

# A higher order scalar curvature

Guofang Wang (Freiburg)

IASM-BIRS, Hangzhou, Feb.29.2024

With Yuxin Ge (Toulouse) and Jie Wu (Zhejiang Uni.)

## A higher order scalar curvature

$1 \leq k \leq n/2$ ,  $(M^n, g)$   $k$ -th Gauss-Bonnet-Chern curvature:

$$R_k := \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}{}^{j_1 j_2} \dots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}{}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}},$$

1.  $R_1 = R$ , scalar curvature.
2.  $R_2 = |\text{Riem}|^2 - 4|\text{Ric}|^2 + R^2 = |W|^2 + 8(n-2)(n-3)\sigma_2$
3.  $k = \frac{n}{2}$ , it is the Euler density. Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.

$$\int_M R_{\frac{n}{2}} = c\chi(M),$$

The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern curvature was first appeared in the paper of **Lanczos** in 1938 for  $n = 4$  and  $k = 2$ .

*Gauss-Bonnet-Chern curvature* has been intensively studied in Gauss-Bonnet gravity, as a generalization of Einstein gravity.

Scalar curvature:

$$R_k := \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \dots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}},$$

•  $k$ -Ricci Tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} Ric_b^a &:= \frac{k}{2^k} \delta_{b j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{a j_2} R_{i_3 i_4}^{j_3 j_4} \dots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} \\ &= k R_{i_1 i_2}^{a j_2} P_{b j_2}^{i_1 i_2} \end{aligned}$$

•  $k$ -Einstein tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_b^a &= -\frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \delta_{b j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{a i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \dots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} \\ &= Ric_b^a - \frac{1}{2} R_k \delta_b^a \end{aligned}$$

We write  $R_k$  red

$$R_k = P^{ij}{}_{kl} R_{ij}{}^{kl},$$

where

$$P^{ij}{}_{kl} := \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-3} j_{2k-2} kl}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-3} i_{2k-2} ij} R_{i_1 i_2}{}^{j_1 j_2} \dots R_{i_{2k-3} i_{2k-2}}{}^{j_{2k-3} j_{2k-2}}.$$

It is important that  $P$  is divergence-free, i.e.

$$P^{ij}{}_{kl,i} = 0$$

and  $P$  has the same symmetry as *Riem*. It implies that

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij,i} = 0$$

## Variation of total $R_k$ -curvature

Lemma (Variation of total  $R_k$ -curvature)

The first variation of  $\mathcal{F}_k = \int L_k(g)dv(g)$  is given by

$$\delta\mathcal{F}_k(g)[h] = \int_M \langle \mathcal{E}_k, h \rangle dv(g). \quad (\delta g = h)$$

### Proposition

1. A critical point of  $\mathcal{F}_k(g) = \int R_k(g)dv(g)$  in the class of fixed volume is a  $k$ -Stein metric, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}ic_k = \lambda g.$$

2. A critical point of  $\mathcal{F}_k(g) = \int R_k(g)dv(g)$  in a conformal class of fixed volume is a  $k$ -metric, i.e.

$$R_k = \text{const..}$$

$$R_1 = R, \quad \mathcal{E} = \text{Ric} - \frac{1}{2}Rg, \quad P_{(1)}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \delta_l^i \delta_k^j).$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &= \frac{1}{4} \delta_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} R^{j_1 j_2}{}_{i_1 i_2} R^{j_3 j_4}{}_{i_3 i_4} = |Rm|^2 - 4|\text{Ric}|^2 + R^2 \\ &= |W|^2 + 8(n-2)(n-3)\sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_j^i = 2RR_j^i - 4R_l^i R^l{}_j - 4R_{kl} R^{ki}{}_l{}_j + 2R^i{}_{klm} R_j{}^{klm} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i R_2$$

$$(P_{(2)})^{ij} = R_{kl}^{ij} - R_k^i \delta_l^j + R_l^i \delta_k^j - R_l^j \delta_k^i + R_k^j \delta_l^i + \frac{1}{2} R(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \delta_l^i \delta_k^j).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Riem} &= P_{(2)} + \text{Ric} \otimes g + \frac{1}{4} Rg \otimes g \\ &= W + \frac{1}{n-2} \text{Ric} \otimes g - \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{1}{2} g \otimes g \\ &= W + S \otimes g, \end{aligned}$$

Schouten tensor:  $S = \frac{1}{n-2} \left( \text{Ric} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g \right)$

