# Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavities

#### Andrea Aspri

Department of Mathematics "F. Enriques" University of Milan



Joint work with E. Beretta, C. Cavaterra, E. Rocca, M. Verani

Inverse Problems for Anomalous Diffusion Processes BIRS - Banff, Canada, May 9-13, 2022

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# Outline

#### Inverse problem: detection of cavities

- Motivation
- Analytical known results
- A variational method: a phase-field approach

#### 2 Numerical aspects

- A parabolic obstacle problem
- Numerical results
- 3 A Kohn-Vogelius type functional
- 4 Conclusions & open problems

# Outline

#### Inverse problem: detection of cavities

- Motivation
- Analytical known results
- A variational method: a phase-field approach

#### Numerical aspects

- A parabolic obstacle problem
- Numerical results

A Kohn-Vogelius type functional

Conclusions & open problems

• Detection of defects (cavities, inclusions, cracks) inside an elastic body from boudary measurements.

(B)

• Detection of defects (cavities, inclusions, cracks) inside an elastic body from boudary measurements.



N 4 E N

• Detection of defects (cavities, inclusions, cracks) inside an elastic body from boudary measurements.



• Detection of defects (cavities, inclusions, cracks) inside an elastic body from boudary measurements.



E 6 4 E 6

• Detection of defects (cavities, inclusions, cracks) inside an elastic body from boudary measurements.



Possible applications: medical imaging, non-destructive testing of materials...



Shao et al., Advancements of ultrasound elastography in the cervix, Ultrasound in Med. & Biol., 2021

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# **Detection of Cavities**

•  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain,  $\partial \Omega := \Sigma_D \cup \Sigma_N$ ;

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{C}, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)\nu = g & \text{on } \Sigma_N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D, \end{cases}$$
(1)



- ► C<sub>0</sub> is the fourth-order isotropic elasticity tensor, uniformly bounded, and strongly convex;
- $C \Subset \Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain (C = cavity);

• 
$$\widehat{\nabla} u = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T);$$

•  $g \in L^2(\Sigma_N);$ 

Forward Problem Given  $(C, \mathbb{C}_0, g) \rightsquigarrow$  find  $u \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega \setminus \overline{C})$ .

#### Inverse Problem

Given  $\mathbb{C}_0, g$ , and  $u_m$  on  $\Sigma_N \rightsquigarrow$  find  $\mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $u(\mathcal{C})|_{\Sigma_N} = u_m, (u(\mathcal{C}), sol, to, (1))$ 

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# **Detection of Cavities**

•  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain,  $\partial \Omega := \Sigma_D \cup \Sigma_N$ ;

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{C}, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)\nu = g & \text{on } \Sigma_N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D, \end{cases}$$
(1)



- ► C<sub>0</sub> is the fourth-order isotropic elasticity tensor, uniformly bounded, and strongly convex;
- $C \Subset \Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain (C = cavity);

• 
$$\widehat{\nabla} u = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T)$$

•  $g \in L^2(\Sigma_N);$ 

 $\frac{\text{Forward Problem}}{\text{Given } (C, \mathbb{C}_0, g)} \rightsquigarrow \text{ find } u \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega \setminus \overline{C}).$ 

#### Inverse Problem

Given  $\mathbb{C}_0, g$ , and  $u_m$  on  $\Sigma_N \rightsquigarrow$  find  $\mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $u(\mathbb{C})|_{\Sigma_N} = u_m, (u(\mathbb{C}), sol, to(1))$ 

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# **Detection of Cavities**

•  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain,  $\partial \Omega := \Sigma_D \cup \Sigma_N$ ;

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{C}, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C, \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u)\nu = g & \text{on } \Sigma_N, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D, \end{cases}$$
(1)



- ▶ C<sub>0</sub> is the fourth-order isotropic elasticity tensor, uniformly bounded, and strongly convex;
- $C \Subset \Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain (C = cavity);

$$\widehat{\nabla} u = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T);$$

•  $g \in L^2(\Sigma_N);$ 

 $\frac{\text{Forward Problem}}{\text{Given } (\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{C}_0, g)} \rightsquigarrow \text{ find } u \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega \setminus \overline{\mathcal{C}}).$ 

#### Inverse Problem

Given  $\mathbb{C}_0, g$ , and  $u_m$  on  $\Sigma_N \rightsquigarrow$  find  $\mathsf{C}$  s.t.  $u(\mathsf{C})|_{\Sigma_N} = u_m (u(\mathsf{C}) \text{ sol. to } (1))_{\bullet}$ 

### Inverse pb: known results

- Uniqueness: a single pair of Cauchy data  $\{g, u_m\}$  on  $\Sigma_N$  is sufficient to identify C, when
  - C is a Lipschitz domain;
  - ▶ C<sub>0</sub> satisfies a C<sup>0,1</sup> regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset, Ang-Trong-Yamamoto, Lin-Wang-Nakamura,...)

