The Monge problem in Brownian stopping optimal transport

Young-Heon Kim

University of British Columbia

Based on joint work with

Nassif Ghoussoub and Aaron Zeff Palmer (UBC).

August 15, 2019 BIRS workshop: Convex Integratioon in PDEs, Geometry, and Variational Calculus.

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

Brownian motion and stopping time

Brownian motion:





A stopping time τ of Brownian motion is, roughly speaking, a random time, prescribed to satisfy a certain probabilistic condition, at which one stops a particle following the Brownian motion.

Brownian motion and stopping time

[Skorokhod problem in R^n] For given probability measures μ , ν , does there exist a **stopping time** τ of the Brownian motion such that

 $B_0 \sim \mu$ & $B_\tau \sim \nu$?



from CRM-physmath

Remark:

- For such a stopping time *τ* to exist (with 𝔼[*τ*] < ∞), we need
 - μ and ν are in subharmonic order, μ ≺_{SH} ν,
 i.e. ∫ ξdμ ≤ ∫ ξdν,
 ∀ subharmonic ξ : ℝⁿ → ℝ (Δξ ≥ 0).

Skorokod problem

[Skorokhod problem in R^n] For given probability measures μ , ν , does there exist a **stopping time** τ of the Brownian motion such that $B_0 \sim \mu$ & $B_\tau \sim \nu$?

from CRM-physmath

- [Skorokhod] [Root] [Rost] [Azéma&Yor] [Vallois] [Perkins] [Jacka] ...[Obloj]...
- ▶ [Hobson]
- [Beigleböck, Cox, & Huesmann '13].
 - Optimal transport unifies the previous results on Skorokhod problem.
- And many many more people.

Optimal Skorokhod problem

transportation cost c(x, y).

• e.g.
$$c(x, y) = |x - y|$$
.

• Can also consider cost $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} L(t, B_t) dt\right]$, etc.

Question: What can we say about an **optimal** stopping time τ for

$$\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mu,\nu) := \inf_{\tau} \{ \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{B}_0,\boldsymbol{B}_{\tau}) \right] \quad | \quad \boldsymbol{B}_0 \sim \mu \quad \& \quad \boldsymbol{B}_{\tau} \sim \nu \}?$$

- Existence?
- Uniquenss?
- Any extremal structure?
 - Does \(\tau\) drop mass only in lower dimensional sets (called barrier) ?

Martingale optimal transport:

Optimal Skorokhod problem is a special case of martingale optimal transport:

The joint distribution π ∼ (B₀, B_τ) is martingale: The distribution π_x ∼ B^x_τ satisfies martingale constraint for x ↦ π_x ∈ P(ℝⁿ):

 $\int y\,d\pi_x(y)=x.$

(Branches out while keeping the barycentre.)





Martingale optimal transport

• $MT(\mu, \nu)$: probability measures π on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with the marginals μ, ν , such that its disintegration $(\pi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ has barycenter at x (martingale constraint): $\int y d\pi_x(y) = x.$ $\inf_{\pi\in MT(\mu,\nu)}\int_{\mathbb{D}^n\times\mathbb{D}^n}c(x,y)d\pi(x,y).$



Remark: [Strassen] • $MT(\mu, \nu) \neq \emptyset$

 $\Leftrightarrow \mu$ and u are in convex order;

 $\mu' \prec_{\mathcal{C}} \nu$, i.e. $\int \xi d\mu \leq \int \xi d\nu$, \forall convex $\xi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.

Martingale optimal transport

• $MT(\mu, \nu)$: probability measures π on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with the marginals μ, ν , such that its disintegration $(\pi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ has barycenter at x(martingale constraint): $\int y d\pi_x(y) = x.$ $\inf_{\pi \in MT(\mu,\nu)} \int_{\mathbb{D}^n \times \mathbb{D}^n} c(x,y) d\pi(x,y).$



Remark: [Strassen]

► $MT(\mu, \nu) \neq \emptyset$ $\Leftrightarrow \mu \text{ and } \nu \text{ are in convex order;}$ $\mu \prec_{C} \nu, \text{ i.e. } \int \xi d\mu \leq \int \xi d\nu, \forall \text{ convex } \xi : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}.$

Optimal transport

Martingale optimal transport is optimal transport with the additional martingale constraint.