## Examples: $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvatures

- Schouten Tensor  $S = \frac{1}{n-2}(\text{Ric} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}g)$ .
- $\sigma_k$ -scalar curvature:

$$\sigma_k(g) := \sigma_k(g^{-1} \cdot S).$$

$$\sigma_1(g) = R_g / (n - 1)$$

Riem =  $W + S \wedge g$ , i.e,

$$R^{ij}{}_{kl} = W^{ij}{}_{kl} + S_k^i \delta_l^j - S_l^i \delta_k^j + S_l^j \delta_k^i - S_k^j \delta_l^i.$$

If  $W = 0$ , then

$$R_k = k! 2^k \sigma_k$$

## Mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

- Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .  $R^{ij}_{kl} = h_k^i h_l^j - h_l^i h_k^j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R_k &= \frac{1}{2^k} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} R_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1 j_2} \dots R_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}}^{j_{2k-1} j_{2k}} \\ &= \delta_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_{2k-1} j_{2k}}^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2k-1} i_{2k}} h_{i_1}^{j_1} h_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots h_{i_{2k-1}}^{j_{2k-1}} h_{i_{2k}}^{j_{2k}} = H_{2k} \end{aligned}$$

- Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ .  $R^{ij}_{kl} = -(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \delta_l^i \delta_k^j) + h_k^i h_l^j - h_l^i h_k^j$

$$R_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i H_{2k-2i}$$

$$R_1 = H_2 - 1, \text{ and } R_2 = H_4 - 2H_2 + 1$$



one may ask:

- Geroch type conjecture: No metric on  $T^n$  with  $R_k > 0$ ?  
When  $k = 1$ , it is Schoen-Yau, Gromov-Lawson  
When  $k = n/2$ , it is trivially true from the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem.
- Llarull type Theorem? ( $k < n/2$ ) Any  $(M, g)$  with  $g \geq g_{\mathbb{S}^n}$ ,  $R_k(g) \geq R_k(\mathbb{S}^n)$  with a nonzero degree map from  $M$  to  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{S}^n$ ?

No any idea! The big problem: No analytic methods to study  $R_k$ .

1. Minimal surfaces method of Schoen-Yau?
2. Spin method?
3. Harmonic functions (or maps) of Stern?

Minimal surfaces? Very naive idea:

$$\text{Area functional } A(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} 1 = \int_S R_0.$$

The minimal surface method can be viewed: when we study problems related to the scalar curvature  $R_1$ , we use the functional  $\int_{\Sigma} R_0$ .

When we consider problems related to  $R_2$ , we may use the functional  $\int_{\mathbb{S}} R_1$ . Its Euler-Lagrange equation is:

$$E_g \cdot A = 0, \tag{0.1}$$

where  $A$  is the 2nd Fundamental form.

Hence the **2-minimal surface** is defined by (0.1).

If  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , then (0.1) is

$$H_3 = 0.$$

It is fully nonlinear. The ellipticity requires restrictive conditions.

**Asymptotically flat (AF)** of **decay order  $\tau$**  if there is a compact set  $K$  such that  $M \setminus K$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}, \text{ with } |\sigma_{ij}| + r|\partial\sigma_{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2\sigma_{ij}| = O(r^{-\tau})$$

ADM mass (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner):

$$m_1(g) := m_{ADM} := \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) \nu_j dS,$$

**Bartnik:**  $m_{ADM}$  is well-defined and a geometric invariant, if

$$\tau > \frac{n-2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad R \in L^1(M).$$

**Asymptotically flat (AF)** of **decay order  $\tau$**  if there is a compact set  $K$  such that  $M \setminus K$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}, \text{ with } |\sigma_{ij}| + r|\partial\sigma_{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2\sigma_{ij}| = O(r^{-\tau})$$

**ADM mass (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner):**

$$m_1(g) := m_{ADM} := \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) \nu_j dS,$$

**Bartnik:**  $m_{ADM}$  is well-defined and a geometric invariant, if

$$\tau > \frac{n-2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad R \in L^1(M).$$

**Asymptotically flat (AF)** of **decay order  $\tau$**  if there is a compact set  $K$  such that  $M \setminus K$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R(0)$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}, \text{ with } |\sigma_{ij}| + r|\partial\sigma_{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2\sigma_{ij}| = O(r^{-\tau})$$

