

# A deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills flow

Jixiang Fu

Fudan University

Interaction Between PDEs and Convex Geometry

2021-10-20

This talk is based on the paper:

J. Fu and Dekai Zhang. [A deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills flow.](#)  
arXiv:2105.13576.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$  and  $\chi$  a closed real  $(1, 1)$ -form on  $M$ .

Motivated by mirror symmetry, the deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills (dHYM) equation on  $(M, \omega, \chi)$  is

$$\operatorname{Re}(\chi_u + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n = \cot \theta_0 \operatorname{Im}(\chi_u + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\chi_u = \chi + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}u$  for a **real** smooth function  $u$  on  $M$  and  $\theta_0$  is the argument of the complex number  $\int_M (\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n$ .

The dHYM equation is called **supercritical** if  $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi)$  and **hypercritical** if  $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ .

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be the eigenvalues of  $\chi_u$  with respect to  $\omega$ . If necessary we denote  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(\chi_u)$  and  $\lambda_i$  by  $\lambda_i(\chi_u)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\lambda_i = \cot \theta_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_u + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n &= \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i + \sqrt{-1}) \omega^n \\ &= \frac{\exp(\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sin \theta_i} \omega^n \\ &= \frac{\cos(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sin \theta_i} \omega^n + \sqrt{-1} \frac{\sin(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sin \theta_i} \omega^n \end{aligned}$$

So the dHYM equation becomes

$$\cos\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right) = \cot \theta_0 \sin\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i\right),$$

or

$$\theta(\chi_u) = \theta_0, \tag{2}$$

if we define

$$\theta(\chi_u) := \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{arccot} \lambda_i.$$

In 2014, Jacob-Yau [2017ma] initiated to study the dHYM equation.

They solved the equation for  $n = 2$ , by translating it into the complex Monge-Ampère equation which was solved by Yau.

[2017ma] A. Jacob, S.-T. Yau. [A special Lagrangian type equation for holomorphic line bundles](#). Math. Ann. **369**(2017), 869-898.

When  $n \geq 3$ , Collins-Jacob-Yau [2020cjm] solved the dHYM equation for the supercritical case by assuming the following two conditions hold:

(i) There exists a subsolution  $\underline{u}$ , which means  $\chi_{\underline{u}}$  satisfies the inequality

$$A_0 := \max_M \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i \neq j} \operatorname{arccot} \lambda_i(\chi_{\underline{u}}) < \theta_0; \quad (3)$$

(ii)  $\chi_{\underline{u}}$  also satisfies the inequality

$$B_0 := \max_M \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) < \pi. \quad (4)$$

[2020cjm] T. Collins, A. Jacob, S.-T. Yau. [\(1, 1\) forms with specified Lagrangian phase: a priori estimates and algebraic obstructions.](#) Camb. J. Math. **8** (2020), 407-452.

When  $n = 3$ , without condition (4) did Pingali [2019arxiv] then solve the equation by translating it into a mixed Monge-Ampère type equation.

On the other hand, C. Lin [2020arxiv] generalized Collins-Jacob-Yau's result to the Hermitian case  $(M, \omega)$  with  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = \partial\bar{\partial}\omega^2 = 0$ .

Huang-Zhang-Zhang [2020arxiv] also considered the solution on a compact almost Hermitian manifold for the **hypercritical** case.

For the parabolic flow method, there are also several results.

Jacob-Yau [2017ma] and Collins-Jacob-Yau [2020cjm] proved the existence and convergence of the **line bundle mean curvature flow**

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \theta_0 - \theta(\chi_u) \\ u(0) = \underline{u} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

for the **hypercritical** case. Here  $\underline{u}$  is a subsolution of the dHYM equation such that

$$\theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

Han-Jin [2020arxiv] considered the stability result of the above flow.



Takahashi [2020ijm] proved the existence and convergence of the **tangent Lagrangian phase flow**

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \tan(\theta_0 - \theta(\chi_u)) \\ u(0) = \underline{u} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for the **hypercritical** case. Here  $\underline{u}$  is a subsolution of the dHYM equation such that

$$\theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) - \theta_0 \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

There are two problems raised by Collins-Jacob-Yau [2020cjm]. One is whether condition (4) is superfluous.

The other is to find a sufficient and necessary geometric condition on the existence of a solution to the dHYM equation. There are some important progresses made by G. Chen [2021im].

