BANFF, 18TH-22ND JANUARY 2016

COMPUTATIONAL AND NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF TRANSIENT PROBLEMS IN ACOUSTICS, ELASTICITY, AND ELECTROMAGNETISM

Space-time Trefftz discontinuous Galerkin methods for wave problems

Andrea Moiola

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF READING Supported by IMA Grant SGS36/15



I. Perugia (Vienna), F. Kretzschmar (TU Darmstadt), S.M. Schnepp (ETH Zürich)

Trefftz methods

Consider a PDE $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ that is: (i) linear, (ii) homogeneous (RHS=0), (iii) with piecewise constant coefficients.

Trefftz methods are finite element schemes such that test and trial functions are solutions of the PDE in each element K of the mesh T_h , i.e.:

$$V_p \subset T(\mathcal{T}_h) = \Big\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}v = 0 \text{ in each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h \Big\}.$$

E.g.: piecewise harmonic polynomials if $\mathcal{L}u = \Delta u$.

Trefftz methods

Consider a PDE $\mathcal{L}u = 0$ that is: (i) linear, (ii) homogeneous (RHS=0), (iii) with piecewise constant coefficients.

Trefftz methods are finite element schemes such that test and trial functions are solutions of the PDE in each element K of the mesh T_h , i.e.:

$$V_p \subset T(\mathcal{T}_h) = \Big\{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : \mathcal{L}v = 0 \text{ in each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h \Big\}.$$

E.g.: piecewise harmonic polynomials if $\mathcal{L}u = \Delta u$.

Our main interest is in wave propagation, in:

- ► Frequency domain, Helmholtz eq. $-\Delta u \omega^2 u = 0$ lot of work done, h/p/hp-theory, extended to other eq.s; (recent survey: Hiptmair, AM, Perugia, arXiv:1506.04521)
- Time domain, wave equation Trefftz methods are in space-time.

$$-\Delta U + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U = 0$$

Trefftz methods for wave equation

Why Trefftz methods? Comparing with standard DG,

- better accuracy per DOFs and higher convergence orders;
- ▶ PDE properties "known" by discrete space, e.g. dispersion;
- lower dimensional quadrature needed;
- simpler and more flexible;
- adapted bases and (one day) adaptivity...

No typical drawbacks of time-harmonic Trefftz (ill-cond., quad.).

Trefftz methods for wave equation

Why Trefftz methods? Comparing with standard DG,

- better accuracy per DOFs and higher convergence orders;
- ▶ PDE properties "known" by discrete space, e.g. dispersion;
- lower dimensional quadrature needed;
- simpler and more flexible;
- adapted bases and (one day) adaptivity...

No typical drawbacks of time-harmonic Trefftz (ill-cond., quad.).

Existing works on Trefftz for time-domain wave equation:

- MACIAG, SOKALA 2005–2011, Trefftz on a single element;
- PETERSEN, FARHAT, TEZAUR, WANG 2009&2014, DG with Lagrange multipliers;
- EGGER, KRETZSCHMAR, SCHNEPP, TSUKERMAN, WEILAND 3×2014–2015, Maxwell equations; KRETZSCHMAR, MOIOLA, PERUGIA, SCHNEPP 2×2015, analysis;

BANJAY, GEORGOULIS, LIJOKA, interior penalty-DG.

Simplest basis: Trefftz polynomials

Consider wave equation $-\Delta U + \frac{1}{c^2}U'' = 0$ in $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (*c* const.).

For $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathbf{d}| = 1$, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth, $f(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$ is solution.

Simplest basis: Trefftz polynomials

Consider wave equation $-\Delta U + \frac{1}{c^2}U'' = 0$ in $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (c const.). For $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathbf{d}| = 1, f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth, $f(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$ is solution.

Choose Trefftz space of polynomials of deg. $\leq p$ on element K:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}^p(K) &:= \left\{ v \in \mathbb{P}^p(K), \ -\Delta v + c^{-2}v'' = 0 \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{span} \left\{ (\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)^j, \ {}^{0 \leq j \leq p,}_{1 \leq \ell \leq L(j,n)} \right\}, \quad \text{with dimension} \end{split}$$

 $\dim\left(\mathbb{T}^{p}(K)\right) = \binom{p+n-1}{n} \frac{2p+n}{p} = \mathcal{O}_{p\to\infty}(p^{n}) \ll \dim\left(\mathbb{P}^{p}(K)\right) = \binom{p+n+1}{n+1} = \mathcal{O}_{p\to\infty}(p^{n+1})$

Taylor polynomial of (smooth) U belongs to $\mathbb{T}^{p}(K)$.

