

Functional peaks-over-threshold analysis with an application to extreme European winter storms

Raphaël de Fondeville

Chair of Statistics, EPFL BIRS Workshop, Banff

December 2017

< 一部 本語 を 三 の の の



3s maximum wind gust of the storm Lothar during winter 1999

- ► 169 km/h maximum observed windspeed in Paris (Parc Montsouris).
- Estimated loss around 8 billion dollars.



 Classical techniques for risk estimation rely on historical catalogues and climate models:

 \Rightarrow Cannot generate completely new extreme events.

- Aim to develop a windstorm generator producing storms with
 - unobserved intensities, i.e., extrapolation above known levels;
 - unobserved patterns, i.e., new storm tracks and shapes.





- Gaussian (red) and t_{20} (blue) density functions matched to have probabilities 0.05 for |X| > 1.96.
- Ratio of t_{20} /Gaussian probabilities for |X| > x:

х	2	3	4	5	6	7
Ratio of probabilities	1.01	1.7	6.1	58	1589	1.7 <i>e</i> 5

Gaussian distribution has a quick tale decay which may strongly underestimate rare events:

 \Rightarrow Not suitable for extrapolation!



▶ For a threshold u > 0 and a bivariate vector with Fréchet margins and Gaussian copula,

$$\Pr(X_1 > u \mid X_2 > u) \sim C \times u^{-(1-\rho)/(\rho+1)} (\log u)^{-\rho/(1+\rho)},$$

and

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\Pr(X_1>u\mid X_2>u)=0.$$

where $-1 < \rho < 1$ is the correlation coefficient.

With a Gaussian spatial model, the extent of an extreme event decreases as u increases:

 \Rightarrow Strength of dependence should not depend on the intensity.



- ► Extreme value theory describes the tail of the distribution.
- Historically it was developped for "block maxima", i.e., to model annual/monthly maxima with the Genralized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution.
- Max-stable processes, the functional equivalent of GEV, are mathematically very complex and thus limited application to few dozens of locations.
- ► To model single events, an alternative is the peaks-over-threshold analysis.





Peaks-over-threshold models

For any random variable X, there exist sequences $a_n > 0$ and b_n such that

$$n\Pr\left[\frac{\{X-b_n\}_+}{a_n} > x\right] \\ n\Pr\left[\frac{\{b_n-X\}_+}{a_n} > x\right] \right\} \to \nu_{\xi}(x), \quad n \to \infty,$$

and u_{ξ} is either degenerate or

$$\nu_{\xi}(x) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \xi \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}, & 1 + \xi(x-\mu)/\sigma \ge 0, & \xi \neq 0; \\ \exp\left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right), & x \ge 0, & \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

with, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0$.

 ξ , the tail index, determines the strength of the tail and its support:

- ► $\xi > 0$ Fréchet type with $x \ge \mu$,
- $\xi = 0$ Gumbel type with $x \ge \mu$,
- $\xi < 0$ Weilbull type with $x \in (\mu; \mu \sigma/1/\xi)$;



For a large enough threshold $u < \inf\{x : F(x) = 1\}$, we can use the approximation

$$\Pr\left(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{u} > \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{X} > \mathbf{u}\right) \approx \begin{cases} \left(1 + \xi \mathbf{x}/\sigma\right)_{+}^{-1/\xi}, & \xi \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ \exp\left(-\mathbf{x}/\sigma\right), & \xi = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma = \sigma(u) > 0$ and $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$:

 \Rightarrow The conditional distributions of exceedances over a high threshold can be approximated by a GP distribution.



- Let $\{X(s)\}_{s \in S}$ be a stochastic process with sample paths in the space of continuous functions C(S), where $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ▶ Suppose there exist $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, sequences $a_n > 0$ and b_n with $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n(s) = \infty$ for all $s \in S$, such that

$$n\Pr\left[\left\{1+\xi\left(\frac{X-b_n}{a_n}\right)\right\}^{1/\xi}\in\cdot\right]\\n\Pr\left\{\exp\left(\frac{X-b_n}{a_n}\right)\in\cdot\right\}\right\}\to\Lambda(\cdot),\quad n\to\infty.$$
(1)

• A is a measure on $C_+(S) \setminus \{0\}$ satsifying

$$\Lambda\{x \in tA\} = t^{-1}\Lambda\{x \in A\}, \quad t > 0, \quad A \in C(S) \setminus \{0\}.$$

► Condition (1), which we write X ∈ GRV(Λ), is a form of functional regular variation (Hult and Lindskog, 2005).



