Indecomposable infinite graphs

Pierre ILLE

Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy

October 2007

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 1 / 13

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x = I or $x \dots I$

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x = I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x = I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Examples

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x - I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Examples

▶ V(G), Ø and $\{x\}$ ($x \in V(G)$) called trivial intervals;

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x - I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Examples

- ▶ V(G), Ø and $\{x\}$ ($x \in V(G)$) called trivial intervals;
- ▶ for a complete graph : every subset of V(G);

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x - I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Examples

- ▶ V(G), Ø and $\{x\}$ ($x \in V(G)$) called trivial intervals;
- ▶ for a complete graph : every subset of V(G);
- every connected component.

Definition

Given a graph G = (V(G), E(G)), a subset I of V(G) is an interval of G if for each $x \in V(G) \setminus I$, we have either x - I or $x \dots I$

Interval : see also clan, module, autonomous subset, homogeneous subset, partitive subset.

Examples

- ▶ V(G), Ø and $\{x\}$ ($x \in V(G)$) called trivial intervals;
- ▶ for a complete graph : every subset of V(G);
- every connected component.

Remark 1

Given a tree G, if I is a non trivial interval of G, then all the elements of I are leaves of G.

 $G \text{ is connected} \implies \exists a \in I \text{ and } \exists x \in V(G) \setminus I \text{ such that } \{a, x\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \forall i \in I \ \{i, x\} \in E(G) \implies I \text{ is stable}$ By Contradiction : $\exists b \in I \text{ such that } \deg(b) \ge 2$ Consider $y \neq z \in V(G)$ such that $\{b, y\}, \{b, z\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is stable} \implies y, z \notin I$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \text{ for } c \in I \text{ with } c \neq b : \{c, y\}, \{c, z\} \in E(G)$ $\implies (y, b, z) \text{ or } (y, b, z, c) \text{ is a cycle}$



 $G \text{ is connected} \implies \exists a \in I \text{ and } \exists x \in V(G) \setminus I \text{ such that } \{a, x\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \forall i \in I \ \{i, x\} \in E(G) \implies I \text{ is stable}$ By Contradiction : $\exists b \in I \text{ such that } \deg(b) \ge 2$ Consider $y \neq z \in V(G)$ such that $\{b, y\}, \{b, z\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is stable} \implies y, z \notin I$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \text{ for } c \in I \text{ with } c \neq b : \{c, y\}, \{c, z\} \in E(G)$ $\implies (y, b, z) \text{ or } (y, b, z, c) \text{ is a cycle}$



 $G \text{ is connected} \implies \exists a \in I \text{ and } \exists x \in V(G) \setminus I \text{ such that } \{a, x\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \forall i \in I \ \{i, x\} \in E(G) \implies I \text{ is stable}$ By Contradiction : $\exists b \in I \text{ such that } \deg(b) \ge 2$ Consider $y \neq z \in V(G)$ such that $\{b, y\}, \{b, z\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is stable} \implies y, z \notin I$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \text{ for } c \in I \text{ with } c \neq b : \{c, y\}, \{c, z\} \in E(G)$ $\implies (y, b, z) \text{ or } (y, b, z, c) \text{ is a cycle}$



October 2007 3 / 13

 $G \text{ is connected} \implies \exists a \in I \text{ and } \exists x \in V(G) \setminus I \text{ such that } \{a, x\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \forall i \in I \ \{i, x\} \in E(G) \implies I \text{ is stable}$ By Contradiction : $\exists b \in I \text{ such that } \deg(b) \ge 2$ Consider $y \neq z \in V(G)$ such that $\{b, y\}, \{b, z\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is stable} \implies y, z \notin I$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \text{ for } c \in I \text{ with } c \neq b : \{c, y\}, \{c, z\} \in E(G)$ $\implies (y, b, z) \text{ or } (y, b, z, c) \text{ is a cycle}$



October 2007 3 / 13

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

 $G \text{ is connected} \implies \exists a \in I \text{ and } \exists x \in V(G) \setminus I \text{ such that } \{a, x\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \forall i \in I \ \{i, x\} \in E(G) \implies I \text{ is stable}$ By Contradiction : $\exists b \in I \text{ such that } \deg(b) \ge 2$ Consider $y \neq z \in V(G)$ such that $\{b, y\}, \{b, z\} \in E(G)$ $I \text{ is stable} \implies y, z \notin I$ $I \text{ is an interval of } G \implies \text{ for } c \in I \text{ with } c \neq b : \{c, y\}, \{c, z\} \in E(G)$ $\implies (y, b, z) \text{ or } (y, b, z, c) \text{ is a cycle}$