• Stability: very weak stability estimates (of log-log type) hold

 $d_H(C_1, C_2) \leq C(\log |\log(||u_m^1 - u_m^2||_{L^2(\Sigma_N)})|)^{-\eta},$ 

with 
$$C > 0$$
 and  $0 < \eta \le 1$ 

when

- $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains;
- ▶ C<sub>0</sub> satisfies a C<sup>1,1</sup> regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset)

<u>Remark</u>: in analogy to the case of a scalar elliptic equation, the stability estimate is quite optimal.

(Alessandrini, Mandache, Rondi, Di Cristo-Rondi)

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavities

A (B) (A) (B) (A) (B) (A)

### Inverse pb: known results

- Uniqueness: a single pair of Cauchy data  $\{g, u_m\}$  on  $\Sigma_N$  is sufficient to identify C, when
  - C is a Lipschitz domain;
  - ▶ C<sub>0</sub> satisfies a C<sup>0,1</sup> regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset, Ang-Trong-Yamamoto, Lin-Wang-Nakamura,...)

• Stability: very weak stability estimates (of log-log type) hold

$$d_H(C_1, C_2) \le C(\log |\log(||u_m^1 - u_m^2||_{L^2(\Sigma_N)})|)^{-\eta}$$

with 
$$C > 0$$
 and  $0 < \eta \le 1$ 

when

- $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains;
- $\mathbb{C}_0$  satisfies a  $C^{1,1}$  regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset)

<u>Remark</u>: in analogy to the case of a scalar elliptic equation, the stability estimate is quite optimal.

(Alessandrini, Mandache, Rondi, Di Cristo-Rondi)

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

### Inverse pb: known results

- Uniqueness: a single pair of Cauchy data  $\{g, u_m\}$  on  $\Sigma_N$  is sufficient to identify C, when
  - C is a Lipschitz domain;
  - $\mathbb{C}_0$  satisfies a  $C^{0,1}$  regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset, Ang-Trong-Yamamoto, Lin-Wang-Nakamura,...)

• Stability: very weak stability estimates (of log-log type) hold

 $d_{H}(C_{1}, C_{2}) \leq C(\log |\log(||u_{m}^{1} - u_{m}^{2}||_{L^{2}(\Sigma_{N})})|)^{-\eta},$ 

with 
$$C > 0$$
 and  $0 < \eta \leq 1$ 

when

- $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -domains;
- ▶ C<sub>0</sub> satisfies a C<sup>1,1</sup> regularity condition;

(Morassi-Rosset)

<u>Remark</u>: in analogy to the case of a scalar elliptic equation, the stability estimate is quite optimal.

(Alessandrini, Mandache, Rondi, Di Cristo-Rondi)

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# Inverse pb (cont.)

We set our analysis in the following framework

Unknown: C ∈ C:={C ⊂ Ω : compact, simply connected, with ∂C Lipschitz, and dist(C, ∂Ω) ≥ d<sub>0</sub> > 0};

Main issues

- Nonlinearity
- III-posedness

# Inverse pb (cont.)

We set our analysis in the following framework

• Unknown:  $C \in C := \{C \subset \overline{\Omega} : \text{ compact, simply connected, with } \partial C \text{ Lipschitz, and } dist(C, \partial \Omega) \ge d_0 > 0\};$ 

#### Main issues

- Nonlinearity
- Ill-posedness ( → noise in the measurements)
- Available measured data:  $u_{meas} \in L^2(\Sigma_N)$  s.t.

 $\|u_{meas} - u_m\|_{L^2(\Sigma_N)} \leq \eta, \quad \eta > 0 \text{ is the noise level}$ 

# Inverse pb (cont.)

We set our analysis in the following framework

• Unknown:  $C \in C := \{C \subset \overline{\Omega} : \text{ compact, simply connected, with } \partial C \text{ Lipschitz, and } dist(C, \partial \Omega) \ge d_0 > 0\};$ 

Main issues

- Nonlinearity

- Ill-posedness ( → noise in the measurements)

• Available measured data:  $u_{meas} \in L^2(\Sigma_N)$  s.t.