Simplest basis: Trefftz polynomials

Consider wave equation $-\Delta U + \frac{1}{c^2}U'' = 0$ in $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ (c const.). For $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathbf{d}| = 1, f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth, $f(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)$ is solution.

Choose Trefftz space of polynomials of deg. $\leq p$ on element K:

$$\mathbb{T}^{p}(K) := \left\{ v \in \mathbb{P}^{p}(K), \ -\Delta v + c^{-2}v'' = 0 \right\}$$
$$= \operatorname{span} \left\{ (\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell} \cdot \mathbf{x} - ct)^{j}, \ {}^{0 \le j \le p,}_{1 \le \ell \le L(j,n)} \right\}, \quad \text{with dimension}$$

 $\dim \left(\mathbb{T}^{p}(K)\right) = \binom{p+n-1}{p} \stackrel{2p+n}{\longrightarrow} = \mathcal{O}_{p \to \infty}(p^{n}) \ll \dim \left(\mathbb{P}^{p}(K)\right) = \binom{p+n+1}{n+1} = \mathcal{O}_{p \to \infty}(p^{n+1})$ Taylor polynomial of (smooth) U belongs to $\mathbb{T}^{p}(K)$.

Choice of directions $\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell}$: (corresponding to homog. polyn. deg. j)

- ▶ n = 1, left/right directions $\mathbf{d}_{j,1} = 1$, $\mathbf{d}_{j,2} = -1$, $\mathbb{T}^p(K) = \operatorname{span}\{(x \pm ct)^j\}$;
- ▶ n = 2, any distinct $\{\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell}\}_{\ell=1,\dots,2j+1}$ give a basis;
- ▶ n = 3, $(\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell} \cdot \mathbf{x} ct)^j$ linearly indep. $\iff [Y_N^m(\mathbf{d}_{j,\ell})]_{N \leq j,m;\ell}$ full rank.

Initial-boundary value problem

First order initial–boundary value problem (Dirichlet): find (v, σ)

$$\begin{cases} \nabla v + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \nabla \cdot \sigma + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 & \text{in } Q, \\ v(\cdot, 0) = v_0, \quad \sigma(\cdot, 0) = \sigma_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \\ v(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

Equivalent to $-\Delta U + c^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U = 0$ setting $v = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$ and $\sigma = -\nabla U$. Velocity *c* piecewise constant. $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Lipschitz bounded.

- ▶ Neumann $\sigma \cdot \mathbf{n} = g$ & Robin $\frac{\vartheta}{c}v \sigma \cdot \mathbf{n} = g$ BCs (\checkmark),
- Maxwell equations (\checkmark) ,

Extensions: elasticity,

- ▶ 1st order Friedrichs' systems,
- Maxwell equations in dispersive materials...

Space-time mesh and assumptions

Introduce space-time polytopic mesh T_h on Q. Assume: $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ constant in elements.

Assume: each face $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$ with normal $(\mathbf{n}_F^x, \mathbf{n}_F^t)$ is either

- ▶ space-like: $c|\mathbf{n}_{F}^{x}| < n_{F}^{t}$, denote $F \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}}$, or
- time-like: $n_F^t = 0$, denote $F \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$.



Space-time mesh and assumptions

Introduce space-time polytopic mesh T_h on Q. Assume: $c = c(\mathbf{x})$ constant in elements.

Assume: each face $F = \partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$ with normal $(\mathbf{n}_F^x, \mathbf{n}_F^t)$ is either

- ▶ space-like: $c|\mathbf{n}_{F}^{x}| < n_{F}^{t}$, denote $F \subset \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}}$, or
- time-like: $n_F^t = 0$, denote $F \subset \mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}}$.