- For a monotonic increasing functional r, an r-exceedance over a threshold u≥ 0 is an event {r(x) ≥ u}.
- $\blacktriangleright\ r$ is called a risk functional. Common examples are
 - $\sup_{s \in S} X(s)$ for events where X exceeds a threshold at least one location;
 - $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \int_{S} X_t(s) ds$ for spatio-temporal accumulation;
 - $\sqrt{\int_S X(s)^2 ds}$ when the risk is determined by the energy inside a system;
 - $X(s_0)$, with $s_0 \in S$ for risks at a specific location, for instance a dam or a power plant.
- \blacktriangleright For simplicity of exposure, we now further suppose that r is linear.



Theorem (de Fondeville and Davison, 2018)

Let r be a risk functional and let $X \in \mathrm{GRV}(\Lambda)$. Then there exist $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and a measure σ_{ang} on

$$S_{ang} = \{x \in C(S) : ||x||_1 = 1\},\$$

such that for any $\mathcal{W}\subset\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{ang}}$, and $ho\geqslant$ 0,

$$n\Pr\left[\frac{r(X) - r(b_n)}{r(a_n)} > \rho, \frac{X - r(b_n)}{\|X - r(b_n)\|_{ang}} \in \mathcal{W}\right] \to \left(1 + \xi \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi} \sigma_{ang}(\mathcal{W}),$$

as $n \to \infty$, for $\xi \neq 0$, and

$$n\Pr\left[\frac{r(X) - r(b_n)}{r(a_n)} \ge \rho, \exp\frac{X - r(X)}{r(a_n)} \in \mathcal{W}\right] \to \exp\left(-\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}\right) \sigma_{ang}(\mathcal{W}),$$
for $\xi = 0.$

□
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○
 ○

Sac



Generalized r-Pareto process (de Fondeville and Davison, 2018)

A generalized r-Pareto process P is defined by

$$P = \begin{cases} R \frac{W}{r(W)}, & \xi \neq 0, \\ R + \log W - r(\log W), & \xi = 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where

 \blacktriangleright R is a univariate generalized Pareto variable with tail parameter $\xi,$ and distribution function

$$\Pr(\mathbf{R} > \rho) = \left\{ 1 + \xi \frac{\rho - \mathbf{u}}{\sigma} \right\}^{-1/\xi}, \quad \rho \ge \mathbf{u} \ge 0,$$

with $\sigma > 0$;

► W is the stochastic process

$$W = A\{R_1Q\}^{\xi} + B.$$

where $A > 0, B \in C(S)$ with r(A) = 1 and $r(B) = 0, R_1$ is a unit Pareto distribution and Q is a stochastic process on $\{x \in C_+(S) : ||x||_1 = 1\}$ with probability measure σ_{ang} .

Generalized peaks-over-thresholds modelling

 \blacktriangleright r-Pareto processes are the only possible limits of rescaled threshold exceedances

for a regularly varying stochastic process. This means for a large enough threshold
$$u > 0$$
,

$$\Pr(X - u \in \cdot | r(X) > u) \approx \Pr(P \in \cdot).$$

► The r-exceedance distribution of P is

$$\Pr\left\{\mathbf{r}(P) \ge \rho\right\} = \left\{1 + \xi \frac{\rho - u}{\sigma}\right\}^{-1/\xi}, \quad \rho \ge u.$$

► The generalized r-Pareto process has generalized Pareto marignals above a sufficiently high threshold $u_0 \ge 0$:

$$\Pr\left\{P(s_0) \ge \rho \mid P(s_0) \ge u_0\right\} = \left\{1 + \xi \frac{\rho - \mu(s_0)}{\sigma(u_0)}\right\}^{-1/\xi}, \quad \rho \ge u_0,$$

with $\sigma(u_0) > 0$ and $\mu(s_0) \in \mathbb{R}$.