 $\|u_{meas} - u_m\|_{L^2(\Sigma_N)} \le \eta, \quad \eta > 0$  is the noise level

Reconstruction algorithms: level set methods, topological derivative, shape derivative, monotonicity method, method of fundamental solutions,... (*Ameur-Burger-Hackl, Ammari-Kang-Nakamura-Tanuma, Belhachmi-Meftahi, Ben Abda-Jaïem-Khalfallah-Zine, Bonnet-Constantinescu, Carpio-Rapún, Eberle-Harrach, Ikehata-Itou, Kaltenbacher, Kang-Kim-Lee, Karageorghis-Lesnic-Ma, Martínez–Castro-Faris-Gallego,...*)

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

### Variational Approach

 Approach the inverse problem as a minimization problem

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J(C) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x)}_{\sum_N}$$

Misfit functional

 u(C) solution to the boundary value problem (1);

Scherzer et al., Variational Methods in Imaging, Applied Mathematical Sciences 167, Springer, 2009. 4 🖻 🕨 🚊 🛷 🤉

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# Variational Approach

• Approach the inverse problem as a minimization problem

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J(C) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x)}_{\text{Misfit functional}}$$

 u(C) is the solution to the boundary value problem (1);



#### Poor reconstruction is due to the ill-posedness of the inverse problem!

Scherzer et al., Variational Methods in Imaging, Applied Mathematical Sciences 167, Springer, 2009. 🕢 🖹 👘 😤 🛷 🔍

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# Variational Approach

• Approach the inverse problem as a minimization problem

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J(C) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x)}_{\text{Misfit functional}}$$

 u(C) is the solution to the boundary value problem (1);



#### Poor reconstruction is due to the ill-posedness of the inverse problem!

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

Scherzer et al., Variational Methods in Imaging, Applied Mathematical Sciences 167, Springer, 2009. Վ 🖹 🕨 🚊 🛶 🖓 🔍

# Variational Approach (cont.)

To mitigate the ill-posedness of the inverse problem a regularization term is needed.

• Add the perimeter of *C* as a regularization term in the functional (*Rondi, Deckelnick-Elliot-Styles, Beretta-Ratti-Verani,A.-Beretta-Cavaterra-Rocca-Verani*)

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{reg}(C) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 d\sigma(x)}_{\text{Misfit func.}} + \underbrace{\alpha \text{Per}(C)}_{\text{Regularization func.}}$$

- u(C) is the solution to the boundary value problem (1);
- $\alpha > 0$  is a regularization parameter;
- Per(C) is the perimeter of C.

### Analytical Results

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{reg}(C) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x) + \alpha \operatorname{Per}(C)$$

• Continuity properties of *u*(*C*) with respect to perturbations of *C*;

Theorem (A.,Beretta,Cavaterra,Rocca,Verani (2022))

Let  $C_n \in C$  be a sequence of sets converging to C in the Hausdorff metric, and let  $u(C_n) =: u_n \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega \setminus C_n)$ ,  $u(C) =: u \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega \setminus C)$  be solutions of (1) in  $\Omega \setminus C_n$ ,  $\Omega \setminus C$ , respectively. Then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\Sigma_N}|u_n-u|^2\,d\sigma(x)=0.$$

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

• Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Stability with respect to noisy data

if  $u_n \to u_{meas}$  then  $d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, n \to +\infty$ 

where  $\tilde{C}$  is a solution of min<sub> $C \in C$ </sub>  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

- Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;
- Stability with respect to noisy data

if  $u_n \to u_{meas}$  then  $d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, n \to +\infty$ 

where  $\widetilde{C}$  is a solution of min<sub> $C \in C$ </sub>  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ →

- Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;
- Stability with respect to noisy data

 $\text{if } u_n \to u_{meas} \text{ then } d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, \quad n \to +\infty$ where  $\widetilde{C}$  is a solution of  $\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

- (日本) - (1)

• Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Stability with respect to noisy data

if  $u_n \to u_{meas}$  then  $d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, n \to +\infty$ 

where  $\tilde{C}$  is a solution of  $\min_{C \in C} J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

- (個) - (日) - (日) - (日)

• Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Stability with respect to noisy data

if  $u_n \to u_{meas}$  then  $d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, n \to +\infty$ 

where  $\tilde{C}$  is a solution of  $\min_{C \in C} J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

- 「「「」、「」、「」、「」、「」、

• Existence of minima for  $J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Stability with respect to noisy data

if  $u_n \to u_{meas}$  then  $d_H(C_n, \widetilde{C}) \to 0, n \to +\infty$ 

where  $\tilde{C}$  is a solution of  $\min_{C \in C} J_{reg}(C)$ ;

• Convergence of minimizers as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  to the solution of the inverse problem;

#### How to proceed numerically?

...use suitable "relaxations" of the functional  $J_{reg}$  to overcome issues arising from non-convexity and non-differentiability of  $J_{reg}$ ...

3

# Outline

#### Inverse problem: detection of cavities

- Motivation
- Analytical known results
- A variational method: a phase-field approach

#### 2 Numerical aspects

- A parabolic obstacle problem
- Numerical results

A Kohn-Vogelius type functional

Conclusions & open problems

# Towards Numerical Algorithm

First step: Problem

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{reg}(C) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(C) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x) + \alpha \operatorname{Per}(C)$$

is equivalent to

$$\min_{\overline{\nu}\in\mathcal{X}_{0,1}} J_{reg}(\overline{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(\overline{\nu}) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x) + \alpha \, \mathcal{TV}(\overline{\nu})$$

• 
$$TV(\overline{\mathbf{v}}) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \overline{\mathbf{v}} \operatorname{div}(\varphi); \quad \varphi \in C_0^1(\Omega), \, \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 1 \right\};$$

• 
$$X_{0,1}(\Omega) := \{ v \in BV(\Omega) : v = \chi_C \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, C \in \mathcal{C} \};$$

• 
$$BV(\Omega) = \{ v \in L^1(\Omega) : TV(v) < \infty \}.$$

▶ < ∃ >

# Towards Numerical Algorithm (cont.)

Second step (filling the cavity): let  $\delta > 0$  be sufficiently small; then, consider

$$\min_{\overline{\nu}\in X_{0,1}}\overline{J}_{reg}(\overline{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_N}|u_{\delta}(\overline{\nu}) - u_{meas}|^2 d\sigma(x) + \alpha TV(\overline{\nu})$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(\overline{\nu})\widehat{\nabla} u_{\delta}(\overline{\nu})) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ (\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(\overline{\nu})\widehat{\nabla} u_{\delta}(\overline{\nu}))\nu = g & \text{ on } \Sigma_{N}, \\ u_{\delta}(\overline{\nu}) = 0 & \text{ on } \Sigma_{D}, \end{cases}$$
(2)

$$\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(\overline{v}) = \mathbb{C}_0 + (\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0)\overline{v}, \text{ with } \mathbb{C}_1 = \frac{\delta}{\mathbb{C}_0}.$$

$$\delta C_0$$
  
 $C_0$ 

# Approximation of Characteristic Functions



•  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : 0 \le v(x) \le 1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, v(x) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_1 \},$ 

•  $\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : dist(x, \partial \Omega) \le d_0\}.$ 

v is the phase-field variable.

# Approximation of Characteristic Functions



•  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : 0 \le v(x) \le 1 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, v(x) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega_1 \},$ 

• 
$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : dist(x, \partial \Omega) \le d_0\}.$$

v is the phase-field variable.

$$P(\overline{v}) = egin{cases} TV(\overline{v}) & ext{if } \overline{v} \in X_{0,1}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola functional: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $M_{\varepsilon} : L^1(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

$$M_{\varepsilon}(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( \varepsilon |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v(1-v) \right) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola (1977)

 $M_ε$  Γ-converges to P as  $ε \to 0$ .

Issue: by Modica-Mortola, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the limit  $\overline{v}$  is the characteristic function of a finite perimeter set only.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

$${m P}(\overline{v}) = egin{cases} TV(\overline{v}) & ext{if } \overline{v} \in X_{0,1}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola functional: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $M_{\varepsilon} : L^{1}(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

$$M_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) = egin{cases} rac{4}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( arepsilon |
abla \mathbf{v}|^2 + rac{1}{arepsilon} \mathbf{v}(1-\mathbf{v}) 
ight) & ext{if } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola (1977)

 $M_ε$  Γ-converges to P as  $ε \to 0$ .

Issue: by Modica-Mortola, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the limit  $\overline{v}$  is the characteristic function of a finite perimeter set only.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

$${m P}(\overline{v}) = egin{cases} TV(\overline{v}) & ext{if } \overline{v} \in X_{0,1}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola functional: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $M_{\varepsilon} : L^{1}(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

$$M_{\varepsilon}(v) = egin{cases} rac{4}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( arepsilon |
abla v|^2 + rac{1}{arepsilon} v(1-v) 
ight) & ext{if } v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Modica-Mortola (1977)

 $M_ε$  Γ-converges to P as  $ε \to 0$ .

Issue: by Modica-Mortola, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the limit  $\overline{\nu}$  is the characteristic function of a finite perimeter set only.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

$${m P}(\overline{v}) = egin{cases} TV(\overline{v}) & ext{if } \overline{v} \in X_{0,1}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola functional: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $M_{\varepsilon} : L^{1}(\Omega) \to [0, +\infty]$  s.t.

$$M_{\varepsilon}(v) = egin{cases} rac{4}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( arepsilon |
abla v|^2 + rac{1}{arepsilon} v(1-v) 
ight) & ext{if } v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Modica-Mortola (1977)

 $M_{\varepsilon}$  Γ-converges to P as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

Issue: by Modica-Mortola, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the limit  $\overline{v}$  is the characteristic function of a finite perimeter set only.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

### Phase-field Approach

For  $\varepsilon, \delta >$  0, find

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathcal{K}(\Omega)}J_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}):=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_N}|\boldsymbol{u}_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{u}_{meas}|^2+\frac{4\alpha}{\pi}\int_{\Omega}\left(\varepsilon|\nabla\boldsymbol{v}|^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{v}(1-\boldsymbol{v})\right)$$

•  $u_{\delta}(v)$  solution to

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v)\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{\delta}(v)) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ (\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v)\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{\delta}(v))\nu = g & \text{ on } \Sigma_{N}, \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{\delta}(v) = 0 & \text{ on } \Sigma_{D}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v) = \mathbb{C}_0 + v(\delta - 1)\mathbb{C}_0.$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

## Analytical Results

• <u>Continuity</u>: For any  $\delta > 0$ , the map  $\overline{F} : v \to u_{\delta}(v) \lfloor_{\Sigma_N}$  is continuous from  $\overline{\mathcal{K}(\Omega)}$  to  $L^2(\Sigma_N)$  in the  $L^1$  topology,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\Sigma_N}|u_{\delta}(v_n)-u_{\delta}(v)|^2\,d\sigma(x)=0.$$

<u>Existence of solutions</u>: For any δ, ε > 0, Problem min<sub>ν∈K(Ω)</sub> J<sub>δ,ε</sub>(ν) admits a solution ν = ν<sub>δ,ε</sub> ∈ K(Ω).

# Analytical Results (cont.)

Necessary opt. cond. (A.,Beretta,Cavaterra,Rocca,Verani (2022)) Any minimizer  $v_{\delta,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$J_{\delta,arepsilon}'(oldsymbol{v}_arepsilon)[\omega-oldsymbol{v}_arepsilon]\geq 0, \qquad orall \omega\in\mathcal{K}(\Omega),$$

where,

$$egin{aligned} J_{\delta,arepsilon}'(m{v})[artheta] &= \int_\Omega artheta(\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1)\widehat{
abla} u_\delta(m{v}): \widehat{
abla} p_\delta(m{v}) \ &+ rac{8lphaarepsilon}{\pi}\int_\Omega \widehat{
abla} m{v}: \widehat{
abla} artheta + rac{4lpha}{arepsilon\pi}\int_\Omega (1-2m{v})artheta. \end{aligned}$$

and  $p_{\delta} \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega)$  is the solution to the *adjoint problem* 

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \widehat{\nabla} p_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) : \widehat{\nabla} \psi = \int_{\Sigma_{N}} (u_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) - u_{meas}) \psi, \qquad \forall \psi \in H^{1}_{\Sigma_{D}}(\Omega).$$

### Proof

1. The map  $F : \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega), F(v) = u_{\delta}(v)$  is Fréchet differentiable in  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , i.e.

$$F'(v)[\vartheta] = u^{\sharp}(v), \text{ for } \vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap (\mathcal{K} - v),$$

where  $u^{\sharp}(v)$  is the solution in  $H^{1}_{\Sigma_{\Omega}}(\Omega)$  of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \widehat{\nabla} u^{\sharp}(\mathbf{v}) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta(\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega);$$

(...using energy estimates for  $u_\delta$  and the fact that  $artheta\in L^\infty(\Omega)...$ 

2. By chain rule

$$J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(v)[\vartheta] = \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas})F'(v)[\vartheta] + \widetilde{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2\varepsilon \nabla v : \nabla \vartheta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - 2v)\vartheta \right)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas}) F'(v)[\vartheta] = \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas}) u^{\sharp}(v) =$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1) \vartheta \widehat{\nabla} F(v) : \widehat{\nabla} p_{\delta}(v).$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

### Proof

1. The map  $F : \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega), F(v) = u_{\delta}(v)$  is Fréchet differentiable in  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , i.e.

$$F'(v)[\vartheta] = u^{\sharp}(v), \text{ for } \vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap (\mathcal{K} - v),$$

where  $u^{\sharp}(v)$  is the solution in  $H^{1}_{\Sigma_{D}}(\Omega)$  of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v) \widehat{\nabla} u^{\sharp}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta(\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\delta}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega);$$

(...using energy estimates for  $u_\delta$  and the fact that  $\vartheta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ...)

2. By chain rule

$$J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(\mathbf{v})[\vartheta] = \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(\mathbf{v}) - u_{meas})F'(\mathbf{v})[\vartheta] + \widetilde{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{v} : \nabla \vartheta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - 2\mathbf{v})\vartheta \right)$$

and

$$\int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas})F'(v)[\vartheta] = \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas})u^{\sharp}(v) =$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1)\vartheta\widehat{\nabla}F(v):\widehat{\nabla}p_{\delta}(v).$$

## Proof

1. The map  $F : \mathcal{K}(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega), F(v) = u_{\delta}(v)$  is Fréchet differentiable in  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , i.e.

$$F'(v)[\vartheta] = u^{\sharp}(v), \text{ for } \vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap (\mathcal{K} - v),$$

where  $u^{\sharp}(v)$  is the solution in  $H^{1}_{\Sigma_{D}}(\Omega)$  of

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v) \widehat{\nabla} u^{\sharp}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \vartheta(\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1) \widehat{\nabla} u_{\delta}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} \varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega);$$

(...using energy estimates for  $u_{\delta}$  and the fact that  $\vartheta \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ...)

