A Connection for Born Geometry and its Application to DFT

String and M-theory Geometries

Banff Internation Research Station January 26, 2017

Felix J. Rudolph Ludwig-Maximilian-Universtität München

to appear 17xx.xxxx with L. Freidel and D. Svoboda

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Key Points

What is Born Geometry? (idea of dynamical phase space)

- Connections for various geometries
- Torsion and integrability
- Relevance to Double Field Theory

### Outline

Born Geometry

A Connection for Born Geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Application to DFT

Born Geometry

## Born Geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Born Reciprocity

### In Quantum Mechanics

- symmetry between spacetime and momentum space
- freedom to choose a basis of states

### In General Relativity

 this symmetry is broken: spacetime is curved

energy-momentum space is flat

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Max Born (1935): "To unify QM and GR need momentum space to be curved"

# Born Geometry

[Freidel, Leigh, Minic]

### **Classical Mechanics**

• (almost) symplectic structure  $\omega$  on phase space  $\mathcal{P}$  Sp(2d)

### Quantum Mechanics

► complex structure *I*:

$$x \to p, \quad p \to -x \quad \text{with} \quad I^2 = -1$$

- compatibility:  $I^T \omega I = \omega$
- defines a metric on phase space  $\mathcal{H} = \omega I$  O(2d, 0)
- "quantum" or "generalized" metric

## Born Geometry

To split phase space into spacetime and momentum space

• bi-Lagrangian (real) structure  $K: T\mathcal{P} = L \oplus \tilde{L}$ 

$$K|_L = +1, \quad K|_{\tilde{L}} = -1 \quad \text{with} \quad K^2 = +1$$

- compatibility:  $K^T \omega K = -\omega$
- defines another metric on phase space  $\eta = \omega K$  O(d, d)
- "polarization" or "neutral" metric
- spacetime is maximal null subspace w.r.t.  $\eta$

$$\mathcal{H}\big|_L = g$$

# Born Geometry

The Born Geometry  $(\mathcal{P};\eta,\omega,\mathcal{H})$  unifies

- symplectic structure of classical mechanics
- complex strucuture of quantum mechanics
- real structure of general realitivity

Quantum gravity needs a dynamical phase space

String theory provides a realization of these concepts and geometric structure

# String Theory

Tseytlin Action on phase space with  $X = (x/\lambda, y/\epsilon)$ 

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\sigma \left[ (\eta_{AB} + \omega_{AB}) \partial_{\tau} X^{A} \partial_{\sigma} X^{B} - \mathcal{H}_{AB} \partial_{\sigma} X^{A} \partial_{\sigma} X^{B} \right]$$

including topological term

[Giveon, Rocek; Hull]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### String Theory

- chiral structure:  $J = \eta^{-1} \mathcal{H}$
- T-duality on target space:  $X \to J(X)$
- $\omega$  and K not required, but present

## Para-quaternionic Manifold

Born Geometry  $(\mathcal{P}; \eta, \omega, \mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow$  para-quaternions (I, J, K)

- complex structure  $I = \mathcal{H}^{-1}\omega$   $(I^2 = -1)$
- chiral structure  $J = \eta^{-1} \mathcal{H}$   $(J^2 = +1)$
- real structure  $K = \eta^{-1}\omega$   $(K^2 = +1)$

#### All mutually anti-commute

$$I = JK = -KJ,$$
  

$$J = IK = -KI,$$
  

$$K = JI = -IJ,$$
  

$$IJK = -1$$

# Integrability

### Almost bi-Lagrangian structure $\boldsymbol{K}$

▶ Splitting into Lagrangian distributions:  $T\mathcal{P} = L \oplus \tilde{L}$ 

• 
$$K^T \omega K = -\omega \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Lagrangian eigenspace } \omega \Big|_L = \omega \Big|_{\tilde{L}} = 0$$

• 
$$K^T \eta K = -\eta \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Null eigenspace } \eta \big|_L = \eta \big|_{\tilde{L}} = 0$$

### If K integrable:

- $[L,L] \subset L$  and  $[\tilde{L},\tilde{L}] \subset \tilde{L}$
- Induces a polarization
- Darboux coordinates  $(x, \tilde{x})$  spanning L and  $\tilde{L}$

A Connection for Born Geometry Born Geometry

Projectors of (3, 0)-tensors Lagrangian Subspaces (Polarizations):  $T\mathcal{P} = L \oplus \tilde{L}$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ (\mathcal{K}^{\pm})^2 = \mathcal{K}^{\pm}, \quad \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^- = 0$$

$$4\mathcal{K}^{\pm}N(X,Y,Z) := N(X,Y,Z) + N(K(X),K(Y),Z) \\ \pm N(X,K(Y),K(Z)) \pm N(K(X),Y,K(Z))$$

