

Complexity questions for classes of closed subgroups of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$

André Nies



Permutation Groups, BIRS, Nov 2016

Borelness of classes of closed subgroups

$\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ is the topological group of permutations of \mathbb{N} .

We consider **classes \mathcal{C} of closed subgroups** of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$:

- ▶ compact (i.e., profinite),
- ▶ locally compact,
- ▶ oligomorphic (for each n only finitely many n -orbits)
- ▶ topologically finitely generated,

First we ask whether the class is Borel. This means that the groups in the class form points in a space that can be investigated using descriptive set theory.

Leading questions

Suppose a class \mathcal{C} of closed subgroups of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ is Borel.
Given $G, H \in \mathcal{C}$.

- ▶ How complicated is it to recognise whether G, H are conjugate?
- ▶ How complicated is it to recognise whether G, H are (topologically) isomorphic?

What do you mean by “how complicated”?

One can compare them to **benchmark equivalence relations**:

- ▶ GI isomorphism of countable graphs.
- ▶ E_0 , almost equality of infinite bit sequences
- ▶ $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$, identity of reals

Borel reducibility \leq_B

- ▶ Let X, Y be “standard Borel spaces” (X, Y carry Borel structures of uncountable Polish spaces). A function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is **Borel** if the preimage of each Borel set in Y is Borel in X .
- ▶ Let E, F equivalence relations on X, Y respectively. We write $E \leq_B F$ (**Borel below**) if there is a Borel function $g: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$uEv \leftrightarrow g(u)Fg(v)$$

for each $u, v \in X$.¹

- ▶ Write $E \equiv_B F$ (**Borel equivalent**) if $E \leq_B F \leq_B E$.

For instance, $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \equiv_B \text{id}_Y$ for an arbitrary uncountable Polish space Y . We have $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} <_B E_0 <_B \text{GI}$.

¹See S. Gao, Invariant descriptive set theory, 2009

The space of closed subgroups of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$

The closed subgroups of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ can be seen as points in a standard Borel space. To define the Borel sets, we start with sets of the form

$$\{G \leq_c \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N}): G \cap N_\sigma \neq \emptyset\},$$

where

- ▶ σ is a 1-1 map $\{0, \dots, n - 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
- ▶ $N_\sigma = \{\alpha \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N}): \sigma \prec \alpha\}$

The Borel sets are generated from these basic sets by complementation and countable union.

For instance, for every $\alpha \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ we have the Borel set $\bigcap_k \{H: H \cap N_{\alpha \restriction k} \neq \emptyset\}$ which says that the closed subgroup contains α .

Borelness of classes of groups

Recall we consider classes \mathcal{C} of closed subgroups G of $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$:

- (a) compact (i.e., profinite)
- (b) locally compact
- (c) oligomorphic (for each n only finitely many n -orbits)
- (d) topologically finitely generated
- (e)

The first three classes are known to be Borel.

E.g. for (a) and (b), given G consider the tree $\{\sigma : G \cap N_\sigma \neq \emptyset\}$.

The class (d) is not known to be Borel. Within the profinite groups, being f.g. is Borel.

Topologically finitely generated profinite groups I

Theorem

The isomorphism relation $E_{f.g.}$ between finitely generated profinite groups is Borel-equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \leq_B E_{f.g.}$: Let $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the profinite completion of the ring \mathbb{Z} .
For any set P of primes, let²

$$G_P = \prod_{p \in P} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) / \prod_{q \notin P} \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q).$$

$P = Q \leftrightarrow G_P \cong G_Q$.

$P \rightarrow G_P$ is a Borel map.

²Lubotzky (2005), Prop 6.1

Topologically finitely generated profinite groups II

The isomorphism relation $E_{f.g.}$ between finitely generated profinite groups is Borel equivalent to $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

$E_{f.g.} \leq_B \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (smoothness):

- ▶ A finitely generated profinite group G is determined by its isomorphism types of finite quotients.
- ▶ Let $q(G)$ be the set of these isomorphism types, written in some fixed way as an infinite bit sequence. This map is Borel because from G one can “determine” its finite quotients³.
- ▶ Then $G \cong H \iff q(G) = q(H)$. So $E_{f.g.}$ is smooth.

Isomorphism of residually finite f.g. groups is complicated (“weakly universal”, Jay Williams ’15) and hence not smooth. So taking profinite completion loses information (new proof of a known fact).

³e.g. Fried/Jarden, Field arithmetic, 16.10.7

Graph isomorphism \leq_B isomorphism of profinite groups

A group G is nilpotent-2 if it satisfies the law $[[x, y], z] = 1$.

Let \mathcal{N}_2^p denote the variety of nilpotent-2 groups of exponent p .

Theorem

Let $p \geq 3$ be prime. Graph isomorphism can be Borel reduced to isomorphism between profinite \mathcal{N}_2^p groups.

Proof: A result of Alan Mekler (1981) implies the theorem for countable abstract groups. We adapt his construction to the profinite setting.

A symmetric and irreflexive countable graph is called **nice** if it has no triangles, no squares, and for each pair of distinct vertices x, y , there is a vertex z joined to x and not to y .

Mekler's construction

Nice graph isomorphism \leq_B isomorphism of countable groups in \mathcal{N}_2^p .

- ▶ Let F be the free \mathcal{N}_2^p group on free generators x_0, x_1, \dots .
- ▶ For $r \neq s$ we write $x_{r,s} = [x_r, x_s]$.
- ▶ Given a graph with domain \mathbb{N} and edge relation A , let

$$G(A) = F / \langle x_{r,s} : rAs \rangle \text{normal closure.}$$

- ▶ The centre of $G(A)$ is abelian of exponent p with a basis consisting of the $x_{r,s}$ such that $\neg rAs$.