[2021im] G. Chen. [The J-equation and the supercritical deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation](#). *Invent. Math.* **225** (2021), 529-602.

[2021arxiv] J. Song. [Nakai-Moishezon criteria for complex Hessian equations](#). arxiv: 2012.07956.

Recently, motivated by G. Chen [2021im] and J. Song [2021arxiv], Chu-Lee-Takahashi [2021arxiv] established the following

**Theorem.** (Chu-Lee-Takahashi) The deformed Hermitian Yang-Mills equation on a compact Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$  with complex dimension  $n$  is solvable for the supercritical case if and only if there exists a Kähler metric  $\gamma$  on  $M$  such that for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\int_M \left( \operatorname{Re}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^k - \cot \theta_0 \operatorname{Im}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^k \right) \wedge \gamma^{n-k} \geq 0$$

and for any proper  $m$ -dimensional subvariety  $Y$  of  $M$  and  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,

$$\int_Y \left( \operatorname{Re}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^k - \cot \theta_0 \operatorname{Im}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^k \right) \wedge \gamma^{m-k} > 0.$$

[2021arxiv] J. Chu, M.-C. Lee, R. Takahashi. [A Nakai-Moishezon type criterion for supercritical deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation.](#) arxiv:2105.10725.

Motivated by the concavity of  $\cot \theta(\chi_u)$  by G. Chen [2021im], we consider a dHYM flow:

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \cot \theta(\chi_u) - \cot \theta_0, \\ u(x, 0) = \underline{u}(x). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The main result of this paper is

**Theorem 1.** (F.–Zhang) Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold and  $\chi$  a closed real  $(1, 1)$  form. Assume that there exists a subsolution  $\underline{u}$  of dHYM equation (2) in the sense of (3) which also satisfies (4). Then for the **supercritical** case, there exists a longtime solution  $u(x, t)$  of dHYM flow (7) and it converges to a smooth solution  $u^\infty$  to the dHYM equation:

$$\theta(\chi_{u^\infty}) = \theta_0.$$

Hence we reprove the Collins-Jacob-Yau's existence theorem [2020cjm].  
Our proof looks like simpler than the one in Collins-Jacob-Yau.

The advantage of our flow is that the imaginary part of the Calabi-Yau functional is constant along the flow.

However, we do not know whether condition (4) is superfluous.

## 2. Properties.

### 2.1 The linearized operator. Note

$$\cot \theta(\chi_u) = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\chi_u + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n}{\operatorname{Im}(\chi_u + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n}. \quad (8)$$

**Lemma 2.** The linearized operator  $\mathcal{P}$  of the dHYM flow has the form:

$$\mathcal{P}(v) = v_t - F^{i\bar{j}} v_{i\bar{j}},$$

where

$$F^{i\bar{j}} = \csc^2 \theta(\chi_u) (wg^{-1}w + g)^{i\bar{j}},$$

where  $g = (g_{i\bar{j}})_{n \times n}$ ,  $w = (w_{i\bar{j}})_{n \times n}$  for  $w_{i\bar{j}} = \chi_{i\bar{j}} + u_{i\bar{j}}$ , and  $D^{i\bar{j}} := (D^{-1})_{i\bar{j}}$  for an invertible Hermitian symmetric matrix  $D$ .

**2.2 The concavity.** Let

$$\theta(\lambda) := \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{arccot} \lambda_i \quad \text{for } \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (9)$$

and

$$\Gamma_\tau := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \theta(\lambda) < \tau\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{for } \tau \in (0, \pi).$$

We have the following two useful lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** (Yuan [2006pams], Wang-Yuan [2014ajm]) If  $\theta(\lambda) \leq \tau \in (0, \pi)$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ , then the following inequalities hold.

(i)  $\lambda_{n-1} \geq \cot \frac{\tau}{2} (> 0)$ ;

(ii)  $\lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|$ ; and

(iii)  $\lambda_1 + (n - 1)\lambda_n \geq 0$ .

Moreover,  $\Gamma_\tau$  is convex for any  $\tau \in (0, \pi)$ .

[2006pams] Y. Yuan. [Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations](#). Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**(2006), 1355-1358.

[2014ajm] D. Wang, Y. Yuan. [Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions](#). Amer. J. Math. **136**(2014), 481-499.