T(μ, ν): probability measures π on ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ with the marginals μ, ν.

Monge-Kantorovich problem:

$$\inf_{\pi\in\mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n}c(x,y)d\pi(x,y).$$

Many people contributed to this theory and related problems in PDE, geometry, probability,, machine learning, etc:

[Monge][Kantorovich][Brenier][McCann][Delanoë][Urbas] [Caffarelli] [Evans-Gangbo][Gangbo-McCann] [Trudinger-Wang] [Ambrosio][Otto][Villani][Figalli]

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Optimal transport

Martingale optimal transport is optimal transport with the additional martingale constraint.

T(μ, ν): probability measures π on ℝⁿ × ℝⁿ with the marginals μ, ν.

Monge-Kantorovich problem:

$$\inf_{\pi\in\mathcal{T}(\mu,\nu)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n}c(x,y)d\pi(x,y).$$

Many people contributed to this theory and related problems in PDE, geometry, probability,, machine learning, etc:

[Monge][Kantorovich][Brenier][McCann][Delanoë][Urbas] [Caffarelli] [Evans-Gangbo][Gangbo-McCann] [Trudinger-Wang] [Ambrosio][Otto][Villani][Figalli]

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Some motivating comments

Many breakthroughs I know in optimal transport (OT) came when it meets with other areas:

- economics: matching theory
- fluids: Brenier theory
- physics of gas and crystals: McCann's displacement convexity

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- diffusion phenomena: Otto calculus.
- Ricci curvature: Lott-Villani-Sturm theory.
- machine learning: Wasserstein GAN
- density functional theory ...
- general relativity ..
- stem cell research ..
- Q. What if OT meets convex integration?

Let us get back to the discussion of martingale optimal transport and Skorokhod problem.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Martingale optimal transport:

Backhoff, Bayraktar, Beiglböck, Bouchard, Claisse, Cox, Davis, Dolinsky, De March, Galichon, Ghoussoub, Griessler, Guo, Henry-Labordère, Hobson, Hu, Huesmann, Juillet, Kallblad, K., Klimmek, Lim, Neuberger, Nutz, Oblój, Palmer, Penkner, Perkowski, Proemel, Schachermayer, Siorpaes, Soner, Spoida, Stebegg, Tan, Touzi, Zaev, and many more people.......

Martingale optimal transport vs. optimal Skorokhod problem

π is martingale

 \Leftrightarrow

 $\int \psi(\mathbf{y}) d\pi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) \geq \psi(\mathbf{y}) \text{ for any convex function } \psi.$

[Ghoussoub, K., & Lim '17]
 π ~ (B₀, B_τ) for a (randomized) stopping time τ
 ⇔ π is subharmonic martingale:

 $\int \psi(\mathbf{y}) d\pi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) \geq \psi(\mathbf{y}) \text{ for any subharmonic function } \psi.$

・ロト・日本・モト・モー ショー ショー

They are the same in 1*D*:

• $1D \Rightarrow$ subharmonic = convex.

Different in general dimensions.

Randomized stopping time

Let
$$\Omega := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Stopping time

is a measurable **function** τ on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}^{\mu})$. $(\mathbb{P}^{\mu}=$ the Wiener measure with $B_0 \sim \mu$).



Randomized stopping time is a probability **measure** τ on the space $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Omega$, whose marginal on Ω is \mathbb{P}^{μ} .



A (nonradomized) stopping time gives Dirac mass along each path.

Randomized stopping time

Let
$$\Omega := \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}; \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Stopping time

is a measurable **function** τ on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}^{\mu})$. $(\mathbb{P}^{\mu}=$ the Wiener measure with $B_0 \sim \mu$).



Randomized stopping time is a probability measure τ on the space $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \Omega$,

whose marginal on Ω is \mathbb{P}^{μ} .



A (nonradomized) stopping time gives Dirac mass along each path.