October 2007 3 / 13

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Definition

Given a digraph D = (V(D), A(D)), a subset I of V(D) is an interval of D if for each $x \in V(D) \setminus I$, we have either $x \longleftrightarrow I$ or $x \longrightarrow I$ or $x \longleftarrow I$ or $x \ldots I$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへで

Definition

Given a digraph D = (V(D), A(D)), a subset I of V(D) is an interval of D if for each $x \in V(D) \setminus I$, we have either $x \longleftrightarrow I$ or $x \longrightarrow I$ or $x \longleftarrow I$ or $x \ldots I$

Definition

Given a tournament T = (V(T), A(T)), a subset I of V(T) is an interval of T if for each $x \in V(T) \setminus I$, we have either $x \longrightarrow I$ or $x \longleftarrow I$

Definition

Given a digraph D = (V(D), A(D)), a subset I of V(D) is an interval of D if for each $x \in V(D) \setminus I$, we have either $x \longleftrightarrow I$ or $x \longrightarrow I$ or $x \longleftarrow I$ or $x \ldots I$

Definition

Given a tournament T = (V(T), A(T)), a subset I of V(T) is an interval of T if for each $x \in V(T) \setminus I$, we have either $x \longrightarrow I$ or $x \longleftarrow I$

Definition

For a total order O = (V(O), A(O)), a subset I of V(O) is an interval of O if for each $x \in V(O) \setminus I$, we have x > I or x < IThe usual notion of interval

Indecomposable graphs, digraphs,...

Definition

A graph (or a digraph) is indecomposable if all its intervals are trivial. Otherwise, it is decomposable.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Indecomposable graphs, digraphs,...

Definition

A graph (or a digraph) is indecomposable if all its intervals are trivial. Otherwise, it is decomposable.

Indecomposable : see also prime, primitive.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Indecomposable graphs, digraphs,...

Definition

A graph (or a digraph) is indecomposable if all its intervals are trivial. Otherwise, it is decomposable.

Indecomposable : see also prime, primitive.

Remark 2 If n > 4, then P_n is indecomposable.

1 - 2 - n - 1 - n

The path P_n on $n \ge 2$ vertices

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

$$1 - 2 - n - 1 - n$$

The path P_n on $n \ge 2$ vertices

Proof

By contradiction : if P_n admits a non trivial interval I, then $I = \{1, n\}$ by Remark 1. But $1 - 2 \dots n$ because $n \ge 4$.

$$1 - 2 - \dots - n - 1 - n$$

The path P_n on $n \ge 2$ vertices

Proof

By contradiction :

if P_n admits a non trivial interval I, then $I = \{1, n\}$ by Remark 1. But $1 - 2 \dots n$ because $n \ge 4$.

Theorem 1 (Sumner 1973)

Given an infinite or finite graph G, with $|V(G)| \ge 4$, if G is indecomposable, then G contains P_4 as an induced subgraph.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Indecomposable and infinite graphs, digraphs,...

Theorem 2 (Ille 1994)

Given an infinite graph G, G is indecomposable iff for every finite subset X of V(G), there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $X \subseteq Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Indecomposable and infinite graphs, digraphs,...

Theorem 2 (Ille 1994)

Given an infinite graph G, G is indecomposable iff for every finite subset X of V(G), there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $X \subseteq Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Hint of proof

 $* \leftarrow$ Use the following lemma for the family \mathcal{F} of the finite subsets X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable.

Lemma 1

Given a graph G, consider a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of V(G) satifying

- ▶ $\forall X \in \mathcal{F}$ G[X] is indecomposable;
- $\blacktriangleright \forall X \neq Y \in \mathcal{F} \quad \exists Z \in \mathcal{F} \quad X \cup Y \subset Z.$

Then $G[\cup \mathcal{F}]$ is indecomposable.

Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

< 由 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Given a finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of V(G)



Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Given a finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of V(G)



October 2007 8 / 13

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Given a finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of V(G)



Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 8 / 13

Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Given a finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of V(G)



Proposition 1

Given an indecomposable (and infinite) graph G, consider a finite subset X of V(G) such that G[X] is indecomposable (and $|X| \ge 3$). For every $x \in V(G) \setminus X$, there exists a finite subset Y of V(G) such that $x \in Y$, $X \subset Y$ and G[Y] is indecomposable.

Given a finite subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ of V(G)



Application : duality theorems

Theorem 3 (Gallai 1967)

Given finite posets P and Q, with V(P) = V(Q), if P and Q share the same comparability graph and if P is indecomposable, then Q = P or P^* .

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 9 / 13

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Application : duality theorems

Theorem 3 (Gallai 1967)

Given finite posets P and Q, with V(P) = V(Q), if P and Q share the same comparability graph and if P is indecomposable, then Q = P or P^* .

Remark 3

Theorem 3 holds for infinite posets with the same proof (forcing classes on comparability edges).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Application : duality theorems

Theorem 3 (Gallai 1967)

Given finite posets P and Q, with V(P) = V(Q), if P and Q share the same comparability graph and if P is indecomposable, then Q = P or P^* .

Remark 3

Theorem 3 holds for infinite posets with the same proof (forcing classes on comparability edges).

Theorem 3 for tournaments? Comparability graph for a tournament?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Application : duality theorems

Theorem 3 (Gallai 1967)

Given finite posets P and Q, with V(P) = V(Q), if P and Q share the same comparability graph and if P is indecomposable, then Q = P or P^* .

Remark 3

Theorem 3 holds for infinite posets with the same proof (forcing classes on comparability edges).

Theorem 3 for tournaments? Comparability graph for a tournament?

Given a tournament T, the C_3 -structure of T is the family of the subsets of V(T) which induce a 3-cycle.

Application : duality theorems

Theorem 3 (Gallai 1967)

Given finite posets P and Q, with V(P) = V(Q), if P and Q share the same comparability graph and if P is indecomposable, then Q = P or P^* .

Remark 3

Theorem 3 holds for infinite posets with the same proof (forcing classes on comparability edges).

Theorem 3 for tournaments? *Comparability graph* for a tournament?

Given a tournament T, the C_3 -structure of T is the family of the subsets of V(T) which induce a 3-cycle.

Theorem 4 (Boussaïri, Ille, Lopez, Thomassé 2004)

Given finite tournaments T and T', with V(T) = V(T'), if T and T' share the same C_3 -structure and if T is indecomposable, then T' = T or T^* .

Remark 4 Theorem 4 holds for infinite tournaments.

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

■ ▶ ◀ ■ ▶ ■ つへで October 2007 10 / 13

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Remark 4 Theorem 4 holds for infinite tournaments.

Proof

* Given $a \neq b \in V(T)$: by interchanging T and T^{*}, T and T' coincide on $\{a, b\}$

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Remark 4 Theorem 4 holds for infinite tournaments.

Proof

* Given $a \neq b \in V(T)$: by interchanging T and T^{*}, T and T' coincide on $\{a, b\}$

* $\forall x \neq y \in V(T)$: Theorem 2 $\Longrightarrow \exists Y \subseteq V(T)$ such that $a, b, x, y \in Y$, Y is **finite** and T[Y] is indecomposable

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 10 / 13

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Remark 4 Theorem 4 holds for infinite tournaments.

Proof

* Given $a \neq b \in V(T)$: by interchanging T and T^* , T and T' coincide on $\{a, b\}$

* $\forall x \neq y \in V(T)$: Theorem 2 $\Longrightarrow \exists Y \subseteq V(T)$ such that $a, b, x, y \in Y$, Y is **finite** and T[Y] is indecomposable

October 2007

10 / 13

* Theorem 4 applied to $T[Y] \Longrightarrow T'[Y] = T[Y]$ or $(T[Y])^*$

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

Remark 4 Theorem 4 holds for infinite tournaments.