**ADM mass (Arnowitt-Deser-Misner):**

$$m_1(g) := m_{ADM} := \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) \nu_j dS,$$

**Bartnik:**  $m_{ADM}$  is well-defined and a geometric invariant, if

$$\tau > \frac{n-2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad R \in L^1(M).$$

# The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

We expand

$$R_k = c(n, k) \partial_i \left( g_{jk,l} P^{ijkl} \right) + O(r^{-(k+1)\tau-2k})$$

and define

$$m_k(g) := m_{GBC}(g) = c_k(n) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk} \nu_i dS,$$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu Adv Math (2014))

Suppose that  $(M^n, g)$  ( $k < \frac{n}{2}$ ) is AF of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}$  and  $R_k$  is integrable on  $(M^n, g)$ . Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass  $m_k$  is well-defined and invariant.

Li-Nguyen had a similar mass

# The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

We expand

$$R_k = c(n, k) \partial_i \left( g_{jk,l} P^{ijkl} \right) + O(r^{-(k+1)\tau-2k})$$

and define

$$m_k(g) := m_{GBC}(g) = c_k(n) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk} \nu_i dS,$$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu Adv Math (2014))

Suppose that  $(M^n, g)$  ( $k < \frac{n}{2}$ ) is AF of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}$  and  $R_k$  is integrable on  $(M^n, g)$ . Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass  $m_k$  is well-defined and invariant.

Li-Nguyen had a similar mass

# The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

We expand

$$R_k = c(n, k) \partial_i \left( g_{jk,l} P^{ijkl} \right) + O(r^{-(k+1)\tau-2k})$$

and define

$$m_k(g) := m_{GBC}(g) = c_k(n) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk} \nu_i dS,$$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu Adv Math (2014))

Suppose that  $(M^n, g)$  ( $k < \frac{n}{2}$ ) is AF of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}$  and  $R_k$  is integrable on  $(M^n, g)$ . Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass  $m_k$  is well-defined and invariant.

Li-Nguyen had a similar mass



# The Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

We expand

$$R_k = c(n, k) \partial_i \left( g_{jk,l} P^{ijkl} \right) + O(r^{-(k+1)\tau-2k})$$

and define

$$m_k(g) := m_{GBC}(g) = c_k(n) \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk} \nu_i dS,$$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu Adv Math (2014))

Suppose that  $(M^n, g)$  ( $k < \frac{n}{2}$ ) is AF of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n-2k}{k+1}$  and  $R_k$  is integrable on  $(M^n, g)$ . Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass  $m_k$  is well-defined and invariant.

Li-Nguyen had a similar mass

# Positive mass theorem

Positive mass theorem is true for  $m_k$ , if

(1)  $(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$  (Ge-W.-Wu, IMRN (2014))

(2) graphical AF manifolds (Ge-W.-Wu)

Theorem (Positive Mass Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu))

Let  $(M^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \delta + df \otimes df)$  and  $L_k \in L^1(M)$ , then

$$m_k = \frac{c_k(n)}{2} \int_{M^n} \frac{L_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} dV_g,$$

In particular,  $L_k \geq 0$  yields  $m_k \geq 0$ .

$k = 1$ , Lam (2010), de Lima-Girao, Huang-Wu.

Key Lemma.  $L_k(g) = c(n) \partial_i (P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk})$ .

# Positive mass theorem

Positive mass theorem is true for  $m_k$ , if

(1)  $(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$  (Ge-W.-Wu, IMRN (2014))

(2) graphical AF manifolds (Ge-W.-Wu)

Theorem (Positive Mass Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu))

Let  $(M^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \delta + df \otimes df)$  and  $L_k \in L^1(M)$ , then

$$m_k = \frac{c_k(n)}{2} \int_{M^n} \frac{L_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} dV_g,$$

In particular,  $L_k \geq 0$  yields  $m_k \geq 0$ .

$k = 1$ , Lam (2010), de Lima-Girao, Huang-Wu.

Key Lemma.  $L_k(g) = c(n) \partial_i (P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk})$ .

# Positive mass theorem

Positive mass theorem is true for  $m_k$ , if

(1)  $(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$  (Ge-W.-Wu, IMRN (2014))

(2) graphical AF manifolds (Ge-W.-Wu)

Theorem (Positive Mass Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu))

Let  $(M^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \delta + df \otimes df)$  and  $L_k \in L^1(M)$ , then

$$m_k = \frac{c_k(n)}{2} \int_{M^n} \frac{L_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} dV_g,$$

In particular,  $L_k \geq 0$  yields  $m_k \geq 0$ .