Chiral subspaces:  $T\mathcal{P} = C_+ \oplus C_-$ 

$$\blacktriangleright (\mathcal{J}^{\pm})^2 = \mathcal{J}^{\pm}, \quad \mathcal{J}^+ \mathcal{J}^- = 0$$

 $4\mathcal{J}^{\pm}N(X,Y,Z) := N(X,Y,Z) + N(J(X),J(Y),Z) \\ \pm N(X,J(Y),J(Z)) \pm N(J(X),Y,J(Z))$ 

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Born Geometry

└─ Torsion, Contorsion and Nijenhuis tensor

### Torsion

For a connection  $\nabla=\partial+\Gamma$ 

#### **Usual Torsion**

► 
$$T(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y]$$
  
►  $T_{ij}{}^k = \Gamma_{ij}{}^k - \Gamma_{ji}{}^k$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Generalized Torsion

► 
$$\mathcal{T}(X,Y) = \mathcal{L}_X^{\nabla} Y - \mathcal{L}_X^{\partial} Y$$
  
►  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}{}^k = \Gamma_{ij}{}^k - \Gamma_{ji}{}^k - \Gamma^k{}_{ji}$   
►  $\mathcal{T} \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{P}))$ 

A Connection for Born Geometry Born Geometry Torsion, Contorsion and Nijenhuis tensor

## Contorsion

For any metric-compatible connection  $\nabla$  and Levi-Civita connection  $\mathring{\nabla}:$ 

Contorsion tensor  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ \nabla = \mathring{\nabla} + \Omega \text{ or } \Gamma = \mathring{\Gamma} + \Omega$$

$$\bullet \ \Omega_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{ijk} - T_{jki} + T_{kij})$$

$$\bullet \ \mathcal{T}_{ijk} = \Omega_{ijk} + \Omega_{jki} + \Omega_{kij} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{ijk} + T_{jki} + T_{kij})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Born Geometry

L-Torsion, Contorsion and Nijenhuis tensor

## The Nijenhuis Tensor

#### The Nijenhuis Tensor of a tangent bundle structure A

$$N_A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{P}))$$
$$N_A(X,Y) = A([A(X),Y] + [X,A(Y)]) - [A(X),A(Y)] - A^2[X,Y]$$

#### If A is integrable, $N_A = 0$ .

Born Geometry

└─ Torsion, Contorsion and Nijenhuis tensor

## The Nijenhuis Tensor

Need it for bi-Lagrangian structure  $K = \eta^{-1} \omega$ 

$$N_K(X, Y, Z) = \mathring{\nabla}_Y \omega(X, K(Z)) - \mathring{\nabla}_X \omega(Y, K(Z)) + \mathring{\nabla}_{K(Y)} \omega(X, Z) - \mathring{\nabla}_{K(X)} \omega(Y, Z)$$

 $= d\omega(K(X), K(Y), K(Z)) + d\omega(X, Y, K(Z))$  $+ 2\mathring{\nabla}_{K(Z)}\omega(X, Y)$ 

A Connection for Born Geometry

### A Connection for Born Geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

A Connection for Born Geometry

Connections for various geometries

# Connections for various geometries

### Levi-Civita Connection

- Riemannian geometry: metric  $\eta$
- Unique, compatible, symmetric, torsion-free

#### Fedosov Connection

- $\blacktriangleright$  Symplectic geometry: almost symplectic form  $\omega$
- Family of compatible, symmetric, torsion-free

### **Bismut Connection**

- Hermitian geometry: almost complex structure I, metric  $\mathcal{H}$
- Unique, compatible with  $\mathcal{H}$  & I, totally skew torsion

A Connection for Born Geometry

Connections for various geometries

### Connections for various geometries

#### Fedosov + metric = Levi-Civita

- Symplectic manifold with metric (real structure  $K = \eta^{-1}\omega$ )
- ▶ Unique, compatible with  $\omega$  &  $\eta$ , symmetric, torsion-free

### Doubled space of DFT

- Two metrics:  $\eta$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  (chiral structure  $J = \eta^{-1} \mathcal{H}$ )
- DFT connection not fully determined

#### Born Connection

- ▶ Born geometry  $(\mathcal{P}; \eta, \omega, \mathcal{H})$  with para-quaternions (I, J, K)
- Unique, compatible, (generalized) torsion is chiral

