

Nonstandard Arithmetic, Reverse Mathematics, and Recursive Comprehension

Lecture at BIRS Dec. 8, 2008
Slides revised Dec. 9, 2008

H. Jerome Keisler
University of Wisconsin, Madison
keisler@math.wisc.edu
www.math.wisc.edu/~keisler

First order reasoning about hyperintegers proves things about sets of integers. The advantage is that hyperintegers have more structure than sets of integers.

1. The languages $L_1, L_2, {}^*L_1$
2. Basic Nonstandard Arithmetic (BNA)
3. The Standard Part Principle (STP)
4. Nonstandard Counterparts
5. Weak Koenig Lemma
6. Arithmetical Comprehension
7. Arithmetical Transfinite Recursion
8. Π_1^1 -Comprehension
9. Recursive Comprehension

Reminder: The 5 basic theories in L_2

$RCA_0 =$
 $I\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_1^0$ Induction $+ \Delta_1^0$ Comprehension.

$WKL_0 = RCA_0 +$ the Weak Koenig Lemma
(every infinite binary tree has an infinite branch).

$ACA_0 = RCA_0 +$ Arithmetical Comprehension
(for each k , every Σ_k^0 formula defines a set).

$ATR_0 = RCA_0 + \Sigma_1^1$ Separation
(any two disjoint Σ_1^1 properties are separated
by a set).

Π_1^1 - $CA_0 = RCA_0 + \Pi_1^1$ Comprehension
(any Π_1^1 property defines a set).

Some References

A. Enayat. From bounded arithmetic to second order arithmetic via automorphisms. In *Logic in Tehran, Lecture Notes in Logic* 26 (2006).

C.W. Henson, M. Kaufmann, and H.J. Keisler. The Strength of Nonstandard Methods in Arithmetic. *JSL* 49 (1984), 1039–1058.

H.J. Keisler, Nonstandard Arithmetic and Reverse Mathematics. *BSL* 12 (2006), 100–125.

S.G. Simpson, *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.

K. Tanaka, The self-embedding theorem of WKL_0 and a non-standard method. *APAL* 84 (1997), 41–49.

K. Yokoyama, Formalizing non-standard arguments in second order arithmetic. To appear.

1. The languages $L_1, L_2, {}^*L_1$

First Order Arithmetic L_1 :

Sort N with variables m, n, q, r, \dots

Vocabulary $0, 1, +, -, \cdot, <$

(where $m - n = \max(m - n, 0)$)

Terms $s(\vec{n})$ of sort N

2nd Order Arithmetic L_2 :

$L_1 \subseteq L_2$. Models: $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}), \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N})$

Sort P with variables X, Y, Z, \dots

Relation \in of sort $N \times P$

Nonstandard Arithmetic *L_1 :

$L_1 \subseteq {}^*L_1$. Models $(\mathcal{N}, {}^*\mathcal{N}), \mathcal{N} \subseteq {}^*\mathcal{N}$

Sort *N with variables x, y, z, \dots

Vocabulary $0, 1, +, -, \cdot, <$ in both sorts

Terms $t(\vec{u})$ of sort *N

where \vec{u} has variables of both sorts.

Combined language: $L_2 \cup {}^*L_1$

Models: $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}, {}^*\mathcal{N})$

2. Basic Nonstandard Arithmetic (BNA)

A weak theory in $*L_1$

which says $\mathcal{N} \equiv_{\forall} *\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N} \subset_{end} *\mathcal{N}$

Axioms of $I\Sigma_1$ in sort N :

Recursive rules for $0, 1, +, \dot{-}, \cdot, <$,

Σ_1^0 Induction in L_1

\forall -Transfer: $\forall \vec{m} \varphi(\vec{m}) \leftrightarrow \forall \vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x})$,

$\forall \vec{m} \varphi(\vec{m})$ a universal sentence in L_1

Proper Initial Segment Axioms:

$$\forall n \exists x (x = n)$$

$$\forall n \forall x [x < n \rightarrow \exists m x = m]$$

$$\exists y \forall n [n < y]$$

3. The Standard Part Principle (STP)

The bridge between *L_1 and L_2 .