$k = 1$ , Lam (2010), de Lima-Girao, Huang-Wu.

Key Lemma.  $L_k(g) = c(n) \partial_i (P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk})$ .

# Positive mass theorem

Positive mass theorem is true for  $m_k$ , if

(1)  $(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{2u}|dx|^2)$  (Ge-W.-Wu, IMRN (2014))

(2) graphical AF manifolds (Ge-W.-Wu)

Theorem (Positive Mass Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu))

Let  $(M^n, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n, \delta + df \otimes df)$  and  $L_k \in L^1(M)$ , then

$$m_k = \frac{c_k(n)}{2} \int_{M^n} \frac{L_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} dV_g,$$

In particular,  $L_k \geq 0$  yields  $m_k \geq 0$ .

$k = 1$ , Lam (2010), de Lima-Girao, Huang-Wu.

Key Lemma.  $L_k(g) = c(n) \partial_i (P^{ijkl} \partial_l g_{jk})$ .

# Penrose inequality

Theorem (Penrose Inequality ( $k = 1$  Lam,  $k \geq 2$  Ge-W.-Wu))

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ .  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(M, g) = (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega, \delta + df \times df)$ .  
 $\Sigma$  is in a level set of  $f$  and  $|\nabla f(x)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Then

$$m_k = c_k(n) \int_{M^n} \frac{L_k}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2}} dV_g + c(n) \int_{\Sigma} H_{2k-1}$$

In particular, if  $L_k \geq 0$  (dominant energy condition) holds, then the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality yields a Penrose inequality

$$m_2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\int_{\Sigma} R_{\Sigma}}{(n-1)(n-2)\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{n-3}} \geq \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{n-1}}.$$

## Penrose Inequality for AF graphs

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$

**Optimality:** The generalized anti-de Sitter Schwarzschild space-time is given by

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{\frac{n}{k}-2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$

Penrose conjecture for GBC mass for general AF manifolds could be proposed as:

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$

## Penrose Inequality for AF graphs

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$

**Optimality:** The generalized anti-de Sitter Schwarzschild space-time is given by

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{\frac{n}{k}-2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$

Penrose conjecture for GBC mass for general AF manifolds could be proposed as:

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$



## Penrose Inequality for AF graphs

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$

**Optimality:** The generalized anti-de Sitter Schwarzschild space-time is given by

$$\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r^{\frac{n}{k}-2}}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}},$$

Penrose conjecture for GBC mass for general AF manifolds could be proposed as:

$$m_k = m_{GBC} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{n-1}}.$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$\mathbb{H}^n, b = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{1+\rho^2} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_b := \{V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n) \mid \text{Hess}^b V = Vb\}.$$

$\gamma = -V^2 dt^2 + b$  is a static solution of the Einstein equation  
 $\text{Ric}(\gamma) + n\gamma = 0$ .

$$\dim \mathbb{N}_b = n + 1$$

$$V_{(0)} = \cosh r, V_{(1)} = x^1 \sinh r, \dots, V_{(n)} = x^n \sinh r,$$

where  $r$  is the hyperbolic distance from an arbitrary fixed point on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  are the coordinate functions restricted to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We equip the vector space  $\mathbb{N}_b$  with a Lorentz metric

$$\eta(V_{(0)}, V_{(0)}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(V_{(i)}, V_{(i)}) = -1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$\mathbb{H}^n, b = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{1+\rho^2} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_b := \{V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n) \mid \text{Hess}^b V = Vb\}.$$

$\gamma = -V^2 dt^2 + b$  is a static solution of the Einstein equation  
 $\text{Ric}(\gamma) + n\gamma = 0$ .

$$\dim \mathbb{N}_b = n + 1$$

$$V_{(0)} = \cosh r, V_{(1)} = x^1 \sinh r, \dots, V_{(n)} = x^n \sinh r,$$

where  $r$  is the hyperbolic distance from an arbitrary fixed point on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  are the coordinate functions restricted to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We equip the vector space  $\mathbb{N}_b$  with a Lorentz metric

$$\eta(V_{(0)}, V_{(0)}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(V_{(i)}, V_{(i)}) = -1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$\mathbb{H}^n, b = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{1+\rho^2} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_b := \{V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n) \mid \text{Hess}^b V = Vb\}.$$

