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## Local Warming: Moscow’s Summer 2010 Temperatures

Land surface temp anomalies (Satellite), for July20-27, 2010, compared to July20-27 (2000-2008).


Color Range: $-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Picture, courtesy of NASA/Goddard/Earth Observatory.

## Moscow's Summer Temperatures, 1881-2011



## Moscow's Summer Temperatures, 1881-2009



## Moscow's Summer Temperatures, 1881-2009



## Moscow's Summer Temperatures, 1881-2011



## A Mathematical Fact, Applicable to Extreme Temperatures

## RANDOM TEMPERATURES



## RANDOM TEMPERATURES MOSCOW TEMPERATURES




## Something Must Account for Changing Mean



Increase of Extreme Events in a Warming World (PNAS 44, 2011), by Rahmstorf and Coumou.

## 2010 Moscow Hot Summer: Antropogenic Source?



Figure courtesy of Rahmstorf and Coumou. Can be found at realclimate.org.

## The Trend Problem:

Define a set of simple universal rules with which to compute an underlying tendency, given a finite (non-stationary/multi-scale) data set.

Joint work with Shankar Venkataramani (U. Arizona)
H. Flaschka (U. Arizona) and
D. Comeau (U. Arizona)

## Problems That Critically Depend on a Trend Calculation

- Global warming (sun radiation, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ averages, global temperature estimates).
- Mean sea level (land ice melt and its effect on sea rise).
- Variability of local weather.
- Glacial ice packing.
- Long-term ocean sea surface temps (SST) data: PCA has an ENSO-line signal, not in ocean models. ${ }^{1}$

Other applications: trends in hydrogeology, econometrics, etc.
${ }^{1}$ Robert Miller (COAS/ORST), private communication.

## A Climate Signal...

ORIGINAL SIGNAL


Vostok Ice Core data, Temperature

## The Tendency, Defined

Given a finite-time time series $Y(i), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

The tendency $T(i)$ is a time series

- $T(i):=B^{D}+\left\{R^{j}(i)\right\}_{S}, i=1, \ldots, N . B^{D}$ is a constant, $\left\{R^{j}(i)\right\}_{S}$ is a function made up of a combination of $S$ rotations.


## The Tendency, Defined

Given a finite-time time series $Y(i), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$,

The tendency $T(i)$ is a time series

- $T(i):=B^{D}+\left\{R^{j}(i)\right\}_{S}, i=1, \ldots, N . B^{D}$ is a constant, $\left\{R^{j}(i)\right\}_{S}$ is a function made up of a combination of $S$ rotations.
- The histogram of $Y(i)-T(i)$ should be nearly symmetric, and $\operatorname{var}[T(i)] \leq \operatorname{var}[Y(i)]$. (If $T(i) \neq Y(i)$ ).
- Low complexity of $T(i)$, measured by the Hellinger distance: Hell $[Y(i)-T(i)]$ small.
- if $Y(i)$ is monotonic, $T(i)$ is monotonic.
- if $Y(i)$ is a constant, $T(i)=Y(i)$.
- if $Y(i)$ is stationary $(N \rightarrow \infty), T(i)=m$, the median.


## General Procedure:

- Find a decomposition $Y(i)=B^{D}+\sum_{j=1}^{D} R^{j}(i)$
- Apply tendency criteria to pick a combination of $R^{j}$ to form $T(i):=B^{D}+\left\{R^{j}(i)\right\}_{S}, i=1, \ldots, N$.

The choice of decomposition is motivated by the

- Be non-parametric.
- Ability to handle multi-scale nature of a signal.
- Be lossless.


## The Decomposition

## The Intrinsic Time Decomposition (ITD)

Given a sequence of real numbers $\{Y(i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$,

$$
Y(i)=B^{D}+\sum_{j=1}^{D} R^{j}(i)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{j}(i)= B^{j+1}(i)+R^{j+1}(i), \quad j=0, \ldots, D, \\
& \quad \text { and } \\
& B^{0}(i):= Y(i) . \\
& B^{j} \text { are called BASELINES, and } R^{j} \text { are called ROTATIONS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Frei and Osorio, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, (2006).

## The Intrinsic Time Decomposition (ITD)

Baseline Construction:

- Identify extremas $Y_{k}:=Y\left(\tau_{k}\right)$ and nodes $\tau_{k}$.
- Construct knots $B_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{k+1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[Y_{k}+\frac{\left(\tau_{k+1}-\tau_{k}\right)}{\left(\tau_{k+2}-\tau_{k}\right)}\left(Y_{k+2}-Y_{k}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} Y_{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the interval $i \in\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right]$, between successive extrema,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(i) & =B_{k}+\frac{\left(B_{k+1}-B_{k}\right)}{\left(Y_{k+1}-Y_{k}\right)}\left(Y(i)-Y_{k}\right) \\
R(i) & =Y(i)-B(i)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: Signal $Y$, Rotation $R$, Baseline $B$

## The Intrinsic Time Decomposition (ITD)

General Case: Set $B^{0}(i)=Y(i), i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
For $j=0, \ldots, D-1$ :
$R^{j+1}(i)=B^{j}(i)-B^{j+1}(i)$.