DG elemental equation and numerical fluxes

Multiply PDEs with test $(w, \tau) \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^{1+n}$, integrate by parts in K:

$$-\iint_{K} \left(v \left(\underbrace{\nabla \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}}_{K} \right) + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} + \nabla w}_{K} \right) \right) d\mathbf{x} dt$$
$$+ \underbrace{\int_{\partial K} \left((\widehat{v} \tau + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} w) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K}^{x} + \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \widehat{v} w \right) \mathbf{n}_{K}^{t} \right) d\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0} \,.$$

DG elemental equation and numerical fluxes

Multiply PDEs with test $(w, \tau) \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^{1+n}$, integrate by parts in K:

$$-\iint_{K} \left(v \Big(\underbrace{\nabla \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}}_{=0 \text{ if } (w, \tau) \text{ Trefftz}} \Big) + \sigma \cdot \Big(\underbrace{\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} + \nabla w}_{=\mathbf{0}, \text{ if } (w, \tau) \text{ Trefftz}} \Big) \Big) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$+ \underbrace{\int_{\partial K} \left((\widehat{v} \, \tau + \widehat{\sigma} \, w) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K}^{x} + \left(\widehat{\sigma} \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \, \widehat{v} \, w \right) n_{K}^{t} \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0} \, .$$
$$\mathbf{TDG eq. on 1 element}$$

Here $\hat{v}, \hat{\sigma}$ are numerical fluxes, approximations of traces of (v, σ) on skeleton defined as:

DG elemental equation and numerical fluxes

Multiply PDEs with test $(w, \tau) \in H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^{1+n}$, integrate by parts in K:

$$-\iint_{K} \left(v \left(\underbrace{\nabla \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}}_{=0 \text{ if } (w, \tau) \text{ Trefftz}} \right) + \sigma \cdot \left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} + \nabla w}_{=\mathbf{0}, \text{ if } (w, \tau) \text{ Trefftz}} \right) \right) d\mathbf{x} dt$$
$$+ \underbrace{\int_{\partial K} \left((\widehat{v} \tau + \widehat{\sigma} w) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K}^{x} + \left(\widehat{\sigma} \cdot \tau + \frac{1}{c^{2}} \widehat{v} w \right) n_{K}^{t} \right) dS = 0}_{\text{TDG eq. on 1 element}}.$$

Here $\hat{v}, \hat{\sigma}$ are numerical fluxes, approximations of traces of (v, σ) on skeleton defined as: $\alpha, \beta \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial})$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}_{hp} := \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{v}_{hp}^{-} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}}, \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{hp} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{0} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} := \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}^{-} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{0}, \\ \{\!\!\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp}\}\!\} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}[\![\boldsymbol{v}_{hp}]\!]_{\mathbf{N}} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{time}}, \\ \boldsymbol{g} & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{g}) \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} & \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}. \end{cases}$$

 $\alpha=\beta=\mathbf{0}\rightarrow\mathsf{K}\mathsf{RETZSCHMAR}-\mathsf{S.-T.-W.},\quad \alpha\beta\geq \tfrac{1}{4}\rightarrow\mathsf{MONK}-\mathsf{RICHTER}.$

TDG formulation

Trefftz space
$$\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h) := \left\{ (w, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \in L^2(Q), (w|_K, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K) \in H^1(K)^{1+n}, \\ \nabla w + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + c^{-2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0} \ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}.$$

Choosing any $\mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h) \subset \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, summing over K, we write TDG as:

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Seek} \left(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp} \right) \in \mathbf{V}_{p}(\mathcal{T}_{h}) \text{ s.t.}, \quad \forall (w, \tau) \in \mathbf{V}_{p}(\mathcal{T}_{h}), \\ & \mathcal{A}(v_{hp}, \sigma_{hp}; w, \tau) := \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\operatorname{spoce}}} \left(\frac{v_{hp}^{-} \llbracket w \rrbracket_{t}}{c^{2}} + \sigma_{hp}^{-} \cdot \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{t} + v_{hp}^{-} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \sigma_{hp}^{-} \cdot \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \mathrm{d}S \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\operatorname{time}}} \left(\{ v_{hp} \} \!\!\! \} \!\! \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \{ \!\! \{ \sigma_{hp} \} \!\!\! \} \cdot \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \alpha \llbracket v_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \beta \llbracket \sigma_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \mathrm{d}S \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\operatorname{time}}} \left(\{ v_{hp} \} \!\!\! \} \!\! \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \{ \!\! \{ \sigma_{hp} \} \!\!\! \} \cdot \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \alpha \llbracket v_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \llbracket w \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} + \beta \llbracket \sigma_{hp} \rrbracket_{\mathbf{N}} \right) \mathrm{d}S \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T}} (c^{-2} v_{hp} w + \sigma_{hp} \cdot \tau) \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}} (\sigma_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega} + \alpha v_{hp}) w \mathrm{d}S, \\ \ell(w, \tau) := \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0}} (c^{-2} v_{0} w + \sigma_{0} \cdot \tau) \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}} g(\alpha w - \tau \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}) \mathrm{d}S. \end{split}$$