Optimal Skorokhod problem: Kantorovich solution (a measure-valued solution)

- [Beiglböck, Cox & Huesmann '13] Randomized stopping times give Kantorovich relaxation to optimal Skorokhod problem.
 - The set of randomized stopping times from μ to ν is nonempty if μ ≺_{SH} ν.
 - Space of randomized stopping times is compact: weak* -compactness of the space of probability measures.
 - Optimal randomized stopping time exists through lower semi-continuity of the functional *τ* → ℝ[*c*(*B*₀, *B*_τ)] over **randomized stopping times**.

・ロ・・母・・ヨ・・ヨ・ ・ロ・

Optimal Skorokhod problem: Monge solution?

Question:

- When is the optimal Kantorovich solution a Monge solution?
 - In what case, does the optimal randomized stopping time become pure, that is, non-randomized stopping time?

Any associated structure?

Optimal Skorokhod problem:

Monge solutions (non-randomized stopping)

- ▶ [Beigleböck, Cox, & Huesmann '13].
 - Some variational tools, called monotonicity principle, comparing different paths.
 - geometric structures for the cost $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} L(t)dt\right]$.
 - Stopping time is given by hitting a certain **barrier**.
- [Ghoussoub, K. & Palmer '18]. For the cost $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} L(t, B_t) dt\right]$.
 - Some **analytical** tools based on dual formulation.
 - dual attainment
 - geometric structures
 - Stopping time is determined by hitting a certain barrier given by the optimal dual function.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Hitting time to a barrier in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ Barrier looks like the graph of a function on \mathbb{R}^n .



Hitting time to a barrier in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}^n$



hitting from below

hitting from above

c(x, y)

- [Ghoussoub, K. & Lim '17]
 - For c(x, y) = |x − y|^p, p > 1, p ≠ 2: geometric structures when μ, ν are radially symmetric in ℝⁿ.
 - Stopping time is given by hitting a certain barrier.
- [Ghoussoub, K. & Palmer '19]
 - Some **analytical** tools based on dual formulation.
 - dual attainment
 - For c(x, y) = |x − y|, geometric structures for general cases in ℝⁿ.
 - Stopping time is given by hitting a certain barrier determined by the optimal dual function.

Hitting time to a barrier in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$

The barrier depends on the starting point $x \in R^n$.



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 国 > ▲ 国 > → 国 → のへで

Hitting time to a space-time barrier

The barrier (depending on the starting point x) looks like a vertical wall in the space-time.

イロト 不良 とくほ とくほう 一日



Fundamental tool:

Duality and dual attainment

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQの

We will focus on the case:

dim ≥ 2.

$$\blacktriangleright c(x,y) = |x-y|.$$

Assume:

• *O* bounded open convex set in \mathbb{R}^n .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- supp μ , supp $\nu \subset O$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \boldsymbol{c} \in \boldsymbol{C}(\overline{\boldsymbol{O}} \times \overline{\boldsymbol{O}})$

Duality for OT with probabilistic constraints

Theorem Weak duality: $P_c(\mu, \nu) = D_c(\mu, \nu)$.

$$P_{c}(\mu,\nu) := \inf\{ \mathbb{E}[c(B_{0},B_{\tau})] \mid B_{0} \sim \mu \quad \& \quad B_{\tau} \sim \nu \}$$

•
$$D_c(\mu,\nu) := \sup_{\psi,\phi,p} \left\{ \int \psi(y) d\nu(y) - \int \phi(x) d\mu(x) \right\}.$$

while

•
$$\psi(y) - \phi(x) + \rho(x, y) \le c(x, y) \forall x, y \text{ and}$$

• $y \mapsto p(x, y)$ subharmonic and p(x, x) = 0.

Question: Dual attainment? (Does the dual optimizer (ψ, ϕ, p) exist?)

Dual attainment?

$$\sup_{\psi(y)-\phi(x)+p(x,y)\leq c(x,y)\forall x,y}\left\{\int\psi(y)d\nu(y)-\int\phi(x)d\mu(x)\right\}.$$

 $y \mapsto p(x, y)$ subharmonic and p(x, x) = 0. This additional term p(x, y) adds **non-compactness** of the problem for the dual attainment.

Remark

- dim= 1: Dual attainment is shown [Beiglböck, Nutz, & Touzi] [Beiglböck, Lim & Obloj].
- dim \geq 2: We show dual attainment (for the Skorokhod problem) for a certain class of c; e.g. |x y|.
- dim \geq 2: For martingale transport ($y \mapsto p(x, y)$ convex), dual attainment is open in general.