**Lemma 4.** (Chen [2021im]) For any  $\tau \in (0, \pi)$ , the function  $\cot \theta(\lambda)$  on  $\Gamma_\tau$  is concave.

**proof.** When  $n = 1$ ,  $\cot \theta(\lambda) = \lambda_1$  is obviously concave. We now assume  $n \geq 2$ . By the definition of  $\theta(\lambda)$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \cot \theta(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = -2 \csc^2 \theta(\lambda) \left( \frac{\lambda_i \delta_{ij}}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} - \frac{\cot \theta(\lambda)}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} \right).$$

Hence the function  $\cot \theta(\lambda)$  on  $\Gamma_\tau$  is concave if and only if the matrix

$$\Lambda = \left( \lambda_i \delta_{ij} - \cot \theta(\lambda) \right)_{n \times n}$$

is positive definite.

Without loss of generality, we assume  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Since  $\theta(\lambda) \in (0, \pi)$ , by Lemma 3(1), we have  $\lambda_{n-1} > 0$ .  $\square$

**2.3 Parabolic subsolution.** Motivated by B. Guan's definition [2014dmj] of a subsolution of fully nonlinear equations, Székelyhidi [2019jdg] gave a weaker version of a subsolution and Collins-Jacob-Yau [2020cjm] used it to the dHYM equation which is equivalent to (3).

[2014dmj] B. Guan. [Second-order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds](#). Duke Math. J. 163(2014), 1491-1524.

[2018jdg] G. Székelyhidi. [Fully non-linear elliptic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds](#). J. Differential Geom. 109(2018), 337-378.

On the other hand, Phong-Tô [2017arxiv] modified Székelyhidi's definition to the parabolic case. We use their definition to the dHYM flow.

**Definition 5.** A smooth function  $\underline{u}(x, t)$  on  $M \times [0, T)$  is called a **subsolution** of the dHYM flow if there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T)$ , the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$S_\delta(x, t) := \left\{ (\mu, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \mid \mu_i > -\delta \text{ for each } i, \tau > -\delta, \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \cot \theta \left( \lambda(\chi_{\underline{u}(x, t)}) + \mu \right) - \underline{u}_t(x, t) + \tau = \cot \theta_0 \right\}$$

is uniformly bounded.

[2017arxiv] D. H. Phong, D. Tô. [Fully non-linear parabolic equations on compact Hermitian manifolds.](#) arXiv: 1711.10697.

We have the following observation.

**Lemma 6.** If  $\underline{u}$  is a subsolution of the dHYM equation with  $B_0 < \pi$ , then the function  $\underline{u}(x, t) = \underline{u}(x)$  on  $M \times [0, \infty)$  is also a subsolution of the dHYM flow.

**2.4 The Calabi-Yau Functional.** Recall the definition of the Calabi-Yau functional by Collins-Yau [2021apde]: for any  $v \in C^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\text{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(v) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \int_M v(\chi_v + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^i \wedge (\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^{n-i}.$$

Let  $v(s) \in C^{2,1}(M \times [0, T], \mathbb{R})$  be a variation of the function  $v$ , i.e.,  $v(0) = v$ . The integration by parts gives

$$\frac{d}{ds} \text{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(v(s)) = \int_M \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial s} (\chi_{v(s)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n. \quad (10)$$

[2021apde] T. Collins, S.-T. Yau. [Moment Maps, Nonlinear PDE and Stability in Mirror Symmetry, I: Geodesics](#). Ann. PDE 7, 11(2021).

**Lemma 7.** Let  $u(x, t)$  be a solution of the dHYM flow. Then

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(u(\cdot, t))\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{u})\right).$$

**Proof.** Denote by  $u(t) := u(x, t)$  for simplicity.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathrm{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(u(t))\right) = \mathrm{Im} \frac{d}{dt} \mathrm{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(u(t)) \\ &= \int_M \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial t} \mathrm{Im}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \\ &= \int_M \left( \frac{\mathrm{Re}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n}{\mathrm{Im}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n} - \cot \theta_0 \right) \mathrm{Im}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \\ &= \int_M \mathrm{Re}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n - \cot \theta_0 \int_M \mathrm{Im}(\chi_{u(t)} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \\ &= \int_M \mathrm{Re}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n - \cot \theta_0 \int_M \mathrm{Im}(\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where each equality is successively by (10), (7) and (8), Stokes' theorem, and the definition of  $\theta_0$ . Hence the conclusion holds as  $u(0) = \underline{u}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Estimates.