Proof

* Given $a \neq b \in V(T)$: by interchanging T and T^* , T and T' coincide on $\{a, b\}$

* $\forall x \neq y \in V(T)$: Theorem 2 $\Longrightarrow \exists Y \subseteq V(T)$ such that $a, b, x, y \in Y$, Y is **finite** and T[Y] is indecomposable

* Theorem 4 applied to $T[Y] \Longrightarrow T'[Y] = T[Y]$ or $(T[Y])^*$

 $* T[\{a,b\}] = T'[\{a,b\}] \Longrightarrow T'[Y] = T[Y] \Longrightarrow T[\{x,y\}] = T'[\{x,y\}]$

Decomposable graphs

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 11 / 13

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Decomposable graphs

Remark 5 Given a graph G, if I and J are intervals of G such that $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then either I = J or $I \dots J$.

October 2007 11 / 13

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Decomposable graphs

Remark 5

Given a graph G, if I and J are intervals of G such that $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then either I = J or $I \dots J$.

 \implies partition in intervals I, J, K, \ldots to define a new graph on I, J, K, \ldots by contraction.

Remark 5

Given a graph G, if I and J are intervals of G such that $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then either I = J or $I \dots J$.

 \implies partition in intervals I, J, K, \ldots to define a new graph on I, J, K, \ldots by contraction.

Definition

Given a graph G, a partition P of V(G) is an interval partition of G if all the elements of P are intervals of G.

Definition

Given a graph G, with each interval partition of G associate the quotient of G by P defined on V(G/P) = P as follows. For any $I \neq J \in P$, I = Jin G/P if I = J in G.

Definition

Given a graph G, with each interval partition of G associate the quotient of G by P defined on V(G/P) = P as follows. For any $I \neq J \in P$, I = Jin G/P if I = J in G.



 $P = \{X, Y, Z\}$ interval partition of G and the quotient G/P

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 12 / 13

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

Definition

Given a graph G, with each interval partition of G associate the quotient of G by P defined on V(G/P) = P as follows. For any $I \neq J \in P$, I = Jin G/P if I = J in G.



 $P = \{X, Y, Z\}$ interval partition of G and the quotient G/P

Remark 6 The inverse operation is the lexicographic sum.

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 12 / 13

Theorem 5 (Gallai 1967)

For every **finite** graph G, there exists an interval partition P(G) such that the quotient G/P(G) is complete or empty $(E(G/P(G)) = \emptyset)$ or indecomposable.

Pierre ILLE (Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy) Indecomposable infinite graphs

October 2007 13 / 13

(日) (周) (三) (三)

For every **finite** graph G, there exists an interval partition P(G) such that the quotient G/P(G) is complete or empty $(E(G/P(G)) = \emptyset)$ or indecomposable.

Remark 7 If G is an indecomposable and finite graph, then $P(G) = \{\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}\ and hence \ G/P(G) \cong G.$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

For every **finite** graph G, there exists an interval partition P(G) such that the quotient G/P(G) is complete or empty $(E(G/P(G)) = \emptyset)$ or indecomposable.

Remark 7 If G is an indecomposable and finite graph, then $P(G) = \{\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}\ and hence \ G/P(G) \cong G.$

Remark 8

Theorem 5 does not hold for infinite graphs. For instance consider the graph G defined on $V(G) = \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For any $m \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$, m = n if $\max(m, n)$ is even.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

For every **finite** graph G, there exists an interval partition P(G) such that the quotient G/P(G) is complete or empty $(E(G/P(G)) = \emptyset)$ or indecomposable.

Remark 7 If G is an indecomposable and finite graph, then $P(G) = \{\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}\ and hence \ G/P(G) \cong G.$

Remark 8

Theorem 5 does not hold for infinite graphs. For instance consider the graph G defined on $V(G) = \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For any $m \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$, m = n if $\max(m, n)$ is even.

• The only non trivial intervals of G are $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ (for $n \neq 0$).

For every **finite** graph G, there exists an interval partition P(G) such that the quotient G/P(G) is complete or empty $(E(G/P(G)) = \emptyset)$ or indecomposable.

Remark 7 If G is an indecomposable and finite graph, then $P(G) = \{\{x\} : x \in V(G)\}\ and hence \ G/P(G) \cong G.$

Remark 8

Theorem 5 does not hold for infinite graphs. For instance consider the graph G defined on $V(G) = \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For any $m \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$, m = n if $\max(m, n)$ is even.

- The only non trivial intervals of G are $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ (for $n \neq 0$).
- For every interval partition P of G, $G/P \cong G$ or \overline{G} .