- Identify extremas $B_{k}^{j}:=B^{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{j}\right)$ and nodes $\tau_{k}^{j}$.
- Construct knots $B_{k}^{j+1}$,

$$
B_{k+1}^{j+1}:=B^{j+1}\left(\tau_{k+1}^{j+1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[B_{k}^{j}+\frac{\left(\tau_{k+1}^{j}-\tau_{k}^{j}\right)}{\left(\tau_{k+2}^{j}-\tau_{k}^{j}\right)}\left(B_{k+2}^{j}-B_{k}^{j}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} B_{k+1}^{j} .
$$

In the interval $i \in\left(\tau_{k}^{j+1}, \tau_{k+1}^{j+1}\right]$, between successive extrema,

$$
B^{j+1}(i)=B_{k}^{j}+\frac{\left(B_{k+1}^{j}-B_{k}^{j}\right)}{\left(B_{k+1}^{j}-B_{k}^{j}\right)}\left(B^{j}(i)-B_{k}^{j}\right),
$$

## Intuition

Define the All Extrema Random Signal $Y(i)=(-1)^{i}\left|z_{i}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$, with $z_{i}$ a sample from $\mathscr{N}(0, \sigma)$

## - MWMONMWMOW

In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(i)= & B_{k}=\frac{1}{4}\left(Y_{k-1}+2 Y_{k}+Y_{k+1}\right) . \\
& \text { and } \\
R(i)= & R_{k}=Y_{k}-B_{k}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(Y_{k-1}-2 Y_{k}+Y_{k+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Even if extremas are not equally-spaced:,

- if $B=\mathscr{L} Y$, then $R=(1-\mathscr{L}) Y$,
- $B^{j+1}=\mathscr{L}^{j} B^{j}$
- $R^{j+1}=\left(1-\mathscr{L}^{j}\right) B^{j}$.

The baseline: $\frac{\hat{B}}{\hat{Y}}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos \omega)$

## The Fourier transform $\hat{Y}$ :



The rotation: $\frac{\hat{R}}{\hat{Y}}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos \omega)$
$\omega=2 \pi v / N$, and $0 \leq v \leq N / 2$, the integer frequency.


## Spectrum of the Rotations



For All Extrema Random Signal $Y=(-1)^{i}\left|z_{i}\right|, \quad z_{i}$ from $\mathscr{U}(\sigma=4)$ Logarithm, base 2, as a function of ITD level $j$ :


Ensemble averages (50,000 realizations).

## Self Similar Spectrum and Extremas

Define $\mathscr{E}\left[B^{j}\right]:=\left\{S^{j}, b^{j}\right\}$.
$\left\{S^{j}\right\}_{1}^{n_{j}}$ be locations of extrema of baselines, with values $b^{j}$.

In ITD: $\left\{S^{j+1}, b^{j+1}\right\}=\mathscr{E}\left[\left(\mathbb{I}+M^{j}\right) b^{j}\right]$.
$M^{j}$ is a diffusion matrix.

- $\operatorname{Sp}\left(\mathbb{I}+M^{j}\right)$ real, $\in[0,1]:$ $\lambda_{k}^{j}=\cos ^{2}(\pi k / n)$,
- 1 is an eigenvalue corresponding to the right eigenvector consisting of all ones, and 0 is an eigenvalue corresponding to
 the right eigenvector given by $x_{k}=(-1)^{k}$. (Proof is by a
Perron-Frobenius type argument).


## Estimate of probability of extremas disappearing can be found:

- Extrema disappear independently from neighbors.
- Obtain Poisson process for evolution of the sets $S^{j}$.



## Example Calculation

ORIGINAL SIGNAL


Vostok Ice Core data, Temperature


## The Tendency $T(i)$, the EMD, and the Vostok signal $Y(i)$



Time Series


The Histograms

## Finding the Tendency

- Find ITD:

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y(i)=B^{D}+\sum_{J=1}^{D} R^{j}(i) \\
B^{j}(i)=B^{j+1}(i)+R^{j+1}(i)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Find Tendency (picking $k^{*}$ baseline)

$$
T(i):=B^{k^{*}}(i)
$$

- Find Tendency (choosing $k^{*}$ baseline)

$$
T(i):=B^{k^{*}}(i)
$$

- The "ABSISSA" information:
- For $j=1, . ., D$ compute $H^{j}:=\operatorname{histogram}\left(Y(i)-B^{j}(i)\right)$
- Determine "symmetry" of $H^{j}$ : via percentiles.
- Candidates have a symmetric unimodal distribution with variance, smaller than $\operatorname{var} Y$.
- The "ORDINATE" information:
- Compute matrix $\operatorname{corr}\left(B^{j}\right)$.
- Determine $B^{k^{*}}$. Of the set chosen in the Absissa selection, choose $j=k^{*}$ corresponding to first minima in $\operatorname{corr}_{j, j+1}$

$$
\text { can get simpler } T(i) \text { by maximizing } \operatorname{Hell}\left(T-R^{j \geq k^{*}}\right)
$$

## ABSISSA INFORMATION




## ORDINATE INFORMATION
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## The Composite Case




## When There's No Single Trend:


daily temperature data, SW Arizona.

## 2010 Moscow Hot Summer: Antropogenic Source?





Figure courtesy of Rahmstorf and Coumou. Can be found at realclimate.org.

## Analysis of the Moscow Data

Our analysis confirms that Coumou and Rahmstorf's guess that the mean temperature increased, but not its variance:




## Other Applications

- 2D image processing?
- Generates a compact surrogate model of the form

$$
d X_{t}=f\left(X_{t}, t\right) d t+\sigma d W_{t} .
$$

- $T(i)$ is the cummulant of the drift term $f(\cdot)$.
- Estimate $\sigma$ from hist $(Y-T)$, construct suitable noise process for the diffusion term.


## Further Information

```
Juan M. Restrepo
http://www.physics.arizona.edu/~restrepo
```


## Uncertainty Quantification Group

 http://www.physics.arizona.edu/~restrepo/UQ/UQ.html