The Born Connection

## The Born Connection

### **Defining Properties**

• Compatibility with Born geometry  $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{H})$ 

$$\nabla \eta = \nabla \omega = \nabla \mathcal{H} = 0$$

Generalized torsion is chiral

$$\mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{J}^+ N_K$$

Vanishing generalized torsion  $\mathcal{T}$  if K integrable

$$N_K = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{T} = 0$$

└─ The Born Connection

## The Born Connection

Born Connection  $\nabla$  given by

$$\eta(\nabla_X Y, Z) = \eta(\mathring{\nabla}_X Y, Z) + \eta(X, \Omega(Y, Z))$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### where

- $\mathring{
  abla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\eta$
- Ω is the contorsion

The Born Connection

# The Born Connection

### Contorsion

$$\begin{split} \eta(X,\Omega(Y,Z)) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathring{\nabla}_X H(Y,J(Z)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} [\mathring{\nabla}_Y \mathcal{H}(J(Z),X) - \mathring{\nabla}_{K(Y)} \mathcal{H}(I(Z),X) \\ &- \mathring{\nabla}_{J(Z)} \mathcal{H}(X,Y) + \mathring{\nabla}_{I(Z)} \mathcal{H}(X,K(Y))] \\ &- \frac{1}{4} [\mathring{\nabla}_Z \mathcal{H}(X,J(Y)) - \mathring{\nabla}_{K(Z)} \mathcal{H}(X,I(Y)) \\ &- \mathring{\nabla}_{J(Y)} \mathcal{H}(Z,X) + \mathring{\nabla}_{I(Y)} \mathcal{H}(K(Z),X)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} [\mathring{\nabla}_{J(X)} \omega(I(Y),Z) + \mathring{\nabla}_{J(X)} \omega(Y,I(Z)) \\ &- \mathring{\nabla}_X \omega(Y,K(Z)) + \mathring{\nabla}_X \omega(J(Y),I(Z))] \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A Connection for Born Geometry

└─ The Born Connection

### Properties and Identities

e.g.  $\eta$ -compatibility  $\Rightarrow$  skew-symmetry

$$\Omega(X,Y) = -\Omega(Y,X)$$

Some identites needed for proofs

$$\mathring{\nabla}_X \mathcal{H}(Y, Z) = \Omega(X, Y, J(Z))) - \Omega(X, J(Y), Z), - \mathring{\nabla}_X \omega(Y, Z) = \Omega(X, Y, K(Z)) + \Omega(X, K(Y), Z)$$

└─A Connection for Born Geometry

└─ The Born Connection

### **Existence and Uniqueness**

#### Uniqueness

 $\blacktriangleright$  If such a connection exists, it is unique and given by  $\Omega$ 

• Fully determined in terms of  $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{H})$ 

### Existence

- Constructive proof
- Properties of a connection

└─A Connection for Born Geometry

The Born Connection

# Vanishing Generalized Torsion

### Need the following objects

Chiral Nijenhuis tensor for K

$$\mathcal{J}^+ N_K(X, Y, Z)$$

measures integrability along the chiral subspaces  $C_{\pm}$ 

Polarized component of generalized torsion

$$\mathcal{K}^+\mathcal{T}(X,Y,Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\operatorname{cycl}(X,Y,Z)} N_K(X,Y,Z)$$

└─ The Born Connection

# Vanishing Generalized Torsion

Can express  $\mathcal{T}$  in terms of  $N_K$ 

$$\mathcal{T}(X, Y, Z) = \mathcal{J}^+ \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{T}(X, Y, Z)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{cycl}(X, Y, Z)} \mathcal{J}^+ N_K(X, Y, Z)$$

Generalized torsion vanishes if K is integrable

$$N_K = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{T} = 0$$

A Connection for Born Geometry

The Born Connection

### Fluxes

No flux: B = 0,  $d\omega = 0$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_X \omega(Y, Z) = 0$$

Levi-Civita = Fedosov connection

### Turn on H-flux H = dB

- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(g, B)$  and  $d\omega \sim H \neq 0$
- flux appears in torsion  $(\mathcal{T} \sim \mathcal{J}^+ N_K \text{ with } N_K \sim H)$
- Bismut connection torsion is totally skew [Ellwood; Gualtieri]

### More general fluxes $(H, f, Q, R) \subset \mathcal{F}$

• 
$$d\omega \sim \mathcal{F} \neq 0$$

A Connection for Born Geometry

The Born Connection

## Structure group

Have the following groups

$$O(d,d) \cap Sp(2d) \cap O(2d,0) = O(d)$$

 Born connection reduces to O(d) connection on Lagrangian submanifold with metric g

Levi-Civita connection of g ?