Global, implicit and explicit schemes

1 Trefftz-DG formulation is global in space-time domain *Q*: huge linear system! Might be good for adaptivity.

Global, implicit and explicit schemes

1 Trefftz-DG formulation is global in space-time domain *Q*: huge linear system! Might be good for adaptivity.

2 If mesh is partitioned in time-slabs $\Omega \times (t_{j-1}, t_j)$, a system for each time-slab can be solved sequentially: implicit method. Corresponds to block lower-triangular matrix.



Global, implicit and explicit schemes

1 Trefftz-DG formulation is global in space-time domain *Q*: huge linear system! Might be good for adaptivity.

2 If mesh is partitioned in time-slabs $\Omega \times (t_{j-1}, t_j)$, a system for each time-slab can be solved sequentially: implicit method. Corresponds to block lower-triangular matrix.

3 If mesh is suitably chosen, Trefftz-DG solution can be computed with a sequence of small cocal systems: (semi)-explicit method.

Smaller matrix blocks; allows parallelism!

"Tent pitching algorithm" of ÜNGÖR–SHEFFER, FALK–RICHTER, MONK–RICHTER, GOPALAKRISHNAN–MONK–SEPÚLVEDA...

Versions 1–2–3 are algebraically equivalent (on the same mesh).





Tent-pitched elements/patches obtained from regular space meshes in 2+1D give parallelepipeds or octahedra+tetrahedra:



Trefftz requires quadrature on faces only: element shapes do not matter much, simplices around a tent pole can be considered a single element.

Relation with UWVF and finite differences

With $\alpha c = \beta/c = \delta = 1/2$, TDG operator reads $(Id - F^*II)$, *F* isometry, II "trace-flipping", as in Cessenat–Despres' UWVF. True in 1+1D; only formally because of a trace issue in *n*+1D...

Relation with UWVF and finite differences

With $\alpha c = \beta/c = \delta = 1/2$, TDG operator reads $(Id - F^*II)$, *F* isometry, II "trace-flipping", as in Cessenat–Despres' UWVF. True in 1+1D; only formally because of a trace issue in *n*+1D...

In 1+1D, without BCs, with piecewise constant basis, on Cartesian-product mesh, (implicit) TDG reads:

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{v_j^n - v_j^{n-1}}{h_t} + \frac{\sigma_{j+1}^n - \sigma_{j-1}^n}{2h_x} = \alpha h_x \frac{v_{j-1}^n + v_{j+1}^n - 2v_j^n}{h_x^2}, \qquad \stackrel{\uparrow t}{\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} K_{j-1}^n K_j^n K_{j+1}^n \\ K_{j+1}^$$

On a uniform rhombic mesh, with piecewise constant basis, (explicit) TDG is Lax–Friedrichs:

$$egin{aligned} &v_j^n = rac{v_j^{n-1} + v_{j+1}^{n-1}}{2} - c^2 h_t rac{\sigma_{j+1}^{n-1} - \sigma_j^{n-1}}{2h_x}, \ &\sigma_j^n = rac{\sigma_j^{n-1} + \sigma_{j+1}^{n-1}}{2} - h_t rac{v_{j+1}^{n-1} - v_j^{n-1}}{2h_x}, \end{aligned}$$



TDG a priori error analysis

Using jumps and averages, define 2 mesh- and flux-dependent seminorms $||| \cdot |||_{DG} \leq ||| \cdot |||_{DG^+}$ on $H^1(\mathcal{T}_h)^{1+n}$, norms on $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

$$\begin{split} \forall (v, \sigma), (w, \tau) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h) : & (\alpha, \beta > 0) \\ \mathcal{A}(v, \sigma; v, \sigma) \geq |||(v, \sigma)|||_{DG}^2 & \text{coercivity,} \\ |\mathcal{A}(v, \sigma; w, \tau)| \leq 2 |||(v, \sigma)|||_{DG^+} |||(w, \tau)|||_{DG} & \text{continuity,} \\ & \downarrow \end{split}$$