STP is a sentence in $L_2 \cup {}^*L_1$ meaning:

“Every hyperinteger codes a set, and every set is coded by a hyperinteger”

$(p_n|x)$ means “The n -th prime divides x ”,

$st(x) = \varphi(\cdot, \vec{u})$ denotes $\forall m [(p_m|x) \leftrightarrow \varphi(m, \vec{u})]$
“ x codes the class $\{m : \varphi(m, \vec{u})\}$ ”

$st(x) = X$ denotes $\forall m [(p_m|x) \leftrightarrow m \in X]$
“ x codes X ”, “ X is the standard part of x ”

STP: $\forall x \exists X st(x) = X \wedge \forall X \exists x st(x) = X$

4. Nonstandard Counterparts

A theory T' in $L_2 \cup {}^*L_1$ is **conservative** over a theory T in L_2 if every sentence of L_2 provable from T' is provable from T .

T' is a **nonstandard counterpart** of T if T' implies and is conservative over T .

We will give nonstandard counterparts of each of the five basic theories RCA_0 , WKL_0 , ACA_0 , ATR_0 , and $\Pi_1^1\text{-CA}_0$ of second order arithmetic.

Each of these counterparts will be of the form $U + \text{STP}$ where U is a theory in *L_1 .

5. Weak Koenig Lemma

Theorem. *The theory $*\text{WKL}_0 = \text{BNA} + \text{Int-IND} + \Sigma_1^S\text{-IND} + \text{STP}$ is a nonstandard counterpart of WKL_0 . So is $*\text{WKL}_0 + \text{Transfer}$ for FO sentences.*

Δ_0^S formula in $*L_1$: Built from atomic formulas, connectives, and bdd quantifiers $(\forall m < s(\vec{n}))$, $(\forall x < t(\vec{u}))$. Σ_1^S means $\exists m \varphi$ where φ is Δ_0^S . And so on.

Internal Induction (Int-IND):

$[\varphi(0, \vec{u}) \wedge \forall x[\varphi(x, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \varphi(x+1, \vec{u})]] \rightarrow \forall x \varphi(x, \vec{u})$
where $\varphi(x, \vec{u})$ is Δ_0^S .

Σ_1^S Induction ($\Sigma_1^S\text{-IND}$):

$[\psi(0, \vec{u}) \wedge \forall n[\psi(n, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \psi(n+1, \vec{u})]] \rightarrow \forall n \psi(n, \vec{u})$
where $\psi(n, \vec{u})$ is Σ_1^S .

Transfer for FO sentences says $\mathcal{N} \equiv *\mathcal{N}$.

5. Weak Koenig Lemma (Continued)

Proof that $*\text{WKL}_0$ implies WKL_0 uses the

Overspill Lemma:

For each Δ_0^S formula $\varphi(x, \vec{u})$,

$\forall n \varphi(n, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \exists x [\varphi(x, \vec{u}) \wedge \forall m m < x]$

Proof that $*\text{WKL}_0$ is conservative over WKL_0 uses Tanaka's result that

Every countable nonstandard model of WKL_0 has an isomorphic proper end extension.

6. Arithmetical Comprehension

Theorem. *The theory ${}^*\text{WKL}_0 + S\text{-ACA}$ is a nonstandard counterpart of ACA_0 . So is ${}^*\text{WKL}_0 + S\text{-ACA} + \text{FOT}$.*

S -Arithmetical Comprehension ($S\text{-ACA}$):
(Each S -arithmetical class is coded by an x)

$$\exists x \text{st}(x) = \varphi(\cdot, \vec{u})$$

where $\varphi(m, \vec{u}) \in \bigcup_k \Sigma_k^S$.

FOT is Transfer for all first order formulas
($\mathcal{N} \prec {}^*\mathcal{N}$).