$\gamma = -V^2 dt^2 + b$  is a static solution of the Einstein equation  
 $\text{Ric}(\gamma) + n\gamma = 0$ .

$$\dim \mathbb{N}_b = n + 1$$

$$V_{(0)} = \cosh r, V_{(1)} = x^1 \sinh r, \dots, V_{(n)} = x^n \sinh r,$$

where  $r$  is the hyperbolic distance from an arbitrary fixed point on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  are the coordinate functions restricted to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We equip the vector space  $\mathbb{N}_b$  with a Lorentz metric

$$\eta(V_{(0)}, V_{(0)}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(V_{(i)}, V_{(i)}) = -1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$\mathbb{H}^n, b = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{1+\rho^2} d\rho^2 + \rho^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

$$\mathbb{N}_b := \{V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n) \mid \text{Hess}^b V = Vb\}.$$

$\gamma = -V^2 dt^2 + b$  is a static solution of the Einstein equation  
 $\text{Ric}(\gamma) + n\gamma = 0$ .

$$\dim \mathbb{N}_b = n + 1$$

$$V_{(0)} = \cosh r, \quad V_{(1)} = x^1 \sinh r, \quad \dots, \quad V_{(n)} = x^n \sinh r,$$

where  $r$  is the hyperbolic distance from an arbitrary fixed point on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  and  $x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n$  are the coordinate functions restricted to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . We equip the vector space  $\mathbb{N}_b$  with a Lorentz metric

$$\eta(V_{(0)}, V_{(0)}) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(V_{(i)}, V_{(i)}) = -1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$H_k^\Phi(V) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}_{(k)}^{ijsl} \right) \nu_i d\mu$$

## Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu)

Suppose  $(M^n, g)$  ( $2k \leq n$ ) is an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n}{k+1}$  and for  $V \in \mathbb{N}_b$ ,  $V \tilde{L}_k \in L^1$ , then the mass functional  $H_k^\Phi(V)$  is well-defined.

$k = 1$ , X. Wang, Chruściel-Herzlich, Zhang

$$V \tilde{L}_k = 2 \bar{\nabla}_i \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}^{ijsl} \right) + 2 (\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_l V - V b_{il}) e_{js} \tilde{P}^{ijsl} + O(e^{-(k+1)\tau})$$

Hyperbolic GBC mass: If  $H_k^\Phi(V) > 0 \forall V$ ,

$$m_k^{\mathbb{H}} := c(n, k) \inf_{\mathbb{N}_b \cap \{V > 0, \eta(V, V) = 1\}} H_k^\Phi(V)$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$H_k^\Phi(V) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}_{(k)}^{ijsl} \right) \nu_i d\mu$$

## Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu)

Suppose  $(M^n, g)$  ( $2k \leq n$ ) is an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n}{k+1}$  and for  $V \in \mathbb{N}_b$ ,  $V \tilde{L}_k \in L^1$ , then the mass functional  $H_k^\Phi(V)$  is well-defined.

$k = 1$ , X. Wang, Chruściel-Herzlich, Zhang

$$V \tilde{L}_k = 2 \bar{\nabla}_i \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}^{ijsl} \right) + 2(\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_l V - V b_{il}) e_{js} \tilde{P}^{ijsl} + O(e^{-(k+1)\tau})$$

Hyperbolic GBC mass: If  $H_k^\Phi(V) > 0 \forall V$ ,

$$m_k^{\mathbb{H}} := c(n, k) \inf_{\mathbb{N}_b \cap \{V > 0, \eta(V, V) = 1\}} H_k^\Phi(V)$$

# Hyperbolic Gauss-Bonnet-Chern mass

$$H_k^\Phi(V) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r} \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}_{(k)}^{ijsl} \right) \nu_i d\mu$$

## Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu)

Suppose  $(M^n, g)$  ( $2k \leq n$ ) is an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n}{k+1}$  and for  $V \in \mathbb{N}_b$ ,  $V \tilde{L}_k \in L^1$ , then the mass functional  $H_k^\Phi(V)$  is well-defined.