Existence & uniqueness of discrete solution (only for Trefftz!) Stability and quasi-optimality:

 $|||(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}-\boldsymbol{\upsilon}_{hp},\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hp})|||_{DG} \leq 3\inf_{(\boldsymbol{w}_{hp},\boldsymbol{\tau}_{hp})\in \mathbf{V}_p(\mathcal{T}_h)}|||(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}-\boldsymbol{w}_{hp},\boldsymbol{\sigma}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{hp})|||_{DG^+}.$

Energy dissipation: (if g = 0) $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \times \{T\}} (c^{-2} v_{hp}^2 + |\sigma_{hp}|^2) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} (c^{-2} v_0^2 + |\sigma_0|^2) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$

Stability and error bound in $L^2(Q)$ norm

Error bound in space-time $L^2(Q)$ norm follows if we have

$$\left\|\frac{w}{c}\right\|_{L^2(Q)}+\|\tau\|_{L^2(Q)^n}\leq C_{(\mathcal{T}_h,\alpha,\beta)}|||(w,\tau)|||_{DG}\quad\forall (w,\tau)\in\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Stability and error bound in $L^2(Q)$ norm

Error bound in space-time $L^2(Q)$ norm follows if we have

$$\left\|rac{w}{c}
ight\|_{L^2(Q)}+\| au\|_{L^2(Q)^n}\leq C_{(\mathcal{T}_h,lpha,eta)}|||(w, au)|||_{D\mathrm{G}}\quadorall(w, au)\in\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Using MONK–WANG "duality" technique, this holds if, for the auxiliary inhomogeneous IBVP

$$\begin{cases} \nabla z + \partial \zeta / \partial t = \Phi & \text{in } Q, \quad \Phi \in L^2(Q)^n, \\ \nabla \cdot \zeta + c^{-2} \partial z / \partial t = \psi & \text{in } Q, \quad \psi \in L^2(Q), \\ z(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad \zeta(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \Omega, \\ z(\mathbf{x}, \cdot) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, T), \end{cases}$$

the following stability bound holds:

$$\begin{split} & 2 \left\| n_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{z}{c} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\mathrm{sp}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)}^2 + 2 \left\| n_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\mathrm{sp}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^T)^n}^2 + \left\| \frac{z}{\beta^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\mathrm{time}})}^2 + \left\| \frac{\zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_K^x}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\mathrm{time}} \cup \mathcal{F}_h^\partial)}^2 \\ & \leq C_{(\mathcal{T}_h, \alpha, \beta)}^2 \left(\left\| \mathbf{\Phi} \right\|_{L^2(Q)^n}^2 + \left\| c\psi \right\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \right)^2 \qquad \forall (\mathbf{\Phi}, \psi) \in L^2(Q)^{n+1}. \end{split}$$

When does "adjoint stability" hold?

1 D, constant c, decomposing solution in left and right waves, $C \sim T(N_x + N_t)^{1/2}$ on a Cartesian-product $N_x \times N_t$ mesh.

When does "adjoint stability" hold?

1 D, constant c, decomposing solution in left and right waves, $C \sim T(N_x + N_t)^{1/2}$ on a Cartesian-product $N_x \times N_t$ mesh.

2 1D, general c, with Gronwall + energy + integration by parts +

$$\alpha_{|K_1 \cap K_2} = \frac{ah^x}{\min\{c_{|K_1}^2 h_{K_1}^x, c_{|K_2}^2 h_{K_2}^x\}}, \quad \beta_{|K_1 \cap K_2} = \frac{bh^x}{\min\{h_{K_1}^x, h_{K_2}^x\}}$$

 $\Rightarrow C \sim (1/\max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \{h_K^x\} + e^T N_{interfaces}^{space})^{1/2}$, hp-type bound.

When does "adjoint stability" hold?

1 1D, constant c, decomposing solution in left and right waves, $C \sim T(N_x + N_t)^{1/2}$ on a Cartesian-product $N_x \times N_t$ mesh.

2 1D, general c, with Gronwall + energy + integration by parts +

$$\alpha_{|K_1 \cap K_2} = \frac{ah^x}{\min\{c_{|K_1}^2 h_{K_1}^x, c_{|K_2}^2 h_{K_2}^x\}}, \quad \beta_{|K_1 \cap K_2} = \frac{bh^x}{\min\{h_{K_1}^x, h_{K_2}^x\}}$$

 $\Rightarrow C \sim (1/\max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \{h_K^x\} + e^T N_{interfaces}^{space})^{1/2}$, hp-type bound.

3 *n*D, no time-like faces ($\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}} = \emptyset$), impedance BCs only, $\Rightarrow C \sim T h_t^{-1/2}$ on uniform meshes.

All bounding constants are explicit.

For general case, need bound on traces of z, $\zeta \cdot \mathbf{n}_x$ in $L^2(\mathcal{F}_h^{\text{time}})$.

hp convergence bounds in 1+1D (and h in n+1D)

We prove fully-explicit *hp* best-approximation bounds in 1+1D. Combined with quasi-optimality, give convergence bounds:

$$\begin{split} |||(v - v_{hp}, \sigma - \sigma_{hp})|||_{DG} \\ &\leq \frac{12}{\sqrt{c}} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(6 \left(c + \frac{h_K^x}{h_K^t} \right) + 8c\xi_K \left(1 + c\frac{h_K^t}{h_K^x} \right) \right)^{1/2} (e/2)^{\frac{s_K^2}{p_K}} \\ &\cdot \frac{\left(h_K^x + ch_K^t \right)^{s_K + \frac{3}{2}}}{p_K^{s_K}} \left(|v/c|_{W_c^{s_K + 1,\infty}(K)} + |\sigma|_{W_c^{s_K + 1,\infty}(K)} \right). \\ &\xi_K := \|\max\{\alpha c; \ 1/\alpha c; \ \beta/c; \ c/\beta\}\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial K)}) \qquad 1 \leq s_K \leq p_K \end{split}$$

Exponential convergence for analytic solutions: $\sim \exp(-b\#\text{DOFs})$ instead of $\exp(-b\sqrt{\#\text{DOFs}})$.

hp convergence bounds in 1+1D (and h in n+1D)

We prove fully-explicit *hp* best-approximation bounds in 1+1D. Combined with quasi-optimality, give convergence bounds:

$$\begin{split} &|||(v - v_{hp}, \sigma - \sigma_{hp})|||_{DG} \\ &\leq \frac{12}{\sqrt{c}} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(6 \left(c + \frac{h_K^x}{h_K^t} \right) + 8c\xi_K \left(1 + c\frac{h_K^t}{h_K^x} \right) \right)^{1/2} (e/2)^{\frac{s_K^2}{p_K}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\left(h_K^x + ch_K^t \right)^{s_K + \frac{3}{2}}}{p_K^{s_K}} \left(|v/c|_{W_c^{s_K + 1,\infty}(K)} + |\sigma|_{W_c^{s_K + 1,\infty}(K)} \right). \\ &\quad \mathcal{T}_K := \|\max\{\alpha c; \ 1/\alpha c; \ \beta/c; \ c/\beta\}\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial K)}) \qquad 1 \leq s_K \leq p_K \end{split}$$

► Exponential convergence for analytic solutions: $\sim \exp(-b\#\text{DOFs})$ instead of $\exp(-b\sqrt{\#\text{DOFs}})$.

For n > 1, approximation in p is hard, in h follows from Taylor:

 $-\Delta u + c^{-2}u'' = 0, \ u \in H^{p+1}(K), \ n = 2, 3 \Rightarrow \ \exists P \in \mathbb{T}^p(K) \text{ s.t. } \forall 0 \leq j \leq p$

 $|u - P|_{j,K} \le 4(1+j)^n \rho_0^{-2} h_K^{p+1-j} |u|_{p+1,K}$ (K*-shaped wrt $B_{\rho_0 h_K}$).

Gaussian wave, uniform mesh of squares, p-convergence:



Very weak dependence on flux parameters, even for $\alpha, \beta = 0$.