2. By chain rule

$$J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(\mathbf{v})[\vartheta] = \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(\mathbf{v}) - u_{meas})F'(\mathbf{v})[\vartheta] + \widetilde{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \left( 2\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{v} : \nabla \vartheta + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - 2\mathbf{v})\vartheta \right)$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas}) F'(v)[\vartheta] &= \int_{\Sigma_N} (F(v) - u_{meas}) u^{\sharp}(v) = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}_0 - \mathbb{C}_1) \vartheta \widehat{\nabla} F(v) : \widehat{\nabla} \rho_{\delta}(v). \end{split}$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

#### A Parabolic Obstacle Problem

Natural strategy: to find a phase-field critical point  $v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  ( $\rightsquigarrow$  i.e. to find at least a local minimum of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ) we use the following Parabolic Obstacle Problem:

• find 
$$v(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$$
,  $t \ge 0$  s.t.  $v(\cdot, 0) = v_0$  and  

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t v(\omega - v) + J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}, t \in (0 + \infty).$$
(3)

In fact,

- choosing  $\omega = v(\cdot, t \Delta t)$  in (3);
- dividing by  $\Delta t$ ;
- sending  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$\|v_t\|^2 + J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)v_t \leq 0, \quad ext{that is} \quad \frac{d}{dt}J_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v(\cdot,t)) \leq 0$$

If  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} v(\cdot, t) := v_{\infty}$  exists, we expect that  $v_{\infty}$  is a solution of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0.$ 

(1) マン・ション・

### A Parabolic Obstacle Problem

Natural strategy: to find a phase-field critical point  $v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  ( $\rightsquigarrow$  i.e. to find at least a local minimum of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ) we use the following Parabolic Obstacle Problem:

• find 
$$v(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$$
,  $t \ge 0$  s.t.  $v(\cdot, 0) = v_0$  and  

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t v(\omega - v) + J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}, t \in (0 + \infty).$$
(3)

In fact,

- choosing  $\omega = v(\cdot, t \Delta t)$  in (3);
- ▶ dividing by ∆t;
- sending  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$\|v_t\|^2 + J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)v_t \leq 0, \quad ext{that is} \quad \frac{d}{dt}J_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v(\cdot,t)) \leq 0$$

If  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} v(\cdot, t) := v_{\infty}$  exists, we expect that  $v_{\infty}$  is a solution of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0.$ 

### A Parabolic Obstacle Problem

Natural strategy: to find a phase-field critical point  $v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  satisfying  $J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  ( $\rightsquigarrow$  i.e. to find at least a local minimum of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ ) we use the following Parabolic Obstacle Problem:

• find 
$$v(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$$
,  $t \ge 0$  s.t.  $v(\cdot, 0) = v_0$  and  

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_t v(\omega - v) + J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega - v] \ge 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{K}, t \in (0 + \infty).$$
(3)

In fact,

- choosing  $\omega = v(\cdot, t \Delta t)$  in (3);
- ▶ dividing by ∆t;
- sending  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$

$$\|v_t\|^2 + J_{\delta,arepsilon}'(v)v_t \leq 0, \quad ext{that is} \quad rac{d}{dt} J_{\delta,arepsilon}(v(\cdot,t)) \leq 0$$

If  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} v(\cdot,t) := v_{\infty}$  exists, we expect that  $v_{\infty}$  is a solution of  $J'_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v)[\omega-v] \ge 0.$ 

### Discretization

Let (*T<sub>h</sub>*)<sub>0<h≤h₀</sub> be a regular triangulation of Ω and define
 *V<sub>h</sub>* := {*v<sub>h</sub>* ∈ *C*(Ω) : *v<sub>h</sub>*|<sub>*T*</sub> ∈ *P*<sub>1</sub>(*T*), ∀*T* ∈ *T<sub>h</sub>*}, where *P*<sub>1</sub>(*T*) is the set of polynomials of first degree on *T*, and

$$\mathcal{K}_h := \mathcal{V}_h \cap \mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{h, \Sigma_D} := \mathcal{V}_h \cap H^1_{\Sigma_D}(\Omega).$$

