic Symmetric Functions

- A *graph* $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a set of vertices V and a set of edges E .
- **Example.** $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, encodes the graph




Chromatic Symmetric Functions

- A *graph* $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a set of vertices V and a set of edges E .
- **Example.** $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}\}$, encodes the graph




- An *colouring* of Γ is a function $\kappa : V \rightarrow C$, with C a set of colours.
- A colouring κ is *proper* if adjacent vertices have different colours:

$$\{i, j\} \in E \implies \kappa(i) \neq \kappa(j).$$




combinatorial object
(proper colouring)





$$\longleftrightarrow x_1^2 x_3 x_4 x_6^2$$


combinatorial object
(proper colouring)


monomial


 $\leftrightarrow x_1$

 $\leftrightarrow x_4$

 $\leftrightarrow x_2$





 $\leftrightarrow x_5$

 $\leftrightarrow x_3$

 $\leftrightarrow x_6$

The *chromatic symmetric function* of Γ is a sum of monomials, one for each proper colouring of Γ :

$$28 x_1^2 x_3 x_4 x_6^2 + 144 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6^2 + \dots$$




⋮

⋮


Another example

- Let's compute $X_{\Gamma}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where

$$\Gamma = \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---}$$


Another example

- Let's compute $X_{\Gamma}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where



- 3! ways to colour Γ with colours $\{1, 2, 3\}$, each giving $x_1x_2x_3$.

Another example

- Let's compute $X_{\Gamma}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where

$$\Gamma = \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ$$

- 3! ways to colour Γ with colours $\{1, 2, 3\}$, each giving $x_1x_2x_3$.
- 2 ways to colour Γ with colours $\{i, j\}$, giving $x_i^2x_j$ and $x_ix_j^2$.

Another example


- Let's compute $X_\Gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where

$$\Gamma = \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ$$

- 3! ways to colour Γ with colours $\{1, 2, 3\}$, each giving $x_1x_2x_3$.
- 2 ways to colour Γ with colours $\{i, j\}$, giving $x_i^2x_j$ and $x_ix_j^2$.
- 0 ways to colour Γ with only one colour — no occurrences of x_i^3 .


Another example

- Let's compute $X_\Gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, where




- 3! ways to colour Γ with colours $\{1, 2, 3\}$, each giving $x_1x_2x_3$.
- 2 ways to colour Γ with colours $\{i, j\}$, giving $x_i^2x_j$ and $x_ix_j^2$.
- 0 ways to colour Γ with only one colour — no occurrences of x_i^3 .

$$\begin{aligned} X_\Gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 6x_1x_2x_3 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 \\ &= 6m_{(1,1,1)} + m_{(2,1)} \end{aligned}$$




- Express $X_\Gamma(x)$ in a different basis:



$$\begin{aligned} X_\Gamma(x) &= 28 x_1^2 x_3 x_4 x_6^2 + 144 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6^2 + \cdots \\ &= 720 m_{111111} + 144 m_{21111} + 28 m_{2211} \\ &= 168 s_{111111} + 60 s_{21111} + 28 s_{2211} \\ &= 28 e_{42} + 32 e_{51} + 108 e_6 \end{aligned}$$

- Express $X_\Gamma(x)$ in a different basis:



$$\begin{aligned}
 X_\Gamma(x) &= 28 x_1^2 x_3 x_4 x_6^2 + 144 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_5 x_6^2 + \dots \\
 &= 720 m_{111111} + 144 m_{211111} + 28 m_{2211} \\
 &= 168 s_{111111} + 60 s_{211111} + 28 s_{2211} \\
 &= 28 e_{42} + 32 e_{51} + 108 e_6
 \end{aligned}$$

- Some numerology:

$$28 + 32 + 108 = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma$$

$$28 + 32 = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with 2 sinks}$$

$$108 = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with 1 sink}$$

e -expansions and acyclic orientations


- **Theorem** (Stanley). If $X_\Gamma = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$, then

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)=j} c_{\lambda} = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with exactly } j \text{ sinks.}$$

e -expansions and acyclic orientations

- **Theorem** (Stanley). If $X_\Gamma = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$, then


$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)=j} c_{\lambda} = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with exactly } j \text{ sinks.}$$

- If $\Gamma =$ , then $X_\Gamma = e_{(2,1,1)} - 2e_{(2,2)} + 5e_{(3,1)} + 4e_{(4)}$.