A Connection for Born Geometry  $\square$  Application to DFT

# Application to DFT

## Coordinate Expression

### Introduce frame field

- Frame field E<sub>A</sub>
- Local coordinates  $X = X^A E_A$

$$\eta_{AB} = \eta(E_A, E_B), \quad \omega_{AB} = \omega(E_A, E_B), \quad \mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}(E_A, E_B)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Covariant derivative of a vector

$$\nabla_A X^B = \mathring{\nabla}_A X^B + \Omega_{AC}{}^B X^C$$

### Coordinate Expression

#### Born connection given by

$$\Omega_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \mathring{\nabla}_{A} \mathcal{H}_{BD} J^{D}{}_{C} + \left( \delta^{[D}{}_{[B} J^{E]}{}_{C]} - K^{[D}{}_{[B} I^{E]}{}_{C]} \right) \mathring{\nabla}_{D} \mathcal{H}_{EA} - \frac{1}{2} \mathring{\nabla}_{D} \omega_{E[B} I^{E}{}_{C]} J^{D}{}_{A} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \delta^{D}{}_{B} K^{E}{}_{C} - J^{D}{}_{B} I^{E}{}_{C} \right) \mathring{\nabla}_{A} \omega_{DE}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

## Application to Double Field Theory

DFT Limit of Born Geometry:  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  flat

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Connection reduces to  $(\mathring{
abla} 
ightarrow \partial)$ 

$$\Omega_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_A \mathcal{H}_{BD} J^D{}_C + \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta^D{}_{[B} J^E{}_{C]} + J^D{}_{[B} \delta^E{}_{C]} \right) \partial_D \mathcal{H}_{EA} - \frac{1}{2} \left( K^D{}_{[B} I^E{}_{C]} - K^E{}_{[B} I^D{}_{C]} \right) \partial_D \mathcal{H}_{EA}$$

### Application to Double Field Theory

Determined part of DFT connection

[Coimbra, Strickland-Constable, Waldram; Hohm, Zwiebach; Jeon, Lee, Park]

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{MNK} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_M \mathcal{H}_{NL} J^L{}_K + \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta^P{}_{[N} J^Q{}_{K]} + J^P{}_{[N} \delta^Q{}_{K]} \right) \partial_P \mathcal{H}_{QM} \\ &+ \frac{2}{D-1} \left( \eta_{M[N} \delta^L{}_{K]} + \mathcal{H}_{M[N} J^L{}_{K]} \right) \left( \partial_L d + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{H}^{PQ} \partial_Q \mathcal{H}_{PL} \right) \\ &+ \hat{\Gamma}_{MNK} \end{split}$$

DFT dilaton d not yet included

$$O(d,d) \to O(d,d) \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

# Summary

- ▶ Born geometry  $(\mathcal{P}; \eta, \omega, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{dynamical phase space}$
- Unique, compatible connection  $\nabla = \mathring{\nabla} + \Omega$ with chiral torsion  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{J}^+ N_K$
- Integrability condition:  $N_K = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} = 0$
- DFT limit of Born geometry reproduces DFT connection

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Extensions

# **Doubled String Model**

### Setting the Scale

• length scale:  $\lambda = \sqrt{\hbar \alpha'}$ 

• energy scale: 
$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\hbar/\alpha'}$$

### **Doubled Coordinates**

$$X^{A} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\mu}/\sqrt{\alpha'} \\ y_{\mu}\sqrt{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{\mu}/\lambda \\ y_{\mu}/\epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\pi}{R}x^{\mu} \\ R\tilde{x}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\alpha' = \lambda/\epsilon$ 

# Quasi-periodicity and Monodromies

String action needs to be single-valued

- $dX^{\mu}(\sigma, \tau)$  is periodic
- not necessary that  $X^{\mu}(\sigma, \tau)$  is periodic

Quasi-periodic

$$X^{\mu}(\sigma + 2\pi, \tau) = X^{\mu}(\sigma, \tau) + \tilde{p}^{\mu}$$

- if  $\tilde{p} \neq 0 \rightarrow$  no a priori geometrical interpretation of closed string propagating in flat spacetime
- for compact, spacelike direction  $\rightarrow \tilde{p}$  is interpreted as winding

## Quasi-periodicity and Monodromies

In the dual picture also have

$$\oint \star dY = \tilde{p}/\alpha' = \oint dX$$
$$\oint \star dX = \alpha' p = \oint dY$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