• We denote by  $\{v_h^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{K}_h$  the sequence of approximations  $v_h^n \simeq v(\cdot, t^n)$  obtained as follows: given  $v_h^0 = v_0 \in \mathcal{K}_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1} &\in \mathcal{K}_{h}: \ \frac{1}{\tau_{n}} \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n}) (\omega_{h} - \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1}) \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (\mathbb{C}_{0} - \mathbb{C}_{1}) (\omega_{h} - \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1}) \widehat{\nabla} u_{h}^{n}: \widehat{\nabla} p_{h}^{n} + 2 \widetilde{\alpha} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1} \cdot \nabla (\omega_{h} - \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1}) \\ &+ \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} (1 - 2 \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n}) (\omega_{h} - \mathbf{v}_{h}^{n+1}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \omega_{h} \in \mathcal{K}_{h}, n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$
(4)

- $\tau_n$  is the time step,  $\widetilde{\alpha} = 4/\pi$ ;
- $u_h^n$ ,  $p_h^n \in \mathcal{V}_{h,\Sigma_D}$  are the discrete solutions of the forward problem and adjoint problem for  $v_h = v_h^n$ .

# Algorithm & Numerical Results

#### Algorithm 1 Discrete Parabolic Obstacle Problem

Set n = 0 and  $v_h^0 = v_0$ , the initial guess for the inclusion while  $||v_h^n - v_h^{n-1}|| > \text{tol } \mathbf{do}$ find  $u_h(v_h^n)$  solution of the forward problem with  $v = v_h^n$ find  $p_h(v_h^n)$  solution of the adjoint problem with  $v = v_h^n$ find  $v^{n+1}$  solving (4) update n = n + 1; end while

A (1) A (

# Meshes and Refinement





(b) Mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h$ : inverse problem.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

◆ □ ▷ 《 @ ▷ 《 큰 ▷ 《 큰 ▷ 》 E ♡ ○
Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavities

## Meshes and Refinement





(a) Boundary condition in numerical experiments: Neumann boundary conditions are assigned on the red part. Homogeneous Dirichlet conditions are assigned on the blue part.





Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

イロト イ 回 ト イ き ト イ き ト き う Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavitie





Figure: Example 1: noise 2%. Example 2: noise 5%. Example 3: no noise.

# Outline

#### Inverse problem: detection of cavities

- Motivation
- Analytical known results
- A variational method: a phase-field approach

#### Numerical aspects

- A parabolic obstacle problem
- Numerical results

3 A Kohn-Vogelius type functional

Conclusions & open problems

# Before concluding...an alternative

The use of the misfit functional is not the only possible one. An energy-gap functional can be used. Consider the two boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N) = 0 & \operatorname{in} \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)n = 0 & \operatorname{on} \partial C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)\nu = g & \operatorname{on} \Sigma_N \\ u_N = 0 & \operatorname{on} \Sigma_D, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D) = 0 & \operatorname{in} \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D)n = 0 & \operatorname{on} \partial C \\ u_D = u_{meas} & \operatorname{on} \Sigma_N \\ u_D = 0 & \operatorname{on} \Sigma_D. \end{cases}$$

Kohn-Vogelius type functional

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{KV}(C) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus C} \mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla}(u_N(C) - u_D(C)) : \widehat{\nabla}(u_N(C) - u_D(C)) \, dx + \alpha \operatorname{Per}(C)}_{\mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}}$$

Kohn-Vogelius func.

...one can repeat an analogous analysis as done in the previous slides(A. (2022))

《 □ ▷ 《 @ ▷ 《 클 ▷ 《 클 ▷ ③ 툴 · ♡) Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavities

## Before concluding...an alternative

The use of the misfit functional is not the only possible one. An energy-gap functional can be used. Consider the two boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)\nu = g & \text{on } \Sigma_N \\ u_N = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C \\ u_D = u_{meas} & \text{on } \Sigma_N \\ u_D = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D. \end{cases}$$

Kohn-Vogelius type functional

$$\min_{C \in \mathcal{C}} J_{KV}(C) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \setminus C} \mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla}(u_N(C) - u_D(C)) : \widehat{\nabla}(u_N(C) - u_D(C)) \, dx}_{K \to \mathbb{C}} + \alpha \operatorname{Per}(C)$$

Kohn-Vogelius func.

... one can repeat an analogous analysis as done in the previous slides(A. (2022))

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト - 4 ヨ ト -

# Before concluding...an alternative

The use of the misfit functional is not the only possible one. An energy-gap functional can be used. Consider the two boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_N)\nu = g & \text{on } \Sigma_N \\ u_N = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus C \\ (\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla} u_D)n = 0 & \text{on } \partial C \\ u_D = u_{meas} & \text{on } \Sigma_N \\ u_D = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_D. \end{cases}$$

Kohn-Vogelius type functional

Kohn-Vogelius func.

