

### GMAO Hybrid Ensemble 3D-Var

#### Amal El Akkraoui and Ricardo Todling

Global Modeling and Assimilation Office

Many thanks to: D. Kleist, D. Parish, J. Whitaker, and R. Treadon.





2 Current status of GMAO's hybrid ensemble 3D-Var



3 Additive inflation and re-centering





### Background and motivation



- Use flow-dependent background error information derived from ensemble techniques but still within the variational framework.
- The 3D-Var cost function:

$$J(\delta \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}')^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{H} \delta \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}')$$

• The hybrid 3D-Var cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}'^{T} (\beta \mathbf{B} + (1 - \beta) \mathbf{P}^{e} \circ \mathbf{S})^{-1} \mathbf{x}' + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}')^{T} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{y}')$$

$$\mathbf{x}' = \delta \mathbf{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{a}_k \circ \mathbf{x}_k^e$$
, and  $\mathbf{P}^e = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{x}_k^e (\mathbf{x}_k^e)^T$ 

# Current status of GMAO's hybrid ensemble 3D-Var



#### Control run (Ctl):

- Conventional 3D-Var;
- analysis at 0.5°;
- forecasts at 0.5°;
- close run to ops.

#### Hybrid run (Hyb):

- 32 members at 1°; S-EnKF;
- Additive inflation;
- Dual resolution (central at 0.5°, ensemble at 1°);
- β = 0.5;
- members re-centered.
- Time frame: mid-November through end of december 2011. 2-week spin up period, and hybrid starts on Dec 1st.

Results: OMF

Hyb





Uwind



# Results: Monthly means (Temperature)





## Results: Monthly means (U-winds)





## Results: Monthly means (U-winds)





### Forecast skills: Anomaly correlations ( 500 mb height)



#### Forecast skills: Anomaly correlations (500 mb Uwind)



# Current status of GMAO's hybrid ensemble 3D-Var



- Hybrid results are significantly positive: largely positive for the tropical winds around 500-200mb, and slightly positive to neutral elsewhere;
- Still need to try to get more impact for temperature;
- More tuning and testing with higher resolution, more members, different localization scales.

#### Then...

The filter-free scheme results prompted more questions!

# Current status of GMAO's hybrid ensemble 3D-Var



- Hybrid results are significantly positive: largely positive for the tropical winds around 500-200mb, and slightly positive to neutral elsewhere;
- Still need to try to get more impact for temperature;
- More tuning and testing with higher resolution, more members, different localization scales.

#### Then...

The filter-free scheme results prompted more questions!

### From the Filter-free results:





• Need to examine the role of the two components the filter-free scheme is "borrowing" from the enkf-based scheme: the additive inflation and the re-centering.



- Start with the two decoupled schemes: the ensemble (S-EnKF) and the variational (3D-Var).
- Both systems do not need to communicate with each other in order to perform, and then we ask them to work together to the fullest of their potential, hoping for the best outcome.
  - An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
  - An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
  - ...and how do they both affect each other's performance

GMAO

NASA

- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance

- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance







NASA

- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance

- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance







- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance



- An important aspect of this exercise is how well each system performs when alone.
- An equally important one is how do they work together as a team when in hybrid mode...
- ...and how do they both affect each other's performance





GMAO





- The EnKF updates : the ensemble mean and the ensemble of background perturbations
- Both products go through some "post-analysis" step before the perturbations are evolved in time to the next cycle :
  - Inflation (additive and/or multiplicative) for the members;
  - Re-centering of the members around a new mean (central)
    ⇒ the encemble mean is medified

 $\Rightarrow$  the ensemble mean is modified.

post-analysis

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{a} \Leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{a} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{a} + \mathbf{x}^{c} + \alpha \epsilon^{pert}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{x}_{i}^{ana} \Leftarrow \delta \mathbf{x}_{i}^{ana} + \delta \mathbf{x}^{rec} + \alpha \delta \mathbf{x}_{i}^{inf}$$

- How large should the additive inflation be....without compromising the enkf analysis?
- How does the dual resolution affect the size of the re-centering increment?

## The NMC-like additive perturbations

#### • Uwind 500mb unscaled additive perturbation



### The NMC-like additive perturbations

#### • Mem1: Uwind analysis incr at 500mb + alpha x additive inflation



### Spread Low-res





GMAO

February 20, 2013 16 /

)/ 3I

### Spread High-res















Temperature









Temperature

0.06 0.04

0.02

e <mark>-</mark>

5

30

GMAO







0.04

0.02

e <mark>-</mark>

5

10

15 ranks

Temperature

February 20, 2013 20

25

30

20







Temperature



### Jo-fits/Nobs





### High/Low res Ensemble mean - Control analysis



### OMF - Conventional ops only







### OMF - Conventional ops only







GMAO

## OMF - Conventional ops only







### Ensemble-mean, Control analysis Vs Ops

Twind - Zonal average (x0005 - e572p2 fp) 200 305 60N -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 Twind — Zonal average (ENKF ensmean — e572p2\_fp) 220 320



Uwind - Zonal average (ENKF ensmean - e572p2\_fp)



100

6ÓS

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2

30S

ΕÓ

3ÓN

6ÓN

0.8

ann

#### Low-res ens: inflation + re-centering





T - inc\_mem1 (lev 500mb) + recentering + inflation



T - inc\_mem1 (lev 500mb) + inflation only



### High-res ens: inflation + re-centering





T - inc\_mem1 (lev 500mb) + inflation only



T - inc\_mem1 (lev 500mb) + recentering + inflation



### Conclusion

NASA

• Additive inflation is essential to the performance of the ensemble.

 $\Rightarrow$  We need to try the hybrid with the 0.4 scaling factor.

• The resolution difference between the ensemble and the central is critical for the re-centering step.

 $\Rightarrow$  Re-evaluate the need for the re-centering in the "same-resolution" configuration.

#### What next?



- Extend the two-resolutions twin experiment for more robust results.
- The conditioning of the hybrid problem when the covariance weights are vertically varying.
- Assess how will the filter-free scheme perform when running same-resolution....stay tuned!