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## Corollary

Let $T \in B(H)$ be a contraction. Then

$$
\overline{W(T)}=\cap\{\overline{W(U)}: U \in B(H \oplus H) \text { is a unitary dilation of } T\}
$$
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That is, there is a unitary $U$ such that

$$
U^{*}\left(I_{N} \otimes A_{j}\right) U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{j} & * \\
* & *
\end{array}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, m .
$$
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$$
W\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{conv} W\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right)
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- The map $\phi$ is completely positive (linear) map if and only if

$$
\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}\right) \text { has a joint dilation }\left(I \otimes A_{1}, \ldots, I \otimes A_{m}\right)
$$

## Connection to quantum mechanics

- Mathematically, quantum states are density matrices in $M_{n}$, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.


## Connection to quantum mechanics

- Mathematically, quantum states are density matrices in $M_{n}$, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- (Choi, 1975) Quantum channels/operations are trace preserving completely positive linear maps $\Phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ admitting the operator sum representation

$$
\Phi(X)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j} X F_{j}^{*} \text { for some } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \text { satisfying } \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} F_{j}=I_{n}
$$

## Connection to quantum mechanics

- Mathematically, quantum states are density matrices in $M_{n}$, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- (Choi, 1975) Quantum channels/operations are trace preserving completely positive linear maps $\Phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ admitting the operator sum representation

$$
\Phi(X)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j} X F_{j}^{*} \text { for some } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \text { satisfying } \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} F_{j}=I_{n}
$$

- The dual map $\Phi^{*}$ of $\Phi$ is the unital completely positive maps

$$
\Phi^{*}(Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} Y F_{j}
$$

## Connection to quantum mechanics

- Mathematically, quantum states are density matrices in $M_{n}$, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- (Choi, 1975) Quantum channels/operations are trace preserving completely positive linear maps $\Phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ admitting the operator sum representation

$$
\Phi(X)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j} X F_{j}^{*} \text { for some } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \text { satisfying } \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} F_{j}=I_{n}
$$

- The dual map $\Phi^{*}$ of $\Phi$ is the unital completely positive maps

$$
\Phi^{*}(Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} Y F_{j}
$$

- Our study is related to the study of quantum channels, whose dual map has some special properties.
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- Mathematically, quantum states are density matrices in $M_{n}$, i.e., positive semidefinite matrices with trace one.
- (Choi, 1975) Quantum channels/operations are trace preserving completely positive linear maps $\Phi: M_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ admitting the operator sum representation

$$
\Phi(X)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j} X F_{j}^{*} \text { for some } F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r} \text { satisfying } \sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} F_{j}=I_{n}
$$

- The dual map $\Phi^{*}$ of $\Phi$ is the unital completely positive maps

$$
\Phi^{*}(Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{r} F_{j}^{*} Y F_{j}
$$

- Our study is related to the study of quantum channels, whose dual map has some special properties.
- It also allow us to develop techniques in construction unital completely positive maps with some desired properties.
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- A direct (constructive) proof is given for the (ellipse-point) result that Let $A \in M_{2}$ or $A=[\alpha] \oplus A_{2}$ with $A_{2} \in M_{2}$. If $W(T) \subseteq W(A), T$ has a dilation of the form $I \otimes A$.
- Suppose $A \in M_{3}$ has no reducing eigenvalues, and if $A$ is not unitarily similar to $A^{t}$. Then for $T=A^{t}$ we have

$$
W(T) \subseteq W(A) \text { and } T \text { has no dilation of the form } I \otimes A
$$

- There is $A=A^{t} \in M_{3}$ and $T \in M_{2}$ such that the map

$$
\Phi\left(a I+b A+c A^{*}\right)=a I+b T+c T^{*}
$$

on span $\left\{I, A, A^{*}\right\}$ is positive and not completely positive (so that it is not co-positive).

- This is in contrast to the fact that a positive map from $M_{3}$ to $M_{2}$ is always co-positive.
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## Some questions

- Can we use the dilation result on $A \in M_{3}$ with reducing eigenvalue to deduce the constrained unitary dilation result?
- Conjecture 1. If $A \in M_{3}$ has no reducing eigenvalue, then there is $T \in B(H)$ such that $W(T) \subseteq W(A)$ and $T$ has no dilation of the form $I \otimes A$.
- Just need to check $A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & z\end{array}\right)$ such that $a \geq 0, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\|A\|=1$.
Conjecture 1.5 There is $T$ (probably in $M_{2}$ ) such that $W(T) \subseteq W(A)$ but $T$ does not admit a dilation of the form $I \otimes A$.
- Conjecture 2. If $A \in M_{n}$ satisfies ( $\dagger$ ), then $A=A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ such that $W(A)=W\left(A_{1}\right)$, where $A \in M_{2}$ or $A \in M_{3}$ with an reducing eigenvalue.


## Thank you for your attention!

## Hope that you will solve our problems!