Show that A can be reconstructed from $G(A)$. Therefore:

Let A, B be nice graphs. Then $A \cong B$ iff $G(A) \cong G(B)$.

Profinite version of Mekler's construction

- Elements of $G(A) = F/\langle x_{r,s} : rAs \rangle$ have unique normal form
$$\prod_{\langle r,s \rangle \in L} x_{r,s}^{\beta_{rs}} \prod_{i \in D} x_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad 0 < \alpha_i, \beta_{rs} < p,$$
where L is a finite set of non-edges, D a finite set of vertices.
- Let R_n be the normal subgroup of $G(A)$ generated by the x_i , $i \geq n$. Let $\overline{G}(A)$ be the completion of $G(A)$ w.r.t. the R_n , i.e.,

$$\overline{G}(A) = \varprojlim_n G(A)/R_n.$$

- Each $G(A)/R_n$ is finite, so this is a profinite group.
- Elements have normal form $\prod_{\langle r,s \rangle \in L} x_{r,s}^{\beta_{rs}} \prod_{i \in D} x_i^{\alpha_i}$, where L and D are now allowed to be infinite.

Verify that A can be reconstructed from $\overline{G}(A)$:

Let A, B be nice graphs. Then $A \cong B$ iff $\overline{G}(A) \cong \overline{G}(B)$.

$A \rightarrow \overline{G}(A)$ is Borel. So $\text{GI} \leq_B$ isomorphism of profinite \mathcal{N}_2^p groups.

A condition implying that isomorphism on \mathcal{C} is Borel below graph isomorphism

Lemma (with Kechris and Tent)

Let \mathcal{C} be Borel class of closed subgroups, with \mathcal{C} closed under conjugation in $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$.

- ▶ For $G \in \mathcal{C}$ suppose \mathcal{N}_G is a countably infinite set of open subgroups of G that forms a nbhd basis of 1.
- ▶ Suppose the relation $\{\langle G, U \rangle : U \in \mathcal{N}_G\}$ is Borel, and isomorphism invariant in the sense that
 $\phi: G \cong H$ implies $U \in \mathcal{N}_G \iff \phi(U) \in \mathcal{N}_H$.

Then isomorphism on \mathcal{C} is Borel reducible to graph isomorphism.

We will apply this to

- (1) \mathcal{C} = locally compact; \mathcal{N}_G = compact open subgroups of G

Proof of Lemma

Lemma (recall). For $G \in \mathcal{C}$ suppose \mathcal{N}_G is a countably infinite set of open subgroups of G that forms a nbhd basis of 1 .

Suppose the relation $\{\langle G, U \rangle : U \in \mathcal{N}_G\}$ is Borel, and isomorphism invariant in the sense that $\phi: G \cong H$ implies $U \in \mathcal{N}_G \iff \phi(U) \in \mathcal{N}_H$.

Then isomorphism on \mathcal{C} is Borel reducible to graph isomorphism.

- ▶ To $G \in \mathcal{C}$ we can Borel assign a list C_0, C_1, \dots of the cosets of all the $U \in \mathcal{N}_G$ (using Borelness of the relation “ $U \in \mathcal{N}_G$ ”).
- ▶ G acts on the cosets from the left. For $g \in G$ let $\hat{g} \in \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ be the corresponding permutation of indices of cosets.
- ▶ $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ is a topological embedding $G \cong \hat{G} \leq_c \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$. The map $G \mapsto \hat{G}$ is Borel.
- ▶ $G \cong H \iff \hat{G}$ conjugate to \hat{H} .
- ▶ Fact from descriptive set theory: every orbit eqrel of a Borel $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ action is \leq_B graph isom.

Theorem (with Kechris and Tent)

- ▶ Isomorphism of t.d.l.c. groups is Borel equivalent to graph isomorphism. (Asked by P.E. Caprace.)
- ▶ Same for conjugacy.
- ▶ Isomorphism of oligomorphic groups is Borel **below** graph isomorphism.

$G \leq_c \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ is **Roelcke precompact** if for each open subgroup U there is finite $F \subseteq G$ such that $UFU = G$.

- ▶ Same as inverse limit of an ω -chain of oligomorphic (on some countable set) groups (Tsankov)
- ▶ Roelcke precompact \Rightarrow countably many open subgroups. So isomorphism of Roelcke precompact is \equiv_B graph isomorphism.

Oligomorphic groups

The conjugacy relation for oligomorphic groups is smooth.

To see this,

- ▶ given G let M_G be the corresponding orbit equivalence structure: introduce a $2n$ -ary relation for each $n > 0$, which holds for two n -tuples of distinct elements if they are in the same orbit.
- ▶ M_G is ω -categorical.
- ▶ G, H are conjugate $\iff M_G \cong M_H$.
- ▶ Isomorphism of ω -categorical structures is smooth: take as a real invariant the first-order theory.

NB: The previous result **doesn't** show \cong on oligomorphic is smooth:
 \widehat{G} obtained there is not oligomorphic (even though $G \cong \widehat{G}$).

Questions

- ▶ How complex is isomorphism of arbitrary closed subgroups of S_∞ ? Is it \leq_B -complete for analytic equivalence relations?
- ▶ Characterise $G \leq_c \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$ with only countably many open subgroups. (E.g. $\text{PSL}_2(\mathcal{Q}_p)$ is another example by a result of Tits.)
- ▶ How complex is isomorphism of oligomorphic groups?
Evans and Hewitt: every profinite group is a topological quotient of an oligomorphic group. This may indicate it's complicated.
- ▶ How about if the language of the corresponding ω -categorical orbit structure can be made finite? (For a finite language, isomorphism is a Borel equivalence relation with all classes countable.)

Reference for the results on profinite groups:

N., Complexity of isomorphism between profinite groups, arXiv: