

Reducts of Primitive Jordan Structures

David Bradley-Williams

University of Central Lancashire

November 2015

Definition

Let \mathcal{M} be a first-order structure with universe Ω . A relational structure \mathcal{N} is a **reduct** of \mathcal{M} if

- \mathcal{N} has universe Ω ;
- Every relation of \mathcal{N} is \emptyset -definable in \mathcal{M} .

Definition

- If \mathcal{M} is also a reduct of \mathcal{N} then \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are **interdefinable**.
- Otherwise \mathcal{N} is a **proper** reduct of \mathcal{M} .

Definition

Let \mathcal{M} be a first-order structure with universe Ω . A relational structure \mathcal{N} is a **reduct** of \mathcal{M} if

- \mathcal{N} has universe Ω ;
- Every relation of \mathcal{N} is \emptyset -definable in \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (Cameron)

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ and \mathcal{N} a reduct of \mathcal{M} . Then \mathcal{N} is interdefinable with

- $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$;
- (\mathbb{Q}, bet) , where $\text{bet}(x, y, z)$ is betweenness on \mathbb{Q} ;
- $(\mathbb{Q}, \text{circ})$, where $\text{circ}(x, y, z)$ is the circular ordering of \mathbb{Q} ;
- (\mathbb{Q}, sep) , where $\text{sep}(x, y, z, w)$ is the separation relation on \mathbb{Q} ;
- $(\mathbb{Q}, =)$, the trivial structure.

Topology on $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$

Fix Ω a countably infinite set.

Definition

The **pointwise convergence** topology on $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is inherited from Ω^Ω .

This is generated by a basis of clopen sets

$$[g]_A = \{h : h|_A = g|_A\},$$

for finite $A \subseteq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$.

Fact

- *Closed subgroups of $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ are automorphism groups of relational structures.*

Definition

\mathcal{M} is ω -**categorical** if every countable $\mathcal{N} \models \text{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (Ryll-Nardzewski)

\mathcal{M} is ω -categorical if and only if $(\text{Aut}(M), \Omega)$ is **oligomorphic**.

Fact (M is ω -categorical)

Let G be a closed subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$,

\mathcal{N} a relational structure with $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{N})$.

Then \mathcal{N} is a (proper) reduct of \mathcal{M} iff G (properly) contains $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$.

Example

- Cameron - 5 reducts of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$;
- Thomas - 5 reducts of the Random Graph;
- Thomas - No proper reducts of the generic K_n -free graphs;
- Bennett - 5 reducts of the generic tournament;
- Junker-Ziegler - 116 reducts of $(\mathbb{Q}, <, 0)$;
- Pach-Pinsker-Pluhár-Pongrácz-Szabó - 5 reducts of generic partial order;
- Bodirsky-Pinsker-Pongrácz - 42 proper reducts of the generic ordered graph;
- Agarwal - 11 reducts of generic digraph;
- Agarwal-Kompatscher - uncountably many Henson digraphs with no proper reducts.

Definition

M is **homogeneous** if every isomorphism between finite substructures extends to an automorphism.

Definition

M is **finitely homogeneous** if it is homogeneous in some finite relational language.

Conjecture (Thomas)

If M is finitely homogeneous then it has only finitely many reducts up to interdefinability.

We seek some general progress towards this!

Definition

A partial ordering $(\Omega, <)$ is **semilinear** if

- Every two points have some lower bound;
- For every a , $L_a = \{x \leq a\}$ is linear;
- $(\Omega, <)$ is not linear.

Example

Construction of $(\mathbb{Q}, 2, +)$ yields a countable semilinear ordering $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$.

Semilinear orderings

Definition

A partial ordering $(\Omega, <)$ is **semilinear** if

- Every two points have some lower bound;
- For every a , $L_a = \{x \leq a\}$ is linear;
- $(\Omega, <)$ is not linear.

Example

Construction of $(\mathbb{Q}, 2, +)$ yields a countable semilinear ordering $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$.

Definition

\mathcal{M} is **relatively 2-transitive** if every isomorphism between 2-element substructures extends to an automorphism.

Definition

A partial ordering $(\Omega, <)$ is **semilinear** if

- Every two points have some lower bound;
- For every a , $L_a = \{x < a\}$ is linear;
- $(\Omega, <)$ is not linear.

Definition

\mathcal{M} is **relatively 2-transitive** if every isomorphism between 2-element substructures extends to an automorphism.

Example

$(\mathbb{Q}, 2, +)$ is a relatively 2-transitive semilinear ordering, not homogeneous.

Theorem (Droste)

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$ be a countable, relatively 2-transitive semilinear ordering. Then \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}, k, +/ -)$ for some choice of branching order $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\aleph_0\}$ and choice of $+$ or $-$. They are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Droste calls these **2-homogeneous trees**.

Theorem (Droste-Holland-Macpherson)

Every such $(\mathbb{Q}, k, +/ -)$ is finitely homogeneous (in a ternary language). Hence they are all ω -categorical.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$ be a semilinear ordering. For $x, z \in \Omega$ define the **path** from x to z

$$[x, z] := L_x \Delta L_z (\cup \{x \wedge z\}).$$

The **betweenness relation** in \mathcal{M} is given by:

$$B(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow y \in [x, z].$$

$\text{Aut}(\Omega, B)$ is the collection of **re-rootings** of \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (Bodirsky-BW-Pinsker-Pongrácz)

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$ be the semilinear ordering $(\mathbb{Q}, 2, -)$ and \mathcal{N} a reduct. Then \mathcal{N} is interdefinable with

- $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$;
- (Ω, B) ;
- $(\Omega, =)$.

A corollary to classifying the **model complete cores** of reducts of \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (BW)

Let $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$ be a relatively 2-transitive semilinear ordering, that is any $(\mathbb{Q}, k, +/-)$, and \mathcal{N} a reduct.

Then \mathcal{N} is interdefinable with

- $\mathcal{M} = (\Omega, <)$;
- (Ω, B) ;
- $(\Omega, =)$.

Jordan Groups

Definition

Let (G, Ω) be a transitive permutation group. A **Jordan set** is a subset $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ with $|\Gamma| > 1$ such that $(G_{(\Omega \setminus \Gamma)}, \Gamma)$ is transitive.

Example

- Ω is a Jordan set.
- If G is $n + 1$ -transitive and $\Theta \subset \Omega$ a finite set of n points, then $\Omega \setminus \Theta$ is a Jordan set.

Definition

A Jordan set is **proper** if it is non-trivial and neither of the above.

Definition

If G admits a proper Jordan set then we call it a **Jordan group**.

Definition

Let (G, Ω) be a transitive permutation group. A **Jordan set** is a subset $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ with $|\Gamma| > 1$ such that $(G_{(\Omega \setminus \Gamma)}, \Gamma)$ is transitive.

Definition

If G admits a proper Jordan set then we call it a **Jordan group**.

Definition

Call \mathcal{N} a **Jordan structure** if $(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{N}), \Omega)$ is a Jordan group.

Example

- $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ is a primitive Jordan group.
Jordan sets are open intervals.
- A relatively 2-transitive semilinear order is a primitive Jordan structure. Cones are primitive Jordan sets (Adeleke-Neumann).

Fact (Basic properties of Jordan sets)

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be proper Jordan sets for (G, Ω) . Then

- All translates of Γ_1 are proper Jordan sets;
- If $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is a proper Jordan set.

We have a family of Jordan sets.

Fact

Let $G \leq H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$, and Γ a proper (primitive) Jordan set for G . Then Γ is a Jordan set for H .

Fact (Basic properties of Jordan sets)

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be proper Jordan sets for (G, Ω) . Then

- All translates of Γ_1 are proper Jordan sets;
- If $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 \neq \emptyset$ then $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is a proper Jordan set.

We have a family of Jordan sets.

Fact

Let $G \leq H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$, and Γ a proper (primitive) Jordan set for G . Then Γ is a Jordan set for H .

Fact

Reducts of primitive Jordan structures are primitive Jordan structures!

Theorem (Adeleke-Neumann)

Let (G, Ω) be an infinite, primitive Jordan group which is not highly-transitive on Ω with a primitive Jordan set. Then G preserves on Ω one of the following kinds of structure:

- 1 *Dense linear ordering (or first order reduct);*
- 2 *Dense semilinear ordering;*
- 3 *Dense B -relation;*
- 4 *Proper C -relation;*
- 5 *Proper D -relation.*

These relational structures are studied and axiomatised by Adeleke-Neumann.

Theorem (Bodirsky-Macpherson)

*Bodirsky and Macpherson constructed a D -relation such that (Ω, D) is a primitive Jordan structure, is not ω -categorical and has **no proper, non-trivial reducts** and $\text{Aut}(\Omega, D)$ is **maximally closed** in $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$.*

Theorem (Bodirsky-Macpherson)

*Bodirsky and Macpherson constructed a D -relation such that (Ω, D) is a primitive Jordan structure, is not ω -categorical and has **no proper, non-trivial reducts** and $\text{Aut}(\Omega, D)$ is **maximally closed** in $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$.*

Theorem (Kaplan-Simon)

Let V be a vector space of dimension $n \geq 3$ over the field \mathbb{Q} . So $\text{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Aut}(V, f)$ with $f(x, y, z) = x + y - z$. Then

- *(V, f) has no proper, non-trivial reducts;*
- *$\text{AGL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ is maximally closed in $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$.*

Similar result for $\text{PGL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$.

Work to do

Classify primitive, countable Jordan semilinear orders.

- Consider weakly 2-transitive trees of Droste-Holland-Macpherson.
- Consider the classification of countably 1-transitive trees by Truss-Chicot.

Conjecture

Every countable, finitely homogeneous, binary-language, primitive Jordan structure has only finitely many reducts.