We assume that  $u$  is the solution of dHYM flow (7) in  $M \times [0, T)$ , where  $T$  is the maximal existence time. By showing the uniform a priori estimates, we can prove  $T = \infty$ .

#### 3.1 The $u_t$ -estimate.

**Lemma 8.** For any  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T)$ ,

$$\min_M u_t|_{t=0} \leq u_t(x, t) \leq \max_M u_t|_{t=0}; \quad (11)$$

in particular,

$$0 < \min_M \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}(x)}) \leq \theta(\chi_{u(x,t)}) \leq B_0 < \pi. \quad (12)$$

**Proof.** The  $u_t$  satisfies the equation:

$$(u_t)_t = F^{i\bar{j}}(u_t)_{i\bar{j}}.$$

By the maximum principle,  $u_t$  attains its maximum and minimum on the initial time, i.e., inequality (11) holds, i.e.,

$$\min_M \cot \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) \leq u_t(x, t) + \cot \theta_0 \leq \max_M \cot \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}),$$

or

$$\min_M \cot \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) \leq \cot \theta(\chi_{u(x,t)}) \leq \max_M \cot \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}).$$

Thus we obtain

$$0 < \min_M \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) \leq \theta(\chi_{u(x,t)}) \leq \max_M \theta(\chi_{\underline{u}}) = B_0.$$

□



We have an useful corollary of the above lemma.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $\lambda_n(x, t)$  be the minimum eigenvalue of  $\chi_u$  with respect to the metric  $\omega$  at  $(x, t)$ . Then

$$\max_{M \times [0, T]} |\lambda_n| \leq A_1 \quad \text{for} \quad A_1 := |\cot B_0| + \left| \cot \left( \frac{\min_M \theta(\chi_u)}{n} \right) \right|.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 8, we have

$$0 < \frac{\min_M \theta(\chi_u)}{n} \leq \frac{\theta(\chi_u)}{n} \leq \operatorname{arccot} \lambda_n \leq B_0 < \pi.$$

Hence we have

$$\cot B_0 \leq \lambda_n \leq \cot \left( \frac{\min_M \theta(\chi_u)}{n} \right).$$

□

**3.2 The  $C^0$ -estimate.** We first prove a Harnack type inequality along the dHYM flow.

**Lemma 10.** Let  $u$  be the solution of the dHYM flow on  $M \times [0, T)$ . Then for any  $T_0 < T$  we have the following Harnack type inequality:

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T_0]} u(x, t) \leq C \left( - \inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u(x, t) - \underline{u}(x)) + 1 \right).$$

**Proof.** For any  $t \in [0, T_0]$ , we have  $\theta(\chi_{u(t)}) \leq B_0 < \pi$  by Lemma 8. Then by the convexity of  $\Gamma_{\omega, B_0} := \{\alpha \in \Lambda^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \mid \theta(\alpha) < B_0\}$  in Lemma 3, we have

$$\theta(\chi_{su+(1-s)\underline{u}}) \leq B_0.$$

Denote  $\eta_0 := B_0/6 + 5\pi/6$  for convenience. Then  $B_0 < \eta_0 < \pi$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n}{\omega^n} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \lambda_k^2(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}}))^{1/2} \sin(\theta(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}})) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \sin \eta_0, & \text{if } \theta(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}}) \geq \frac{\pi}{6} \\ \sqrt{1 + \lambda_1^2} \sin \text{arccot} \lambda_1 = 1, & \text{if } \theta(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}}) < \frac{\pi}{6} \end{cases} \\ &\geq \sin \eta_0 \triangleq c_0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By Lemma 7, the imaginary part of the Calabi-Yau functional is constant along the flow. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \text{Im}(\text{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(u(t))) - \text{Im}(\text{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(\underline{u})) \\
&= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \text{Im}(\text{CY}_{\mathbb{C}}(su(t) + (1-s)\underline{u})) ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_M (u(t) - \underline{u}) \text{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \\
&= \int_M (u(t) - \underline{u}) \left( \int_0^1 \text{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \right). \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M (u - \underline{u}) \omega^n \\
&= \int_M (u - \underline{u}) \omega^n - \frac{1}{c_0} \int_M (u - \underline{u}) \left( \int_0^1 \operatorname{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_0} \int_M -(u - \underline{u}) \underbrace{\left( -c_0 \omega^n + \int_0^1 \operatorname{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \right)}_{\text{This term is positive by inequality (13)}} \\
&\leq \frac{-\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u - \underline{u})}{c_0} \int_M \left( -c_0 \omega^n + \int_0^1 \operatorname{Im}(\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \right) \\
&= \frac{-\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u - \underline{u})}{c_0} \left( -c_0 \int_M \omega^n + \int_0^1 \operatorname{Im} \int_M (\chi_{su(t)+(1-s)\underline{u}} + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n ds \right) \\
&= \frac{-\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u - \underline{u})}{c_0} \left( -c_0 \int_M \omega^n + \operatorname{Im} \int_M (\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \right) \\
&\leq c_0^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \int_M (\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n \left( -\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u - \underline{u}) \right) \\
&= C \left( -\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u - \underline{u}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C = c_0^{-1} \operatorname{Im} \int_M (\chi + \sqrt{-1}\omega)^n$ .