Dual attainment?

$$\sup_{\psi(y)-\phi(x)+p(x,y)\leq c(x,y)\forall x,y}\left\{\int\psi(y)d\nu(y)-\int\phi(x)d\mu(x)\right\}.$$

 $y \mapsto p(x, y)$ subharmonic and p(x, x) = 0. This additional term p(x, y) adds **non-compactness** of the problem for the dual attainment.

Remark

- dim= 1: Dual attainment is shown [Beiglböck, Nutz, & Touzi] [Beiglböck, Lim & Obloj].
- dim \geq 2: We show dual attainment (for the Skorokhod problem) for a certain class of c; e.g. |x y|.
- dim \geq 2: For martingale transport ($y \mapsto p(x, y)$ convex), dual attainment is open in general.

'Brownian' optimal tranport dual attainment

For attainment of the dual problem $D_c(\mu, \nu)$, we want to reduce it to a compact set of functions.

• Will use **dynamic programming** for the duality.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

• Will find a **normalization** for the functions ψ .

Duality via dynamic programming Theorem:

$$\inf \{ \mathbb{E} [c(B_0, B_\tau)] \mid B_0 \sim \mu \& B_\tau \sim \nu \} \\ = \sup_{\psi \in LSC(\overline{O})} \left\{ \int \psi(y) d\nu(y) - \int J_{\psi}(x, x) d\mu(x) \right\}.$$

The value function:

$$J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sup_{\tau \leq \tau_O} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(\boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\tau}) - \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\tau}) \right]$$

Notation:

- τ : (randomized) stopping time.
- τ_O the exit time of O:

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}} = \inf\{t \mid B_t \notin \mathcal{O}\}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

The value function:

$$J_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sup_{\tau \leq \tau_O} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(\boldsymbol{B}_{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{y}}) - \boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\tau}^{\boldsymbol{y}}) \right].$$

Remark: Compare

the usual value function in dynamic programming:

$$J_{\psi}(t, \mathbf{y}) = \sup_{\tau \geq t \& B_t = \mathbf{y}} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(B_{\tau}) - \int_t^{\tau} L(s, B_s) ds \right].$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

► $J_{\psi}(x, x)$ with the *c*-Legendre transform $\psi^{c}(x) = \sup_{y} [\psi(y) - c(x, y)].$

Dynamic programming principle For the value function:

$$J_{\psi}(x,y) = \sup_{ au \leq au_O} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(B^y_{ au}) - c(x,B^y_{ au})
ight]$$

We have **Dynamic programming principle:**

• $y \mapsto J(x, y)$ is the smallest superharmonic function over $y \mapsto \psi(y) - c(x, y)$.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

We will reduce the dual maximization problem for ψ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_{c}(\mu,
u) = \sup_{\psi \in LSC(\overline{O})} \left\{ \int \psi(y) d
u(y) - \int J_{\psi}(x,x) d\mu(x)
ight\}$$

to a compact function space, say \mathcal{B}_D :

$$\mathcal{D}_{c}(\mu,
u) = \sup_{\psi\in\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}}}\left\{\int\psi(y)d
u(y) - \int \mathcal{J}_{\psi}(x,x)d\mu(x)
ight\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Assumptions for dual attainment

Assume further

- $0 \leq \Delta_y c(x, y) \leq D$ (in the sense of viscosity)
- $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$
- ▶ µ ∈ H⁻¹(O)

Remark

- OK with ∆_yc(x, y) ≥ −M. Let c̃(x, y) = c(x, y) + h(y), with h solving ∆h = M, then, an optimizer ψ̃ for c̃ ⇔ an optimizer ψ̃ − h for c.
- Δ_y|x − y| = ∞ along x = y. But, still can handle this by reducing to the case supp µ ∩ supp ν = Ø.
- ► counterexample to dual attainment [Beiglböck/Juillet] if $\Delta_y c(x, y) = -\infty$ (e.g. c(x, y) = -|x - y|).