e -expansions and acyclic orientations

- **Theorem** (Stanley). If $X_\Gamma = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$, then

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)=j} c_{\lambda} = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with exactly } j \text{ sinks.}$$

- If $\Gamma =$ , then $X_\Gamma = e_{(2,1,1)} - 2e_{(2,2)} + 5e_{(3,1)} + 4e_{(4)}$.
- **Open problem:** Characterize the graphs for which X_Γ is e -positive.

e -expansions and acyclic orientations

- **Theorem** (Stanley). If $X_\Gamma = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$, then

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)=j} c_{\lambda} = \# \text{ acyclic orientations of } \Gamma \text{ with exactly } j \text{ sinks.}$$

- If $\Gamma = \begin{array}{c} \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \\ \diagdown \quad | \quad / \\ \circ \end{array}$, then $X_\Gamma = e_{(2,1,1)} - 2e_{(2,2)} + 5e_{(3,1)} + 4e_{(4)}$.
- **Open problem**: Characterize the graphs for which X_Γ is e -positive.
- **Conjecture** (Stanley–Stembridge). If Γ is the incomparability graph of a $(3 + 1)$ -free poset, then X_Γ is e -positive.

Chromatic *quasisymmetric* functions

Chromatic *quasisymmetric* functions

- Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite graph on the vertex set $V = [n]$. We will consider colourings κ of the vertices by positive integers.

Chromatic *quasisymmetric* functions

- Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite graph on the vertex set $V = [n]$. We will consider colourings κ of the vertices by positive integers.
- Define the *ascent statistic* of κ as

$$\text{asc}_{\Gamma}(\kappa) = |\{(i, j) \in E : i < j \ \& \ \kappa(i) < \kappa(j)\}|$$


Chromatic *quasisymmetric* functions

- Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite graph on the vertex set $V = [n]$. We will consider colourings κ of the vertices by positive integers.
- Define the *ascent statistic* of κ as

$$\text{asc}_\Gamma(\kappa) = |\{(i, j) \in E : i < j \text{ \& } \kappa(i) < \kappa(j)\}|$$

- The *chromatic quasisymmetric function* of Γ is


$$X_\Gamma(x; t) = \sum_{\substack{\text{proper} \\ \text{colourings} \\ \kappa: [n] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\times}} t^{\text{asc}_\Gamma(\kappa)} x_{\kappa(1)} x_{\kappa(2)} \cdots x_{\kappa(n)}.$$

• $\Gamma =$ 


The diagram shows a path graph with three nodes labeled 1, 2, and 3. Node 1 is connected to node 2, and node 2 is connected to node 3. The nodes are represented by circles, and the connections are represented by thick black lines.

- $\Gamma = \textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3}$


- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\{\textcircled{i} < \bullet j\}$:

• $\Gamma =$ 


- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\left\{ \textcircled{i} < \bullet j \right\}$:



there is one ascent, giving $tx_i x_j^2$

• $\Gamma =$ 

- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\left\{ \textcircled{i} < \bullet j \right\}$:




there is one ascent, giving $tx_i x_j^2$



there is one ascent, giving $tx_i^2 x_j$

- $\Gamma = \textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3}$

- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\{\textcircled{i} < \bullet j\}$:




there is one ascent, giving $tx_i x_j^2$




there is one ascent, giving $tx_i^2 x_j$

This gives $t \sum_{i < j} x_i^2 x_j + t \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j^2$.



- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\{ \textcircled{i} < \bullet j \}$:




there is one ascent, giving $tx_i x_j^2$




there is one ascent, giving $tx_i^2 x_j$

This gives $t \sum_{i < j} x_i^2 x_j + t \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j^2$.


- There are $3!$ ways to colour Γ with colours $\{ \textcircled{i} < \bullet j < \bullet k \}$:




$\rightsquigarrow 2$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^2 x_i x_j x_k$




$\rightsquigarrow 1$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$




$\rightsquigarrow 1$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$



$\rightsquigarrow 1$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$




$\rightsquigarrow 1$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$



$\rightsquigarrow 0$ ascents $\rightsquigarrow t^0 x_i x_j x_k$

- $\Gamma = \textcircled{1} - \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3}$

- There are two ways to colour Γ with colours $\{\textcircled{i} < \bullet j\}$:



there is one ascent, giving $tx_i x_j^2$



there is one ascent, giving $tx_i^2 x_j$

This gives $t \sum_{i < j} x_i^2 x_j + t \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j^2$.