Therefore we have

$$\int_M u(x, t) \omega^n \leq C \left( - \inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u(x, t) - \underline{u}(x)) + 1 \right). \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, let  $G(x, z)$  be Green's function of the metric  $\omega$  on  $M$ . Then for any  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, T_0]$ ,

$$u(x, t) = \left( \int_M \omega^n \right)^{-1} \int_M u(z, t) \omega^n - \int_{z \in M} \Delta_\omega u(z, t) G(x, z) \omega^n.$$

Since  $\Delta_\omega u > -\text{tr}_\omega \chi > -C_0$  and  $G(x, y)$  is bounded from below, there exists a uniform constant  $C$  such that

$$u(x, t) \leq \left( \int_M \omega^n \right)^{-1} \int_M u(z, t) \omega^n + C. \quad (16)$$

Combining (15) with (16), we obtain the desired estimate.  $\square$

Now we prove the  $C^0$  estimate similar as Phong-Tô [2017arxiv].

**Proposition 11.** Along the dHYM flow, there exists a uniform constant  $M_0$  independent of  $T$  such that

$$|u|_{C^0(M \times [0, T])} \leq M_0.$$

**Proof.** Combining (14) with (13) implies for any  $t \in [0, T)$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in M} (u(x, t) - \underline{u}(x)) \geq 0.$$

Combing the above inequality with the concavity of the equation, we can apply Lemma 1 in Phong-Tô [2017arxiv]: there exists a uniform constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\inf_{M \times [0, T_0]} (u(x, t) - \underline{u}(x)) \geq -C_1 \quad \text{for any } T_0 < T.$$

Then combing this estimate with the Harnack type inequality in Lemma 10, we have

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T_0]} u \leq C.$$

□

**3.3 The gradient estimate.** We can prove the gradient estimate following the argument in the elliptic case by Collins-Yau [2018arxiv].

**Proposition 12.** Let  $u$  be the solution of dHYM flow (7). There exists a uniform constant  $M_1$  such that

$$\max_{M \times [0, T)} |\nabla u|_\omega \leq M_1.$$



**3.4 Second order estimates.** In the elliptic case, Collins-Jacob-Yau [2020cjm] used an auxiliary function containing the gradient term which modifies the one in Hou-Ma-Wu [2010mrl]. Our auxiliary function does not contain the gradient term.

**Proposition 14.** There exists a uniform constant  $M_2$  such that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\partial \bar{\partial} u|_{\omega} \leq M_2.$$

## 4. Proof of the main theorem.

So we can prove the uniform a priori estimates up to the second order. By the concavity of  $\theta(\chi_u(x, t))$ , we have the uniform  $C^{2,\alpha}$  estimates and then the higher estimates hold. Thus we have the longtime existence.

The proof of the convergence is the similar as the one in Phong-Tô [2017arxiv]. We can prove  $u(x, t)$  converges exponentially to a function  $u^\infty$ . By the uniform  $C^k$  estimates of  $u(x, t)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u(x, t)$  converges to  $u^\infty$  in  $C^\infty$  and  $u^\infty$  satisfies

$$\theta(\chi_{u^\infty}) := \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{arccot} \lambda_i(\chi_{u^\infty}) = \theta_0.$$

**Thank You!**