... one can repeat an analogous analysis as done in the previous slides(A. (2022))

### Relaxation of Kohn-Vogelius func.

For any  $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ , find

$$\begin{split} \min_{v \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)} J_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v) &:= J_{KV}^{\delta}(v) + \widetilde{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} \left( \varepsilon |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v(1-v) \right) dx, \\ \text{where } J_{KV}^{\delta}(v) &= \overline{J}_{ND} + J_{N}^{\delta}(v) + J_{D}^{\delta}(v) \text{ and} \\ J_{N}^{\delta}(v) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v) \widehat{\nabla} u_{N}^{\delta}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} u_{N}^{\delta}(v), \quad J_{D}^{\delta}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v) \widehat{\nabla} u_{D}^{\delta}(v) : \widehat{\nabla} u_{D}^{\delta}(v), \\ \overline{J}_{ND} &= - \int_{\Sigma_{N}} g \cdot u_{meas}. \end{split}$$

Functions  $u_N^\delta$  and  $u_D^\delta$  are solutions to the following problems

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v)\widehat{\nabla}u_{N}^{\delta}(v)) &= 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ (\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v)\widehat{\nabla}u_{N}^{\delta}(v))\nu &= g & \text{ on } \Sigma_{N}, \\ u_{N}^{\delta}(v) &= 0 & \text{ on } \Sigma_{D}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{C}_{\delta}(v)\widehat{\nabla}u_{D}^{\delta}(v)) = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_{D}^{\delta}(v) = u_{meas} & \text{ on } \Sigma_{N}, \\ u_{D}^{\delta}(v) = 0 & \text{ on } \Sigma_{D}. \end{cases}$$

### Necessary optimality condition

Any minimizer  $v_{arepsilon}$  of  $J_{\delta,arepsilon}$  satisfies the variational inequality

$$J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon})[\omega-\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}]\geq 0, \qquad orall \omega\in\mathcal{K},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\delta,\varepsilon}'(\mathbf{v})[\vartheta] = &\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta(\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} u_D^{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) : \widehat{\nabla} u_D^{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \vartheta(\mathbb{C}_1 - \mathbb{C}_0) \widehat{\nabla} u_N^{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) : \widehat{\nabla} u_N^{\delta}(\mathbf{v}) \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ 2 \widetilde{\alpha} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v} : \widehat{\nabla} \vartheta + \frac{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} (1 - 2\mathbf{v}) \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

Phase-field approaches for reconstruction of elastic cavitie

A B M A B M

### Numerical results - Kohn-Vogelius func.



Figure: Example 1: noise 5%. Example 2: noise 5%. Example 3: noise 2%.

# Outline

#### Inverse problem: detection of cavities

- Motivation
- Analytical known results
- A variational method: a phase-field approach

#### Numerical aspects

- A parabolic obstacle problem
- Numerical results

A Kohn-Vogelius type functional

Conclusions & open problems

# Conclusions

- We have introduced a phase-field approach in elastic inverse problems;
- The method is more versatile than others since no a priori information is needed (initial guess could also be v<sub>0</sub> = 0);

Open problems:

• Prove  $\Gamma$ -convergence of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  to J as  $\delta, \varepsilon \to 0$ , i.e.

$$J_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u_{\delta}(v) - u_{meas}|^2 + \frac{4\alpha}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \left( \varepsilon |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v(1-v) \right)$$

??  $\Gamma$  – converges to ?? (as  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ )

$$J(\overline{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(\overline{\nu}) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x) + \alpha \mathrm{TV}(\overline{\nu})$$

- Extend analytical and numerical results to other differential operators (e.g. evolution PDE systems, non-linear forward problems...);
- Improve numerical results in the case of non-convex cavities, working on the regularization term.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

# Conclusions

- We have introduced a phase-field approach in elastic inverse problems;
- The method is more versatile than others since no a priori information is needed (initial guess could also be v<sub>0</sub> = 0);

Open problems:

• Prove  $\Gamma$ -convergence of  $J_{\delta,\varepsilon}$  to J as  $\delta, \varepsilon \to 0$ , i.e.

$$J_{\delta,arepsilon}(\mathbf{v}):=rac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{\Sigma}_N}|u_\delta(\mathbf{v})-u_{meas}|^2+rac{4lpha}{\pi}\int_\Omega\left(arepsilon|
abla\mathbf{v}|^2+rac{1}{arepsilon}\mathbf{v}(1-\mathbf{v})
ight)$$

??  $\Gamma$  – converges to ?? (as  $\delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ )

$$J(\overline{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_N} |u(\overline{\nu}) - u_{meas}|^2 \, d\sigma(x) + \alpha \mathrm{TV}(\overline{\nu})$$

- Extend analytical and numerical results to other differential operators (e.g. evolution PDE systems, non-linear forward problems...);
- Improve numerical results in the case of non-convex cavities, working on the regularization term.

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")





Figure: Some of the Great Moments in Banff

Thank you for your attention

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")

< A 1

A B < A B </p>





Figure: Some of the Great Moments in Banff

#### Thank you for your attention

Andrea Aspri (Math Dept. "F. Enriques")