- There are $3!$ ways to colour Γ with colours $\{\textcircled{i} < \bullet j < \bullet k\}$:

$$\textcircled{} - \bullet j - \bullet k \rightsquigarrow 2 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^2 x_i x_j x_k$$

$$\textcircled{} - \bullet k - \bullet j \rightsquigarrow 1 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$$

$$\bullet j - \textcircled{} - \bullet k \rightsquigarrow 1 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$$

$$\bullet j - \bullet k - \textcircled{} \rightsquigarrow 1 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$$

$$\bullet k - \textcircled{} - \bullet j \rightsquigarrow 1 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^1 x_i x_j x_k$$

$$\bullet k - \bullet j - \textcircled{} \rightsquigarrow 0 \text{ ascents} \rightsquigarrow t^0 x_i x_j x_k$$

This gives $(t^2 + 4t + 1) \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$.

- In the example, $X_\Gamma(x; t)$ is symmetric in the x variables


- In the example, $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is symmetric in the x variables
- However, this is not always the case!

- In the example, $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is symmetric in the x variables
- However, this is not always the case!
- Shareshian & Wachs identified a class of graphs for which $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is symmetric

- In the example, $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is symmetric in the x variables
- However, this is not always the case!
- Shareshian & Wachs identified a class of graphs for which $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is symmetric
- For this class of graphs, they conjecture $X_{\Gamma}(x; t)$ is e -positive

Tuples of skew-partitions

- If the diagram of λ contains the diagram of μ , then the *skew-partition* λ/μ consists of the cells of λ that are not in μ .




$$\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1)$$

$$\mu = (3, 2, 1)$$

λ/μ contains 3 cells

Tuples of skew-partitions

- If the diagram of λ contains the diagram of μ , then the *skew-partition* λ/μ consists of the cells of λ that are not in μ .





$$\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1)$$

$$\mu = (3, 2, 1)$$

λ/μ contains 3 cells

- Tuples of skew-tableaux*, aligned according to diagonals:



Inversions in tuples of skew-tableaux

Given a tuple of skew-tableaux (T_1, \dots, T_k) , a pair of cells $c \in T_i$ and $d \in T_j$ form an *inversion* if

- $T_i(c) > T_j(d)$, where $T_i(c)$ denotes the entry in cell c

and either:

- $i < j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d)$, or
- $i > j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d) + 1$.


Inversions in tuples of skew-tableaux

Given a tuple of skew-tableaux (T_1, \dots, T_k) , a pair of cells $c \in T_i$ and $d \in T_j$ form an *inversion* if

- $T_i(c) > T_j(d)$, where $T_i(c)$ denotes the entry in cell c

and either:

- $i < j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d)$, or
- $i > j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d) + 1$.



LLT Polynomials

- For a *tuple of skew-partitions* $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \nu^2, \dots, \nu^k)$,

$$\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t) = \sum_{\substack{\vec{T}=(T_1, \dots, T_k) \\ T^i \in \text{SSYT}(\nu^i)}} t^{\text{inv}(\vec{T})} x^{T_1} \dots x^{T_k}$$

LLT Polynomials

- For a *tuple of skew-partitions* $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \nu^2, \dots, \nu^k)$,

$$\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t) = \sum_{\substack{\vec{T}=(T_1, \dots, T_k) \\ T^i \in \text{SSYT}(\nu^i)}} t^{\text{inv}(\vec{T})} x^{T_1} \dots x^{T_k}$$

- $\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t)$ are symmetric in the x variables.

LLT Polynomials


- For a *tuple of skew-partitions* $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \nu^2, \dots, \nu^k)$,

$$\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t) = \sum_{\substack{\vec{T}=(T_1, \dots, T_k) \\ T^i \in \text{SSYT}(\nu^i)}} t^{\text{inv}(\vec{T})} x^{T_1} \dots x^{T_k}$$


- $\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t)$ are symmetric in the x variables.
- **Example.** $s_{(3)} + 2t s_{(2,1)} + t^2 s_{(1,1,1)}$

Unicellular LLT polynomials


If every ν^i in $\vec{\nu}$ is a single cell, then $\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t)$ is *unicellular*.



