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## K3 surfaces

$X$ algebraic surface with nowhere vanishing 2-form $\Omega$
$N=\operatorname{NS}(X) \quad$ Néron - Severi group
$\rho=\mathrm{rk} N$
Standing assumptions (simplifying):
$\rho \geq 3$
$\operatorname{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(N) \simeq \mathrm{SO}_{1 . \rho-1}(\mathbb{R})$ gives a lattice
Singular fibers of elliptic fibrations are reduced and irreducible
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## Ample Cone
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- currents have continuous potentials

Theorem H $\exists!h^{\text {can }}: \partial^{\circ} \operatorname{Amp}_{c}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{H} \operatorname{eights}(X)$

- equivariant
- agrees with Silverman's canonical height for classes expanded by hyperbolic automorphisms
- $\forall p \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ the function $h_{\alpha}^{c a n}(p)$ is continuous in $\alpha$


Projection of region where $h_{\alpha}^{\text {can }}(p)=1$
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## Elliptic fibrations

Assume: singular fibers are reduced and irreducible
$X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ fibers of genus 1 , everything over base number field $k$ with $[k: \mathbb{Q}]<\infty$.
Theorem (Silverman, Tate):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}: B \rightarrow X \text { sections } \\
& \quad b \mapsto h_{X_{b}, \sigma_{0}(b)}^{c a n}\left(\sigma_{1}(b)\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a height function in class $\sigma_{0}^{*} \mathcal{O}\left(\sigma_{1}(B)-\sigma_{0}(B)\right)$
Variant (forget sections):
$\operatorname{Aut}_{\pi}(X) \times \operatorname{Pic}_{\pi}^{r e l}(X) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ eights $(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(B)$
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## Useful Lemma (F.-Tosatti):

$L^{0}$ line bundle of $\pi$-relative degree 0 on $X$.
There exists height $h_{L^{0}}^{p f}$ on $X$ s.t.
$\left.\forall b \quad h_{L^{0}}\right|_{X_{b}}$ is affine for the group law on $X_{b}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$

Archimedean variant (F.-Tosatti): cf. Betti form
Smooth closed $\omega$ on $X$ s.t. $\int_{X_{b}} \omega=0$
There exists continuous $\phi$ s.t. $\omega+\left.d d^{c} \phi\right|_{X_{b}} \equiv 0$
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Proofs are pedestrian (head-on).
Can we do better? Yes and No.
Why no?

What is $\partial^{\circ} \operatorname{Amp}_{c}(X) ?$
Blow up $\partial \operatorname{Amp}(X)$ at the rational rays.
i.e. add in $\mathbb{P}\left(\left[X_{b}\right]^{\perp} /\left[X_{b}\right]\right)$
$h_{\alpha}^{c a n}$ is Silverman's variations of canonical height on these sets
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$N=\operatorname{NS}(X) \quad$ Néron-Severi group
$G=\operatorname{SO}(N) \quad \Gamma=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$

$$
\mathscr{X}=\Gamma \backslash(G \times X) \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G=: \mathbb{Q}
$$

Many theorems can be stated using $\mathcal{X}$, but awkward because of $\partial^{\circ} \operatorname{Amp}_{c}(X)$
$G$-dynamics on $\mathscr{X} \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$-dynamics on $X \quad$ (Cantat, L. Wang) $P$-dynamics on $\mathscr{X} \Leftrightarrow \Gamma$-random walks on $X$
$P \subset G$ parabolic subgroup (Cantat—Dujardin)
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$g_{t}$ geodesic flow (diagonal subgroup)
Corollary: $\mu$ a $g_{t}$-invariant measure on $\mathbb{Q} / M$
(homogeneous dynamics)
has a lift $\mu_{\mathscr{X}}$ on $\mathscr{X}$ of relative entropy 1
e.g. "the" measure of maximal entropy: lift of volume
closed geodesic $\Leftrightarrow$ mme for hyperbolic automorphism Invariants of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$-orbits on $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ : volume of star-shaped set
(c.f. Silverman for a single automorphism)
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## Some questions

$U$-invariant measures, orbit closures?
$U$ : unipotent subgroup
(I don't know a formulation using $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ alone)

Precise counts of points ordered by height in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$-orbits?

Thank you!