▶ In practice, choose a high threshold vector u > 0 such that the density function of the r-exceedances f_{θ}^{r} can be approximated by its limit

$$f^{\mathrm{r}}_{ heta}(x) pprox rac{\lambda^{\mathrm{r}}_{ heta}(x)}{\Lambda_{ heta}\{A_{\mathrm{r}}(u)\}}, \quad x \in A_{\mathrm{r}}(u),$$

with $A_{\mathrm{r}}(u) = \{x \in C(S) : \mathrm{r}(x) \geqslant u\}$ and where

$$\Lambda_{\theta}\{A_{\mathbf{r}}(u)\} = \int_{A_{\mathbf{r}}(u)} \lambda_{\theta}^{\mathbf{r}}(x) dx, \quad u \ge 0.$$

- For most models, the limiting measure Λ and its partial derivatives are known in Cartesian coordinates.
- Direct maximum likelihood estimation is in general not recommended and dimensionally limited because it requires Λ_θ {A_r(u)}.
- Model estimation in "moderately high" dimensions is possible within the framework of proper scoring rules (de Fondeville and Davison, 2017).



• An adaptation of the gradient scoring rule (de Fondeville and Davison, 2017) allows statistical inference using partial derivatives, with respect to x_1, \ldots, x_ℓ , of the log-density function,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{\mathrm{w}}(\lambda_{\theta,u}^{\mathrm{r}},x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(2w_i(x) \frac{\partial w_i(x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \log \lambda_{\theta,u}^{\mathrm{r}}(x)}{\partial x_i} + w_i(x)^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log \lambda_{\theta,u}^{\mathrm{r}}(x)}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \log \lambda_{\theta,u}^{\mathrm{r}}(x)}{\partial x_i} \right\}^2 \right] \right), \end{split}$$

where $w: A_r(u) \to r_+^\ell$ is a weighting function differentiable on $A_r(u)$ and vanishing on the boundaries of $A_r(u)$.

 \blacktriangleright Maximization of δ_w gives an asymptotically unbiased and normal estimator.



► Recall that for $\xi \neq 0$, *P* is

$$P = R \frac{A(R_1Q)^{\xi} + B}{\mathrm{r}\{A(R_1Q)^{\xi} + B\}}$$

- Suppose W follows a log-Gaussian distribution with stationary increments and semi-variogram γ .
- The ℓ -dimensional intensity function is

$$\lambda_{\theta}^{\mathrm{r}}(x) = \frac{|\Sigma_{\theta}|^{-1/2}}{x_1^2 x_2 \cdots x_{\ell} (2\pi)^{(\ell-1)/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_{\theta}^{-1} \widetilde{x}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\ell} \setminus \{0\},$$

where \widetilde{x} is the $(\ell-1)$ -dimensional vector

$$\{\log(x_j/x_1) + \gamma_{\theta}(s_j - s_1) : j = 2, \ldots, \ell\}^T,$$

and $\Sigma_{ heta}$ is the $(\ell-1) imes (\ell-1)$ matrix

$$\{\gamma_{\theta}(s_i-s_1)+\gamma_{\theta}(s_j-s_1)-\gamma_{\theta}(s_i-s_j)\}_{i,j\in\{2,\ldots,\ell\}}.$$

 </



The extremogram π(h) is a tool to measure the strength of dependence (~ variogram for extremes);

$$\pi(\mathbf{h}) = \Pr\left[X(s+h) > u \left| \{X(s) > u\} \cap \left\{r\left(\frac{X}{u}\right) > 1\right\}\right].$$

► For the Brown-Resnick model,

$$\pi(h) = 2\left[1 - \Phi\left\{\left(\frac{\gamma(h)}{2}\right)^{1/2}\right\}\right],$$

where γ is a valid semi-variogram and Φ is the cumulative distribution function of a Gaussian random variable.







- 3s maximum windgust every 3 hours for the period 1979 to 2016 from ERA-Interim reanalysis model.
- Storms are defined as an exceedance of an 24 hours temporal aggregation of the spatial mean:

$$\mathbf{r}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{8} \int_{S} X(s) ds.$$

- Time frame is centered on the 24 hour maximum of the spatial mean.
- ► 200 events are used to fit a Pareto process.