Assumptions for dual attainment

Assume further

- $0 \leq \Delta_y c(x, y) \leq D$ (in the sense of viscosity)
- $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$
- ▶ µ ∈ H⁻¹(O)

Remark

- OK with Δ_yc(x, y) ≥ −M. Let c̃(x, y) = c(x, y) + h(y), with h solving Δh = M, then, an optimizer ψ̃ for c̃ ⇔ an optimizer ψ̃ − h for c.
- Δ_y|x − y| = ∞ along x = y. But, still can handle this by reducing to the case supp µ ∩ supp ν = Ø.
- ► counterexample to dual attainment [Beiglböck/Juillet] if $\Delta_y c(x, y) = -\infty$ (e.g. c(x, y) = -|x - y|).

A key compact function space

Definition

$$\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}} \iff \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \psi \in \mathcal{H}_0^1(\mathcal{O}), \ \psi \leq 0, and \ \Delta \psi(\mathbf{y}) \leq \mathcal{D} \ ext{(weakly)}. \end{array}
ight.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Remark *The class* \mathcal{B}_D *is weakly* **compact** *as* $\|\psi\|_{H^1_0(\mathcal{O})} \leq M$ *for all* $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_D$. Want to normalized ψ to a function in \mathcal{B}_D .

A key lemma for dual attainment

Value function:

$$J_{\psi}(x,y) = \sup_{ au \leq au_O} \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(B^y_{ au}) - oldsymbol{c}(x,B^y_{ au})
ight]$$

Lemma

Assume $\Delta_y c(x, y) \ge 0$. (\leftarrow essential!) the superharmonic envelope $\psi^{SH}(y) = \sup_{\tau \le \tau_0} \mathbb{E}[\psi(B^y_{\tau})]$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Then,

$$J_{\psi-\psi^{\mathcal{SH}}}(x,y)\leq J_{\psi}(x,y)-\psi^{\mathcal{SH}}(y)\quad orall x,y\in O imes O.$$

(In fact, =.)

Normalization for dual attainment

• $\psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\psi} := \psi - \psi^{SH}$. Then, $\tilde{\psi} \le 0$ in O and $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ on ∂O .

$$\int (\psi - \psi^{SH}) d\nu - \int \underbrace{J_{\psi - \psi^{SH}}}_{\leq J_{\psi} - \psi^{SH}} d\mu \geq \int \psi d\nu - \int J_{\psi} d\mu.$$

(Used $\Delta_y c(x, y) \ge 0$ as well as $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$ here.) Dual value increases.

$$\blacktriangleright \ \bar{\psi}(y) := \inf_{x} [J_{\tilde{\psi}}(x, y) + c(x, y)].$$

• Dual value increases ($\bar{\psi} \geq \tilde{\psi}$ & $J_{\bar{\psi}} = J_{\tilde{\psi}}$.)

• $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}_D$:

- $\bar{\psi} \leq 0$ in *O* & $\bar{\psi} = 0$ on ∂O .

Normalization for dual attainment

(Used $\Delta_y c(x, y) \ge 0$ as well as $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$ here.) Dual value increases.

$$\blacktriangleright \ \overline{\psi}(\mathbf{y}) := \inf_{\mathbf{x}} [J_{\widetilde{\psi}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})].$$

• Dual value increases ($\bar{\psi} \geq \tilde{\psi}$ & $J_{\bar{\psi}} = J_{\tilde{\psi}}$.)

• $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}_D$:

- $\bar{\psi} \leq 0$ in *O* & $\bar{\psi} = 0$ on ∂O .
- $\ \ \, \triangleright \ \ \, \Delta_y c(x,y) \leq D \Longrightarrow \Delta_y \bar{\psi}(y) \leq D.$

Normalization for dual attainment

• $\psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\psi} := \psi - \psi^{SH}$. Then, $\tilde{\psi} \le 0$ in O and $\tilde{\psi} = 0$ on ∂O .

$$\blacktriangleright \int (\psi - \psi^{SH}) d\nu - \int \underbrace{J_{\psi - \psi^{SH}}}_{< J_{\psi} - \psi^{SH}} d\mu \ge \int \psi d\nu - \int J_{\psi} d\mu.$$

(Used $\Delta_y c(x, y) \ge 0$ as well as $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$ here.) Dual value increases.