- Define a graph $\Gamma_{\vec{\nu}}$ on the cells of $\vec{\nu}$ with an edge connecting $c \in \nu^i$ and $d \in \nu^j$ whenever
 - $i < j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d)$; or
 - $i > j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d) + 1$.



- Define a graph $\Gamma_{\vec{\nu}}$ on the cells of $\vec{\nu}$ with an edge connecting $c \in \nu^i$ and $d \in \nu^j$ whenever
 - $i < j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d)$; or
 - $i > j$ and $\text{diag}(c) = \text{diag}(d) + 1$.





- $\text{inv}(\vec{T})$ statistic equals the ascent statistic of the colouring

Proposition. If $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^k)$ is unicellular, then

$$\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x; t) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all colourings} \\ \kappa: [k] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\times}} t^{\text{asc}(\kappa)} x_{\kappa(1)} x_{\kappa(2)} \cdots x_{\kappa(k)}.$$

Example.



$$\text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}(x_1, x_2, x_3; t) = s_{(3)} + 2ts_{(2,1)} + t^2 s_{(1,1,1)}$$

From LLT to chromatic quasisymmetric polynomials

Theorem. If $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^k)$ is unicellular, then

$$X_{\Gamma_{\vec{\nu}}}(x; t) = \frac{1}{(t-1)^k} \text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}[(t-1)x; t].$$

From LLT to chromatic quasisymmetric polynomials

Theorem. If $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^k)$ is unicellular, then

$$X_{\Gamma_{\vec{\nu}}}(x; t) = \frac{1}{(t-1)^k} \text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}[(t-1)x; t].$$

- If f is a symmetric function, then $f[(t-1)x]$ denotes the *plethystic substitution* defined by

$$p_k[(t-1)x] = (t^k - 1)p_k(x).$$

From LLT to chromatic quasisymmetric polynomials

Theorem. If $\vec{\nu} = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^k)$ is unicellular, then

$$X_{\Gamma_{\vec{\nu}}}(x; t) = \frac{1}{(t-1)^k} \text{LLT}_{\vec{\nu}}[(t-1)x; t].$$

- If f is a symmetric function, then $f[(t-1)x]$ denotes the *plethystic substitution* defined by

$$p_k[(t-1)x] = (t^k - 1)p_k(x).$$

- **Attention:** You have to switch bases first!

- More details in the notes!

Representation theory

- A *representation (over \mathbb{C})* of a group G is a morphism of groups

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V), \quad \text{where } V \text{ is a } \mathbb{C}\text{-vector space.}$$

Representation theory

- A *representation (over \mathbb{C})* of a group G is a morphism of groups

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V), \quad \text{where } V \text{ is a } \mathbb{C}\text{-vector space.}$$

- By fixing a basis of V , we get a *matrix representation* of G :

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

and we define the *character* of the representation as

$$\chi_\rho(g) = \mathrm{trace}(\rho(g))$$

Symmetric functions from representations of S_n

- Let V be a representation of S_n with character χ .
- The *Frobenius characteristic* of V is the symmetric function

$$\text{Frob}(V) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma) p_{\text{cycletype}(\sigma)}$$

Symmetric functions from representations of S_n

- Let V be a representation of S_n with character χ .
- The *Frobenius characteristic* of V is the symmetric function

$$\text{Frob}(V) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma) p_{\text{cycletype}(\sigma)}$$

- A *graded representation* is a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} V_d$ equipped with an action of the group that maps each component V_d to itself. The *graded Frobenius characteristic* of $V = \bigoplus_d V_d$ is

$$\text{Frob}(V)(t) = \sum_d \text{Frob}(V_d) t^d \in \text{Sym}[[t]].$$

Theorem. Let $\text{CF}(S_n)$ be the algebra of characters of S_n .

- $\text{Frob} : \bigoplus_n \text{CF}(S_n) \rightarrow \text{Sym}$ is an algebra isomorphism.
- The Frobenius characteristic of an irreducible character is a Schur function s_λ ; and conversely.
- If χ and ψ are characters of S_n and S_m , respectively, then

$$\text{Frob}(\chi)\text{Frob}(\psi) = \text{Frob}\left(\text{Ind}_{S_n \times S_m}^{S_{n+m}}(\chi\psi)\right).$$

- If χ and ψ are characters of S_n , then

$$\langle \chi, \psi \rangle_{S_n} = \langle \text{Frob}(\chi), \text{Frob}(\psi) \rangle_{\text{Sym}}.$$