Application: Extreme European winter storms



Estimated $\pi(h)$ for two different locations



Extreme European winter storms: Marginal model





Scales



Locations



► Variogram model:

$$\gamma(s_i,s_j,t_i,t_j) = \left\|\frac{\Omega\{s_i-s_j+V(t_i-t_j)\}}{\tau}\right\|_2^{\kappa}, \quad s_i,s_j \in S, \quad t_i,t_j \in \{0,\ldots,21\},$$

with 0 $<\kappa\leqslant 2, \tau>$ 0, wind vector $V\in \mathbb{R}^2$ and anisotropy matrix

$$\Omega = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \cos\eta & -\sin\eta \\ {\rm a}\sin\eta & {\rm a}\cos\eta \end{array} \right], \quad \eta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{4}; \frac{\pi}{4} \right], \quad {\rm a} > 0.$$

Estimated parameters





Simulated extreme windstorm over Europe



- ► Classical geostatistics should be avoided when modelling extreme events.
- Generalized r-Pareto process is the functional equivalent of the generalized Pareto distribution and allows one to model r-exceedances.
- The Brown-Resnick model uses classical variogram models, while the corresponding stochastic process is heavy-tailed.
- Inference using the gradient scoring rule enables inference in "moderately high" dimensions and is limited by matrix inversion.
- We developed a (too) simple spatio-temporal generator for extreme windstorms in Europe.
- ► Ongoing work:
 - Marginal modelling;
 - Complex dependence structure to better capture the characteristics of the dependence structure.



- de Fondeville, R. and Davison, A. C. (2017). High-dimensional Peaks-over-threshold Inference. arXiv:1605.08558.
- de Fondeville, R. and Davison, A. C. (2018). Functional Peaks-over-threshold and Generalized r-Pareto Process. *preprint*.
- Hult, H. and Lindskog, F. (2005). Extremal Behavior of Regularly Varying Stochastic Processes. Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 115(2):249–274.
- Hyvärinen, A. (2007). Some Extensions of Score Matching. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 51(5):2499–2512.
- Kabluchko, Z., Schlather, M., and de Haan, L. (2009). Stationary Max-stable Fields Associated to Negative Definite Functions. Annals of Probability, 37(5):2042–2065.
- Lindskog, F., Resnick, S. I., and Roy, J. (2014). Regularly Varying Measures on Metric Spaces: Hidden Regular Variation and Hidden Jumps. *Probability Surveys*, 11(1):270-314.



Risk functional

A monotonic increasing functional $\mathrm{r}:\mathcal{C}(S)\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

r continuous at
$$\{B - A\xi^{-1}\}$$
 and $r(B - A\xi^{-1}) < 0$, $\xi > 0$
 $r(x) \rightarrow -\infty$, $x \rightarrow -\infty$ $\xi \leq 0$,

and for which there are functions A > 0 and B such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{s\in S}\left|\frac{a_n(s)}{r(a_n)}-A(s)\right|=0,\quad \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{s\in S}\left|\frac{b_n(s)-r(b_n)}{r(a_n)}-B(s)\right|=0,$$

is called a risk functional.



• The r-exceedance distribution of P is

$$\Pr\left\{\mathbf{r}(P) \ge \rho\right\} = \left\{1 + \xi \frac{\rho - u}{\sigma}\right\}^{-1/\xi}, \quad \rho \ge u.$$

► The generalized r-Pareto process has generalized Pareto marignals above a sufficiently high threshold $u_0 \ge 0$:

$$\Pr\left\{P(s_0) \ge \rho \mid P(s_0) \ge u_0\right\} = \left\{1 + \xi \frac{\rho - u_0 A(s_0) - B(s_0)}{\sigma(u_0)}\right\}^{-1/\xi}, \quad \rho \ge u_0,$$

with $\sigma(u_0) = \sigma A(s_0) + \xi \{u_0 - A(s_0)u - B(s_0)\}$.



Proposition (de Fondeville and Davison, 2017)

The scoring rule $\delta_{\mathrm{w}}(\lambda_{\theta,\mu}^{\mathrm{r}},\cdot)$ is strictly proper, i.e., the estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}^{\mathrm{r}}_{\delta}\{\mathbf{x}^{1},\ldots,\mathbf{x}^{n}\} = \arg\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\sum_{m=1}^{n} \epsilon \left\{ \mathrm{r}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{m}}{u_{n}}\right) > 1 \right\} \delta(\lambda^{\mathrm{r}}_{\theta,u_{n}},\mathbf{x}^{m}), \tag{3}$$

where $\epsilon\{\cdot\}$ is the indicator function and x^1, \ldots, x^n are sampled from the random vector X with normalized marginals, is consistent and asymptotically normal as $n \to \infty$ and $u_n \to \infty$ with $N_{u_n} = o(n)$.

In a simulation study, we compared the gradient scoring rule with spectral likelihood and censored likelihood.

Extreme European winter storms: Marginal model





Scales



Locations