•
$$\overline{\psi}(\mathbf{y}) := \inf_{\mathbf{x}} [J_{\widetilde{\psi}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})].$$

• Dual value increases ($\bar{\psi} \geq \tilde{\psi}$ & $J_{\bar{\psi}} = J_{\tilde{\psi}}$.)

- $\bar{\psi} \in \mathcal{B}_D$:
 - $\bar{\psi} \leq 0$ in $O \& \bar{\psi} = 0$ on ∂O .
 - $\Delta_y c(x, y) \leq D \Longrightarrow \Delta_y \overline{\psi}(y) \leq D.$

Dual attainment

Theorem

There exists $\psi^* \in \mathcal{B}_D$ that attains the maximum value of the dual problem, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{c}}(\mu,\nu) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \psi^*(\boldsymbol{y}) \nu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{y}) - \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\psi^*}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}) \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{x}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Optimal paths stop in the contact set

- τ^* optimal (randomized) stopping time.
- $\pi^* \sim (B_0, B_{\tau^*})$. the optimal plan.

Theorem

The optimal Brownian path stops at the contact set, namely, for π^* -a.e. $(x, y) \in O \times O$,

$$J_{\psi^*}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \psi^*(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}).$$



In particular $\tau^* \ge \eta := \inf\{t ; J_{\psi^*}(B_0, B_t) = \psi^*(B_t) - c(B_0, B_t)\}.$

Optimal paths stop in the contact set



An optimal path stops at the contact set, but, may enter inside, not necessarily stopping when it hits the boundary.

Hitting a barrier and stopping immediately?

Monge solution:

characterization as the hitting time to a barrier.





・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

We need a key condition called, the **stochastic twist condition**.

Stochastic twist condition

Definition the **stochastic twist (ST) condition** at (x, y): \forall stopping time ξ

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\nabla_x c(x, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}})\big] = \nabla_x c(x, \boldsymbol{y}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{0}.$$

Remark

- Compare with the usual twist condition in optimal transport: ∇_xc(x, y₁) = ∇_xc(x, y₂) ⇒ y₁ = y₂.
- [Henry-Labordere & Touzi '16] the martingale counterpart of the Spence-Mirrlees condition:

$$c_{yyx}(x,y) > 0, \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Stochastic twist condition: Examples

The quadratic cost $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ does not satisfy **ST**, because $\nabla_x |x - y|^2 = 2(x - y)$,

Example

- ► [Lim] c(x, y) = |x y|because $\nabla_x c(x, y) = \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \in S^{n-1}.$
- ► Riemannian distance c(x, y) = d(x, y) (as long as it is differentiable).
- Separable costs

$$c(x,y)=g(x)h(y)$$

with $\nabla g(x) \neq 0$ and $y \mapsto h(y)$ is either strictly superharmonic or strictly subharmonic.



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Monge solution: the hitting time to a barrier

Theorem

Suppose additionally

c satisfies the stochastic twist condition (ST) for all (x, y) ∈ O × O.

•
$$\mu \ll Leb$$
, $\mu(\partial \operatorname{supp} \mu) = 0$, and $\mu \wedge \nu = 0$.

Then,

 \exists unique optimal stopping time τ^* :



・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

 $\tau^* = \eta := \inf\{t; \ J_{\psi^*}(B_0, B_t) = \psi^*(B_t) - c(B_0, B_t)\}.$

Monge solution: the hitting time to a barrier

Theorem Assume

- $c(x, y) = |x y|, dim \ge 2,$
- supp $\mu \cap$ supp $\nu = \emptyset$.

Then,

- ∃ a constant D and ψ^{*} ∈ B_D such that (ψ^{*}, J_{ψ^{*}}) maximize the dual problem.
- \exists unique optimal stopping time τ^* :

 $\tau^* = \eta = \inf\{t; J_{\psi^*}(B_0, B_t) = \psi^*(B_t) - |B_0 - B_t|\}.$

Remark

May allow supp $\mu \cap$ supp $\nu \neq \emptyset$, but, the barrier will not be determined by a single dual optimizer ψ^* .