Using results of Enayat, one can get even stronger nonstandard counterparts of ACA_0 .

7. Arithmetical Transfinite Recursion

Theorem. *The theory ${}^*\text{WKL}_0 + \Sigma_1^*\text{-SEP}$ is a nonstandard counterpart of ATR_0 . So is ${}^*\text{WKL}_0 + \Sigma_1^*\text{-SEP} + \text{FOT}$.*

Σ_1^* formula: $\exists x \varphi(x, \vec{u})$ where $\varphi(m, \vec{u}) \in \bigcup_k \Sigma_k^S$.

Σ_1^* -Separation ($\Sigma_1^*\text{-SEP}$):

(Two disjoint Σ_1^* classes can be separated by an x)

$\forall m [\psi(m, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \neg\theta(m, \vec{u})] \rightarrow$

$\exists x [\psi(\cdot, \vec{u}) \subseteq st(x) \wedge st(x) \subseteq \neg\theta(\cdot, \vec{u})]$

where $\psi(m, \vec{u}), \theta(m, \vec{u})$ are Σ_1^* .

8. Π_1^1 Comprehension

Theorem. *The theory ${}^*WKL_0 + \Pi_1^*-CA$ is a nonstandard counterpart to $\Pi_1^1-CA_0$. So is ${}^*WKL_0 + \Pi_1^*-CA + FOT$.*

Π_1^* formula: $\forall x \varphi(x, \vec{u})$ where $\varphi(m, \vec{u}) \in \bigcup_k \Sigma_k^S$.

Π_1^* Comprehension (Π_1^*-CA):
(Each Π_1^* class is coded by an x)

$$\exists x st(x) = \varphi(\cdot, \vec{u})$$

where $\varphi(m, \vec{u})$ is Π_1^* .

The 1984 paper of Henson, Kaufmann, and Keisler gave nonstandard counterparts of some theories which are stronger than Π_1^1-CA .

9. Recursive Comprehension

The theory $*RCA_0 = *WKL_0 - STP + \text{weak STP}$ is a nonstandard counterpart of RCA_0 .

Here is another, with full STP:

Theorem. *The theory $*RCA_0' = \text{BNA} + \text{Special } \Sigma_1^S\text{-IND} + \text{Special } \Delta_1^S\text{-CA} + \text{STP}$ is a nonstandard counterpart of RCA_0 .*

Special Δ_0^S formulas: Built from atomic formulas, connectives, $(s(\vec{n})|t(\vec{u}))$, bdd quantifiers $(\forall m < s(\vec{n}))$ of sort N .
Special Σ_1^S means $\exists m \varphi$, φ special Δ_0^S .

Special Δ_1^S Comprehension (Special Δ_1^S -CA):
(Each special Δ_1^S class is coded by an x)

$$\forall m [\varphi(m, \vec{u}) \leftrightarrow \neg \psi(m, \vec{u})] \rightarrow \exists x st(x) = \varphi(\cdot, \vec{u})$$

where $\varphi(m, \vec{u}), \psi(m, \vec{u})$ are special Σ_1^S .

9. Recursive Comprehension (Continued)

But each of the following is a nonstandard counterpart of WKL_0 :

* RCA_0' + Overspill Lemma

* RCA_0' + Internal Induction

* RCA_0' + Transfer for Π_1^0 sentences

* RCA_0' + Δ_0^S Comprehension

The analogue of * RCA_0' with a symbol for each primitive recursive function.

9. Recursive Comprehension (Open Questions)

Is $\text{BNA} + \Sigma_1^S\text{-IND} + \text{STP}$
conservative over RCA_0 ?

Is $*\text{RCA}_0' + \text{Transfer for universal formulas}$ (rather than sentences) conservative over RCA_0 ?

Note: The above two theories do not imply the Weak Koenig Lemma.

Is the analogue of $*\text{RCA}_0'$ with an added symbol for exponentiation conservative over RCA_0 ?

What happens if one uses a different method of coding sets by hyperintegers?