$k = 1$ , X. Wang, Chruściel-Herzlich, Zhang

$$V \tilde{L}_k = 2 \bar{\nabla}_i \left( (V \bar{\nabla}_l e_{js} - e_{js} \bar{\nabla}_l V) \tilde{P}^{ijsl} \right) + 2 (\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_l V - V b_{il}) e_{js} \tilde{P}^{ijsl} + O(e^{-(k+1)\tau})$$

Hyperbolic GBC mass: If  $H_k^\Phi(V) > 0 \forall V$ ,

$$m_k^{\mathbb{H}} := c(n, k) \inf_{\mathbb{N}_b \cap \{V > 0, \eta(V, V) = 1\}} H_k^\Phi(V)$$



## Theorem (Penrose Inequality for AH graphs (Ge-W.-Wu))

$k \geq 2$ . If  $f : \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $(M^n, g) = (\mathbb{H}^n \setminus \Omega, b + V^2 df \otimes df)$  is AH of decay order  $\tau > \frac{n}{k+1}$  and  $V\tilde{L}_k \in L^1$ . Assume that  $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$  is in a level set of  $f$  and  $|\bar{\nabla} f(x)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

$$m_k^{\mathbb{H}} = c(n, k) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{M^n} \frac{V\tilde{L}_k}{\sqrt{1 + V^2 |\bar{\nabla} f|^2}} dV_g + \frac{(2k-1)!}{2} \int_{\Sigma} V H_{2k-1} d\mu \right).$$

$$m_k^{\mathbb{H}} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \left( \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{k(n-1)}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k}{k(n-1)}} \right)^k,$$

if  $\tilde{L}_k \geq 0$  and  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$  is horospherical convex. Moreover, equality is achieved by an anti-de Sitter Schwarzschild type metric.

$k = 1$ , [Dahl-Gicquaud-Sakovich, de Lima and Girão](#)

# Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality in $\mathbb{H}^n$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu, JDG (2014), W.-Xia, Adv. Math (2014))

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Sigma} H_k d\mu \geq \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k} \frac{(n-k-1)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

$k = 2$  Li-Wei-Xiong,  $H_1 > 0$ ,  $H_2 > 0$  and star-shaped.

It solves a conjecture in integral geometry in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  proposed by Gao-Hug-Schneider, at least in the case of horospherical convex.

# Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality in $\mathbb{H}^n$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu, JDG (2014), W.-Xia, Adv. Math (2014))

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Sigma} H_k d\mu \geq \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k} \frac{(n-k-1)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

$k = 2$  Li-Wei-Xiong,  $H_1 > 0$ ,  $H_2 > 0$  and star-shaped.

It solves a conjecture in integral geometry in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  proposed by Gao-Hug-Schneider, at least in the case of horospherical convex.

# Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality in $\mathbb{H}^n$

Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu, JDG (2014), W.-Xia, Adv. Math (2014))

Let  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Any horospherical convex hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Sigma} H_k d\mu \geq \omega_{n-1} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k} \frac{(n-k-1)}{n-1}} \right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a geodesic sphere.

$k = 2$  [Li-Wei-Xiong](#),  $H_1 > 0$ ,  $H_2 > 0$  and star-shaped.

It solves a conjecture in integral geometry in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  proposed by [Gao-Hug-Schneider](#), at least in the case of horospherical convex.

# Weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities in $\mathbb{H}^n$

## Theorem (Ge-W.-Wu)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a horospherical convex hypersurface in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ ,  $V = \cosh r$

$$\int_{\Sigma} V H_{2k+1} d\mu \geq \omega_{n-1} \left( \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{(k+1)(n-1)}} + \left( \frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2k-2}{(k+1)(n-1)}} \right)^{k+1}.$$

Equality holds if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a centered geodesic sphere in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

$k = 1$  de Lima-Girao, Dahl-Gicquaud-Sakovich motivated by a similar inequality by Brendle-Hung-Wang

Ideas: Inverse curvature flow by Gerhardt, Heintze-Karcher type inequality of Brendle, optimal geometric inequalities on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  of Guan-W. and Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

# Analysis in a conformal class

Analysis of  $R_k$  in a conformal class is rich and successful.

- $\sigma_k$ -Yamabe problem Find a metric in a given conformal class such that  $\sigma_k$  is constant. (Viaclovsky, Chang-Gursky-Yang, Guan-W. Ge-W., Li-Li, Sheng-Trudinger-Wang, ...)
- A conformal spherical theorem of Chang-Gursky-Yang:  $(M^4, g)$  with positive Yamabe constant and  $\int_M \sigma_2 > \int_M |W|^2$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^4$  or  $RP^4$ .
- (Ge-W.-Lin JDG (2009))  $(M^3, g)$  with positive Yamabe constant and  $\int_M \sigma_2 > 0$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .

Thank you very much!