# Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

Alexander Berenstein

Universidad de los Andes-Université Claude Bernand Lyon 1

Feb 2009

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

\_inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

・ロト ・ 日本・ ・ 田本・ ・ 日本・ うらく

#### Geometric Theories

We say that a complete theory T is *geometric* if:

1. In every model of T, *acl* has the exchange property.

2. It eliminates the quantifier  $\exists^{\infty}$ .

Note: In *T* there is a notion of independence for *real sets*: If  $M \models T$ ,  $\vec{a} \in M^n$ ,  $B, C \subset M$ ,  $\vec{a} \downarrow_B C$  if  $dim(\vec{a}/B) = dim(\vec{a}/BC)$ .

Examples:

- 1. strongly minimal theories.
- 2. o-minimal theories extending DLO
- 3. *SU*-rank one simple theories.

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures

Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

₋inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

#### Lovely pairs

Let T be a geometric theory. Let P be a new unary predicate and let  $L_P = L \cup \{P\}$ .

#### Definition

We say that a structure (M, P(M)) is a lovely pair of models of T if

- 1.  $P(M) \preceq M \models T$
- 2. (Coheir property) If  $A \subset M$  is algebraically closed and finite dimensional and  $q \in S_1(A)$  is non-algebraic, there is  $a \in P(M)$  such that  $a \models q$ .
- 3. (Extension property) If  $A \subset M$  is algebraically closed and finite dimensional and  $q \in S_1(A)$  is non-algebraic, there is  $a \in M$ ,  $a \models q$  and  $a \notin \operatorname{acl}(A \cup P(M))$ .

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures

Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

**-inearity** Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Extends Poizat's notion of *beautiful* pairs. Simple theories: Ben Yaacov, Pillay, Vassiliev, O-minimal theories: van den Dries. Geometric theories: Hils: rich fusions. Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures

Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

#### Basic Facts I

Let (M, P(M)), (N, P(N)) be lovely pairs of models of T, then

 $(M, P(M)) \equiv (N, P(N))$ 

We write  $T_P$  for the common theory.

The class of lovely pairs is not elementary, but if  $(M, P(M)) \models T_P$  is  $|L|^+$ -saturated, then (M, P(M)) is a lovely pair.

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

#### Basic Facts II

#### Proposition

The theory  $T_P$  is near model complete: every  $L_P$ -formula is equivalent to a boolean combination of formulas of the form:

 $\exists y_1 \in P \ldots \exists y_k \in P\varphi(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ 

where  $\varphi(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$  is an L-formula.

If  $\psi(\bar{x})$  defines a subset of P, then there is  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  L-definable such that  $\psi(\bar{x}) = P(x) \land \varphi(\bar{x})$ .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

.inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

#### Examples of lovely pairs

$$T = ACF_0 \quad (\mathbb{C}, +, \times, 0, 1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}(e_0, e_1, \dots)})$$
  
 $T = DLO \quad (\mathbb{R}, \leq, \mathbb{Q})$ 

$$T = RCF \quad (\mathbb{R}, +, \times, 0, 1, \leq, \overline{\mathbb{Q}(e_0, e_1, \dots)}^r) \models RCF_P$$

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

\_inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

#### The o-minimal case

Let T be o-minimal expansion of  $Th(\mathbb{R}, +, <, 0, 1)$ , where 1 stands for a positive constant.

#### Definition

A dense pair of models of T is a pair (M, P(M)) of models of T such that  $P(M) \leq M, P(M) \neq M$  and P(M) is dense in M.

It follows from work of van den Dries: dense pairs of models of T are the models of  $T_P$ .

It has nice topological features: o-minimal open core (Dolich, Miller, Steinhorn).

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

-inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Properties induced by T on  $T_P$ :

If T is strongly minimal, then  $T_P$  is  $\omega$ -stable and  $MR(T_P) \leq \omega$  (Poizat, Buechler).

If T is simple of SU-rank one, then  $T_P$  is supersimple and  $SU(T_P) \leq \omega$  (Vassiliev).

If T is a rosy theory of thorn-rank one, then  $T_P$  is super-rosy and thorn-rank $(T_p) \le \omega$  (Boxall).

If T is (strongly) dependent,  $T_P$  is also (strongly) dependent (B., Dolich, Onshuus).

Question: What if T has the  $NTP_2$  property?

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

\_inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

## Strongly minimal theories

Assume T is strongly minimal,  $M \models T$ . Then TFAE:

1. *T* is 1-based: whenever  $A, B \subset M$ ,

$$A \bigcup_{acl^{eq}(A) \cap acl^{eq}(B)} E$$

- 2. T is linear: for  $a, b \in M$ ,  $C \subset M$  such that  $b \in acl(aC)$ , then  $MR(Cb(tp(a, b/C))) \leq 1$ .
- 3. T is locally modular: for  $a \in M$  not algebraic, and  $A, B \subset M$ ,

$$aA \bigcup_{acl(aA)\cap acl(aB)} aB$$

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity

Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

# Linearity

We have the following characterization of linearity in the SU-rank 1 case (note that in the SU-rank 1 case linearity is strictly weaker than local modularity):