Monge solution: the hitting time to a barrier

Theorem Assume

- c(x, y) = |y x| and $d \ge 2$
- $\mu \prec_{SH} \nu$, and μ and ν have densities $f \in C(\overline{O})$ and $g \in C(\overline{O})$,

Then

- ► ∃! optimal stopping time *τ** that is randomized only at time 0.
- τ^{*} = 0 with density g ∧ f and otherwise τ^{*} is the hitting time η,

$$\eta = \inf\{t > 0; (B_0, B_t) \in R\}$$

for some $R \subset \overline{O} \times \overline{O}$ measurable.

Key steps in the proof:

Let

- ψ^* dual optimal solution
- τ^* optimal (randomized) stopping time
- π^* optimal plan
- the hitting time to the barrier

$$\eta = \inf\{t; J_{\psi^*}(B_0, B_t) = \psi^*(B_t) - |B_0 - B_t|\}.$$

We can show

•
$$\frac{d}{dh}\Big|_{h=0} J_{\psi^*}(x+h,x)$$
 exists μ -a.e. x .

From this we derive for $\xi=\tau^*-\eta$,

$$\mathbb{E}ig[
abla_x m{c}(x, m{B}^{m{y}}_{\xi})ig] -
abla_x m{c}(x, m{y}) = 0$$
 for π^* -a.e. $(x, m{y})$

ST implies $\xi = 0$ so $\tau^* = \eta$.

・ロト・四ト・日本・日本・日本・日本

(a.e.) differentiability of optimal J_{ψ^*}

$$J_\psi(x,y) = \sup_ au \mathbb{E} \left[\psi(B^y_ au) - oldsymbol{c}(x,B^y_ au)
ight]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

$\begin{aligned} \text{Lemma} \\ \|x\mapsto c(x,y)\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq K \implies \|x\mapsto J_\psi(x,y)\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq K. \end{aligned}$

Lemma

 $x \notin \operatorname{supp} \nu \Longrightarrow y \mapsto J_{\psi^*}(x, y)$ is harmonic near x.

(a.e.) differentiability of optimal J_{ψ^*} Lemma

- τ^* an optimal stopping time
- ζ be any stopping time, $\zeta \leq \tau^*$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\left[J_{\psi^*}(x, B_{\zeta}^x)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\psi^*(B_{\zeta}^x) - c(x, B_{\zeta}^x)\right] \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x.$$

Then, for μ -a.e. x

$$h \mapsto J_{\psi^*}(x+h,x), h \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left[J_{\psi^*}(x+h,B_{\zeta}^x)\right], and h \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left[J_{\psi^*}(x+h,B_{\tau^*}^x)\right] are differentiable at h = 0
 \frac{d}{dh}\Big|_{h=0} J_{\psi^*}(x+h,x)
 = \frac{d}{dh}\Big|_{h=0} \mathbb{E}\left[J_{\psi^*}(x+h,B_{\zeta}^x)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[-\nabla_x c(x,B_{\zeta}^x)\right]
 = \frac{d}{dh}\Big|_{h=0} \mathbb{E}\left[J_{\psi^*}(x+h,B_{\tau^*}^x)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[-\nabla_x c(x,B_{\tau^*}^x)\right].$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Remark

The results (the dual attainment and the hitting time property) hold for Brownian motion valued in Riemannian manifold, if

c(x, y) = d(x, y), the Riemannian distance (as long as it is differentiable).(⇒ ST.)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Some future work

- With control. $dX_t = Adt + dB_t$.
- More general cost.
- Multi-marginals / multiple stopping.
- Regularity of the ψ^{*}, J_{ψ^{*}} and the corresponding barriers (free boundaries).

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

References

- [Ghoussoub, K., & Lim] Optimal Brownian Stopping between radially symmetric marginals in general dimensions. ArXiv e-prints, 2017.
- [Ghoussoub, K. & Palmer] Optimal transport with controlled dynamics and free end times. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 2018.
- [Ghoussoub, K., & Palmer] PDE methods for Skorokhod embeddings. *Calc. Var. PDE (2019) 58: 113.* 2018.
- [Ghoussoub, K., & Palmer] A solution to the Monge transport problem for Brownian martingales Arxiv e-prints, 2019.



Thank you very much!