Symmetric hyperbolic systems

As in MONK-RICHTER: piecewise-constant A > 0, constant A_j

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}_t + \sum_j \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}_j} &= \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{N})\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_0 & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}|_{\partial K} &:= \sum_j n_K^j \mathbf{A}_j, \\ +\text{conditions on } \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Decomposition $M|_{\partial K} := n_K^t A + \sum_j n_K^j A_j = M_K^+ + M_K^-$ such that $M^+ \ge 0$, $M^- \le 0$, $M_{K_1}^+ + M_{K_2}^- = 0$ on $\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2$, leads to

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{w}) &= \sum_{K_1,K_2} \int_{\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathsf{M}_{K_1}^+ (\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) \, \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^T} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathsf{M}\mathbf{w} \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \times (0,T)} (\mathsf{D} + \mathsf{N}) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w} \, \mathrm{d}S, \\ \ell(\mathbf{w}) &= - \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^0} \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathsf{M}\mathbf{w} \, \mathrm{d}S - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \times (0,T)} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{w} \, \mathrm{d}S. \\ |||\mathbf{u}||_{DG}^2 &:= \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{K_1,K_2} \int_{\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2} (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \cdot \frac{\mathsf{M}^+ - \mathsf{M}^-}{2} (\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_h^T \cup \mathcal{F}_h^0} \mathbf{u} \cdot \frac{\mathsf{M}^+ - \mathsf{M}^-}{2} \mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}S + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega \times (0,T)} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathsf{N}\mathbf{u} \, \mathrm{d}S. \end{split}$$

Maxwell's equations

$$\begin{split} \nabla\times\mathbf{E} &+ \frac{\partial(\mu\mathbf{H})}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}, \qquad \nabla\times\mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial(\epsilon\mathbf{E})}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3+1}, \\ \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}}\times\mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}}\times\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x},t) \qquad \qquad \text{Dirichlet/PEC BCs,} \\ & \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{t} := (\mathbf{v}^{-} - \mathbf{v}^{+}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{T}} := \mathbf{n}_{K_{1}}^{\mathbf{x}}\times\mathbf{v}_{|_{K_{1}}} + \mathbf{n}_{K_{2}}^{\mathbf{x}}\times\mathbf{v}_{|_{K_{2}}} \end{aligned} \right. \tag{tangential) jumps} \end{split}$$

Trefftz-DG formulation defined by:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{E}_{hp},\mathbf{H}_{hp};\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = & \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{space}}} \left(\epsilon \mathbf{E}_{hp}^{-} \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \right] _{l} + \mu \mathbf{H}_{hp}^{-} \cdot \left[\mathbf{w} \right] _{l} - \mathbf{E}_{hp}^{-} \cdot \left[\mathbf{w} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} + \mathbf{H}_{hp}^{-} \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} \right) \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{T}} (\epsilon \mathbf{E}_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mu \mathbf{H}_{hp} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \, \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}} \left(\mathbf{H}_{hp} + \alpha (\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{E}_{hp}) \right) \cdot (\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{v}) \, \mathrm{d}S \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\text{time}}} \left(- \left\{ \left[\mathbf{E}_{hp} \right] \right\} \cdot \left[\mathbf{w} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} + \left\{ \left[\mathbf{H}_{hp} \right] \right\} \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} + \alpha \left[\mathbf{E}_{hp} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} + \beta \left[\mathbf{H}_{hp} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} \cdot \left[\mathbf{w} \right] _{\mathbf{T}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}S, \\ \ell_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{0}} (\epsilon \mathbf{E}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mu \mathbf{H}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \, \mathrm{d}S + \int_{\mathcal{F}_{h}^{\partial}} (\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{g}) \cdot \left(- \mathbf{w} + \alpha (\mathbf{n}_{\Omega}^{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{v}) \right) \, \mathrm{d}S. \end{split}$$

Well-posedness and stability identical to wave equation. Explicit approximation bounds in h. Impedance BCs also fine.

Extensions and open problems

- More general space-time meshes (not aligned to t);
- non/less dissipative methods (is our dissipation too much?);
- analysis of non-penalised methods ($\alpha = \beta = 0$);
- L^2 stability in more general cases;
- Maxwell, elasticity, first-order hyperbolic systems, dispersive/Drude-type models for plasmas, ...;
- Trefftz hp-approximation theory in dimensions > 1;
- other bases: non-polynomial, trigonometric, directional...;
- (directional) adaptivity;
- • •

Extensions and open problems

- More general space-time meshes (not aligned to t);
- non/less dissipative methods (is our dissipation too much?);
- analysis of non-penalised methods ($\alpha = \beta = 0$);
- L^2 stability in more general cases;
- Maxwell, elasticity, first-order hyperbolic systems, dispersive/Drude-type models for plasmas, ...;
- Trefftz hp-approximation theory in dimensions > 1;
- ▶ other bases: non-polynomial, trigonometric, directional...;
- (directional) adaptivity;
- • •