Theorem (Vassiliev)

For an SU-rank 1 theory T the following are equivalent:

- 1. T is linear (Cb of any plane curve has rank  $\leq 1$ )
- T is 1-based (A is independent from B over acl<sup>eq</sup>(A) ∩ acl<sup>eq</sup>(B))
- 3.  $T_P$  has SU-rank  $\leq 2$  (=2 if non-trivial)
- for any lovely pair (M, P) the quotient pregeometry (M, acl(\_∪ P(M))) is modular
- 5.  $acl = acl_P$  in  $T_P$

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity

Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

## Main example, Loveys-Peterzil

Let  $N = (\mathbb{R}, +, 0, f, \leq)$ , where  $f(x) = \begin{cases} \pi x & \text{for } x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

Let T = Th(N),  $(M, P(M)) \models T_P$  saturated. Then:

- 1. It has the CF property: every interpretable NORMAL family of plane curves has dimension  $\leq 1$ .
- 2. It is NOT 1-based, there are sets  $A, B \subset M$  such that  $A \not \perp_{acl^{eq}(A) \cap acl^{eq}(B)} B$ .
- 3. thorn-rk( $T_p$ ) = 2.
- 4. It is not modular after adding any set as parameters.
- 5. The quotient geometry  $acl(_{-} \cup P(M))$  is modular.

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

# Main theorem,

**Theorem** (B.,Vassiliev) Let  $(M, P(M)) \models T_P$  saturated. Then TFAE: 1.  $acl(\_\cup P)$  is modular. 2. For A, B sets there is  $C \downarrow_{\emptyset} AB$  such that  $A \downarrow_{acl(AC) \cap acl(BC)} B$ . 3.  $acl = acl_P$  in the home sort.

We call such a T linear.

Examples: *SU*-rank one linear structures, "linear" o-minimal structures: global addition and CF-property.

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

# Questions I

Let  $(M, P) \models T_P$  be saturated. If  $acl(\_ \cup P)$  is modular non-trivial, when is there a group interpretable (or something weaker) in M?

Positive answers:

- 1. T strongly minimal (group conf.)
- 2. *T* simple of *SU*-rank 1 (group conf.) but not *interpretable*.
- 3. *T* o-minimal (trichotomy-group interval).
- 4. *T* geometric *C*-minimal under modularity (Fares Malouf).

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs or geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

Linearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

# Questions II

1. A geometric *rosy* theory has CF property if any interpretable family of plane curves has dimension at most one. Our notion of linearity implies CF. Is linearity equivalent to CF? True if the theory has almost Cb.

2. We can define a weak version of 1-basedness for geometric theories by requiring that for any  $\bar{a}$  and B, there exists  $\bar{a}' \models tp(\bar{a}/B)$  such that  $\bar{a}' \perp_B \bar{a}$  and  $\bar{a} \perp_{\bar{a}'} B$ . Then it implies linearity. Is the converse true?

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

\_inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

#### Ranks and Geometry

**Theorem** (Buechler, Vassiliev) Let T be a strongly minimal theory (SU(T) = 1),  $(M, P) \models T_P$  be saturated. Then

1. If T is trivial, 
$$MR(T_P) = 1$$
.  
 $(SU(T_P) = 1)$ 

- 2. If T is linear non-trivial,  $MR(T_P) = 2$ . ( $SU(T_P) = 2$ )
- 3. If T is not linear,  $MR(T_P) = \omega$ .  $(SU(T_P) = \omega)$

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

\_inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

Ranks and Geometry

#### o-minimal case

Theorem (B., Vassiliev)

Let T be an o-minimal theory extending DLO and let  $(M, P) \models T_P$  be saturated. Then

- 1. If  $a \in M$  is trivial, *SU*-thorn  $(tp_P(a)) \le 1$  (= 1 iff  $a \notin dcl(\emptyset)$ ).
- If M has global addition (i.e. expands the theory of ordered abelian groups) and does not interpret an infinite field, a ∉ P(M), then SU-thorn (tp<sub>P</sub>(a)) = 2.
- If M induces the structure of an o-minimal expansion of a real closed field in a neighborhood of a ∉ P(M), then SU-thorn (tp<sub>P</sub>(a)) = ω.

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs of geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

-inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I

# Questions III

1. For T rosy, is there a correspondence with the pregeometry type and the thorn-rk of  $T_P$ ?

Understand thorn forking in  $T_P$ . Let  $B \subset C \subset M$  be sets,  $a \in acl(CP(M)) \setminus acl(BP(M))$ . Does tp(a/C) thorn forks over B?

2. Can we characterize thorn rank one theories? Are they characterized by property (E)?

3. If T is  $\omega$ -categorical and linear, is  $T_P \omega$ -categorical?

4. If Th(M) is dependent,  $A \subset M$  is small, and the induced structure on A is dependent, is Th(M, A) dependent?

Lovely pairs of geometric structures and linearity

> Alexander Berenstein

Lovely pairs o geometric structures Basic Facts Basic Facts Examples O-minimality

-inearity Main example Main Theorem

Questions I